

In the Supreme Court of South Africa

(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION

DIVISION)

In the matter between:

THABO MOFOKENG

Plaintiff.

and

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE

Defendant.

To the sheriff or his deputy:

INFORM

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE, cited herein in his official capacity, as being the person legally responsible for the conduct of members of the South African Defence Force, c/o the Chief of the South African Defence Force, (Finance Division), South African Defence Force Headquarters, Dequar Road, Pretoria.

(hereinafter called the Defendant(s)) that

THABO MOFOKENG, an adult male, resident at A228 Phola Park, TOKOZA.

(hereinafter called the Plaintiff(s)), hereby institutes action against HIM in which action the Plaintiff(s) claim the relief and on the grounds set out in the particulars annexed hereto.

Hortors Stationery (JAN. 89) Form SC10+1

INFORM the Defendant(s) further that if Defendant(s) disputes/dispute the claim and wishes/wish to defend the action, the Defendant(s) shall –

- (i) Within 1 month days of the service upon the Defendant(s) of this summons, file with the registrar of this Court at Room 007, Supreme Court Building, Pritchard Street, JOHANNESBURG.

 Notice of Defendant(s) intention to defend and serve a copy thereof on the Attorneys of the the Plaintiff(s), which notice shall give an address (not being a post office or poste restante) referred to in rule 19 (3) for the service upon the Defendant(s) of all notices and documents in the action.
- (ii) Thereafter and within twenty days after filing and serving notice of intention to defend as aforesaid, file with the registrar and serve upon the Plaintiff(s) a Plea, Exception, Notice to strike out, with or without a Counter-claim.

INFORM the Defendant(s) further that if the Defendant(s) fails/fail to file and serve notice as aforesaid, Judgment as claimed may be given against the Defendant(s) without further notice to the Defendant(s), or if having filed and served such notice, the Defendant(s) fails/fail to plead, except, make application to strike out or counter-claim, Judgment may be given against the Defendant(s)

AND immediately thereafter serve on the Defendant(s) a copy of this Summons and return the same to the Registrar with whatsoever you have done thereupon.

DATED at JOHANNESBURG

this 30th

day of SEPTEMBE

Registrar of the Supreme Court

19 92

NICHOLLS, CAMBANIS & SUDANO

Attorneys of Plaintiff(s),

Aluchd

23rd Floor, Kine Centre 141 Commissioner Street JOHANNESBURG

Ref. Ms C H Nicholls

ANNEXURE "A"

PARTICULARS OF CLAIM

- 1. Plaintiff is THABO MOFOKENG, an adult male, whose date of birth is 1968, resident at A228 Phola Park, Tokoza.
- 2. Defendant is the MINISTER OF DEFENCE, cited herein in his official capacity, as being the person legally responsible for the conduct of members of the South African Defence Force, c/o the Chief of the South African Defence Force, (Finance Division), South African Defence Force Headquarters, Dequar Road, Pretoria.
- On or about 8 April 1992, and at Phola Park, Tokoza, the Plaintiff was unlawfully assaulted, by a member, or members, of the South African Defence Force, acting within the course and scope of their employment with the Defendant.
- 4. As a consequence of this assault, Plaintiff sustained injury as follows:-
 - 4.1 a broken leg.
- 5. The disabilities suffered by plaintiff as a result of the injuries are as follows:-
 - 5.1 temporary disability, in that plaintiff was rendered unable to use his broken leg for a period of approximately two months.
- 6. As a result of the bodily injuries sustained, Plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount of R25 000,00.
- 7. Such damages are computed as follows:-

PAIN AND SUFFERING AND CONTUMELIA

- 7.1 For pain and suffering and contumelia, damages in the amount of R25 000,00.
- 8. In the premises Defendant is liable to Plaintiff in the amount of R25 000,00
- 9. Despite demand, Defendant fails or refuses to pay the above amount of any portion thereof.

WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF CLAIMS

- i) the amount of R25 000,00.
- ii) interest on the above amount at the rate of 18.5% per annum as from 14 days of date of judgment to date of payment.
- iii) costs of suit;
- iv) further and/or alternative relief

DATED AT JOHANNESBURG THIS TO DAY OF SEPTEMBER 1992.

D J M PITMAN

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

CHricholls

NICHOLLS AND CAMBANIS

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS

23RD FLOOR, KINE CENTRE

COMMISSIONER STREET

JOHANNESBURG

REF: VS/PP/54

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION

CASE NO: 92/26560 P/H 308

In the matter between:-

MOFOKENG THABO

Plaintiff

and

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE

Defendant

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DEFEND

BE PLEASED TO TAKE NOTICE THAT the abovenamed Defendant intends to defend this action and has appointed the following address as the address for the service upon him of all notices and documents in the action:-

STATE ATTORNEY

888 ROYAL ST. MARY'S BUILDING

85 ELOFF STREET

PRIVATE BAG X9

J O H A N N E S B U R G

BUSINESS ADDRESS: S.A. DEFENCE FORCE HEADQUARTERS, DEQUAR ROAD, P R E T O R I A.

DATED at JOHANNESBURG on this the ZO day of OCTOBER 1992.

DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

STATE ATTORNEY

888 ROYAL ST. MARY'S BUILDING

85 ELOFF STREET PRIVATE BAG X9

JOHANNESBURG

..../2

REFER TO: G. BOWEN

REFER NO: 7304/92/P5/JC

TEL NO: 29 2961

TO:

The Registrar of the above Honourable Court J O H A N N E S B U R G

AND TO:

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY

NICHOLLS, CAMBANIS, & SUDANO 23rd FLOOR, KINE CENTRE 141 COMMISSIONER STREET JOHANNESBURG

REF: Ms C.H. NICHOLLS

COPY HEREOF RECEIVED ON THIS

THE 3rd DAY OF OCTOBER 1992

For/PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION)

Case Number : 92/26560

In the matter between:

MOFOKENG, Thabo

Plaintiff

and

MINISTER OF LAW AND ORDER

Defendant

DEFENDANT'S PLEA TO PLAINTIFF'S PARTICULARS OF CLAIM

1. AD PARAGRAPH 1:

Save to admit that Plaintiff is Thabo Mofokeng, Defendant has no knowledge of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph, accordingly denies same and puts Plaintiff to the proof thereof.

2. AD PARAGRAPH 2:

Defendant admits the contents of this paragraph.

3. AD PARAGRAPH 3:

Defendant denies the contents of this paragraph and puts Plaintiff to the proof thereof.

4. AD PARAGRAPHS 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8:

Defendant denies the contents of these paragraphs and puts Plaintiff to the proof thereof.

5. AD PARAGRAPH 9:

Defendant admits a demand and a failure or refusal to pay, but pleads that Defendant is not legally liable to pay the amount claimed or any portion thereof.

WHEREFORE Defendant prays that Plaintiff's claim be dismissed with costs.

DATED AT JOHANNESBURG ON THIS 2nd DAY OF DECEMBER 1992.

B ROUX

Defendant's Counsel

STATE ATTORNEY

Defendant's Attorneys 888 Royal St. Mary's Bldg

85 Eloff Street JOHANNESBURG

Ref: Mr Bowen/

7304/92/P5

Tel: 29-2961

TO: THE REGISTRAR OF THE ABOVE

HONOURABLE COURT JOHANNESBURG

AND TO: NICHOLLS & CAMBANIS

Plaintiff's Attorneys

23rd Floor Kine Centre

Commissioner Street

JOHANNESBURG Ref: VS/PP/54

Received copy hereof on the

4th day of December 1992.

for: Plaintiff's Attorneys

WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO RIGHTS IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION)

CASE NO: 92/26560

In the matter between:-

MOFOKENG THABO

Plaintiff

and

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE

Defendant

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE IN TERMS OF RULE 36 (4)

BE PLEASED TO TAKE NOTICE that the Defendant requires the Plaintiff in so far as he is able to do so to make available within 10 (ten) days after service hereof to the former any medical reports, hospital records, X-ray photographs or other documentary information of a like nature relevant to the assessment of the damages of compensation in respect of bodily injury alleged to have been suffered by the Plaintiff.

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER that the Defendant requires from the Plaintiff a consent to inspect all hospital records relating to the latter and that such consent should mention the hospitals' reference numbers as well as the dates on which the Plaintiff was treated.

DATED at JOHANNESBURG on this the day of

NOVEMBER

DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

STATE ATTORNEY

888 ROYAL ST. MARY'S BUILDING

85 ELOFF STREET PRIVATE BAG X9

JOHANNESBURG

REFER TO: G. BOWEN

REFER NO: 7304/92/P5/JC

TEL NO: 29 2961

AND TO:

TO:

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS

THE REGISTRAR OF THE

ABOVE HONOURABLE COURT

JOHANNESBURG

NICHOLLS AND CAMBANIS 23rd FLOOR, KINE CENTRE COMMISSIONER STREET

JOHANNESBURG

REF: Ms C H NOCHOLLS

COPY HEREOF RECEIVED THIS THE

DAY OF NOVEMBER 1992

For/PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY

WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO RIGHTS

PH 466
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION)

CASE NO : 92/26560

In the matter between : -

MOFOKENG, Thabo

Plaintiff

AND

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND ORDER

Defendant

REPLY TO NOTICE IN TERMS OF RULE 36(4)

BE PLEASED TO TAKE NOTICE that the Plaintiff hereby attaches relevant medical records as requested by the Defendant.

DATED at JOHANNESBURG on this the

day of DECEMBER 1992.

NICHOLLS, CAMBANIS &

SUDANO

PLAINTIFFS' ATTORNEYS 23RD FLOOR, KINE CENTRE 141 COMMISSIONER STREET JOHANNESBURG

thialls

REF : VS/PP/54

TO: THE REGISTRAR OF THE ABOVE HONOURABLE COURT JOHANNESBURG

AND TO : STATE ATTORNEY

DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEYS

8TH FLOOR, ROYAL ST. MARY'S BLDG

85 ELOFF STREET JOHANNESBURG

MR.BOWEN/7304/92/P5

Received copy hereof on this the O day of DECEMBER 1992.

FOR : DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEYS

PRIVATS PROKUREUR

PRIVATS PRIVATE BAG X9
JOHNNIESBURG 2000
ONTVANG SONDER BENADELING VAN REGTE

1992 -12- 1 0
RECEIVED WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF RIGHTS
TYD/TAME

STATE ATTORNEY

enu

Complaint......
Huidige siekte
Present illness

SLEGS VIR AFSKEURSTROKIES

Datum Date	Betalings • Payn		Betalings • Payments	Betalings • Payments
	Betalings • Payn L62L67 oN	Datum Date		
	Kwitansie Nr.	1		
			Rekenings • Accou	unts
P. Sr.	•			

Datum • Date Fell this verning 9/4/92 - Naemarlhonis pair @lince Kup - No # seen - Small effussion. Pain of collet by .. - POP cylinder -TCB 029.4.92 27.4.92 RP-P. Discharge

-	-	.5		-			-	
6			J. 14	3	•	а	1	b.

VOORSKRIF . PRESCRIPTION

TPH 172

US.ODITALL	
-HOSPITAAL	
HOSETTAL	

AFDELING WARD.....

Fasient . Fatient

Pas entnommer Patient's Number

Ouderdom Age

inceling Classification

33246

		33246	
Datum Date	Voorskrif besonderhede Details of prescription	Hoev. uit.	Apteker Pharmapist
9492	Peredo 21	al of Majerila	1.12 4.50 8.
	· Various of	180 Valer 39	1/
		president /	
· ·		or equivalent	
1		o' ekki valent	
	0-0-0	or equivalent	^
22.4.7	Kub - Rub.	o' enwivalent	
	7	or equivalent	
	Brufa 200.	of ekwivalen AD	01
-		or equivalent	(24).
	2	c's wivalent	
		or equilibrium	
1		o' ekwivale.	
•		or equivalent of ekwivalent	V
		or equivalent	
		of exwivalent	
		or equivalent	
		of enwire ent	
	`	01.600.181676	
		of elemeters!	
		or equivalent	
		c' er n' alem	
-		or equiva ent	
-		c' er wasient	
		or equivalent	
		of ekwivalent	
		or equivalent	
		of envivalent	
		or equivalent	
		of elivih sient	
As pietole wooder to	ekwiyajant" nje dour o's voorslingvas pas	or equivalent	

As nierdie woorde tof ekwivalent" nie deur die voorskrywer gestiraap word nie dui dit aan dat die goedgekeurde generiese ekwivalent uitgereik mag word.

If the words "or equivalent" are not deleted by the prescriber this vill indicate that the approved generic equivalent may be supplied

TO : THE SUPERINTENDENT NATALSPRUIT HOSPITAL

CONSENT TO SUPPLY CONFIDENTIAL MEDICAL REPORT

NAME OF PATIENT

: THABO MOFOKEMY

HOSPITAL REFERENCE NO

: 33246/92

DATE OF TREATMENT

: 9/4/92

I, the undersigned,

THASO MOFOREMY

do hereby request and authorize you to give a medical report on the injuries sustained and treatment received by me, and to supply copies of all medical records, x-rays and records of any other form of treatment to : -

> THE STATE ATTORNEY 888 ROYAL ST. MARY'S BUILDING 85 ELOFF STREET PRIVATE BAG X9 **JOHANNESBURG**

as a matter of urgency.

I have fully considered the implications of my actions and authorize you to give all my medical records to my legal representatives or any private doctor they may advise me to see.

SIGNED at JoHAMMESRUESON this the 4th day of DECEMBER 1992.

AS WITNESSES : -

1. Sither & 2. Kgasoare

SIGNATURE OF CONSENTEE OR RIGHT HAND THUMB

PH 466
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION)

CASE NO : 92/26560

In the matter between : -

MOFOKENG, Thabo

and

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND ORDER

Plaintiff 13-24

Defendant

NOTICE IN TERMS OF RULE 37

KINDLY TAKE NOTICE that Pleadings in this matter having closed, the Defendant is hereby requested to attend a conference at a mutually convenient time and place with the object of reaching a agreement of possible ways of curtailing the duration of the Trial in this action and in particular as to all or any of the matters mentioned in Rule 37(1)(a) of the rules of the Above Honourable Court.

DATED at JOHANNESBURG on this the 5 day of JANUARY 1993.

NICHOLLS, CAMBANIS,

CHricholl

AND SUDANO

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF 23RD FLOOR, KINE CENTRE 141 COMMISSIONER STREET JOHANNESBURG

REF : VS/PP/54

TO: THE REGISTRAR OF THE ABOVE HONOURABLE COURT

AND TO : THE STATE ATTORNEY DEFENDANTS ATTORNEYS

888 ROYAL ST. MARY'S BUILDING

85 ELOFF STREET **JOHANNESBURG**

REF : Mr. Bowen/7304/92/P5

Received copy hereof on this the 23 day of JANUARY 1993.

FOR : DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEYS

STAATSPROKUREUR

PRIVAATSAK/PRIVATE BAG X9 JOHANNESBURG 2000 ONTVANG SONDER BENADELING VAN REGTE

1993 -03- 2 3

RECEIVED WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF RICHTS

PH 466
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION)

CASE NO : 92/26560

In the matter between : -

MOFOKENG, Thabo

Plaintiff

and

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND ORDER

Defendant 352

NOTICE IN TERMS OF RULE 37

KINDLY TAKE NOTICE that Pleadings in this matter having closed, the Defendant is hereby requested to attend a conference at a mutually convenient time and place with the object of reaching a agreement of possible ways of curtailing the duration of the Trial in this action and in particular as to all or any of the matters mentioned in Rule 37(1)(a) of the rules of the Above Honourable Court.

DATED at JOHANNESBURG on this the 5 day of JANUARY 1993.

NICHOLLS, CAMBANIS,

AND SUDANO

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF 23RD FLOOR, KINE CENTRE 141 COMMISSIONER STREET JOHANNESBURG

thichall

REF : VS/PP/54

TO: THE REGISTRAR OF THE ABOVE HONDURABLE COURT

AND TO: THE STATE ATTORNEY
DEFENDANTS ATTORNEYS

888 ROYAL ST.MARY'S BUILDING

85 ELOFF STREET JOHANNESBURG

REF : Mr. Bowen/7304/92/P5

Received copy hereof on this the 23 day of JANUARY 1993.

FOR : DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEYS

STAATSPROKUREUR

FFRY-ATSPANSFANTE BAG X89

ONTVANG SONDER BENADELING VAN REGTS

1993 -03- 2 3

RECEIVED WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF RIGHTS

TYD/TIME

STATE ATTORNEY

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION)

Case Number: 92/26560

In the matter between:

MOFOKENG, Thabo

Plaintiff

and

MINISTER OF DEFENCE

Defendant

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO AMEND DEFENDANT'S PLEA

BE PLEASED TO TAKE NOTICE that Defendant intends to amend his Plea as follows:

- 1. By deleting the citation of the Defendant as "Minister of Law and Order" and by substituting it with "Minister of Defence".
- 2. By the addition of the following alternative to paragraph 3 of Defendant's Plea (ad paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Particulars of Claim):
 - "3.2 <u>Alternatively</u> and in the event of it being found that the Plaintiff was assaulted/that any physical act/s was/were committed against

the Plaintiff, as alleged or at all, by a member or members of the South African Defence Force, then the Defendant pleads as follows:

- 3.2.1 In terms of Government Notice No. 13519, Proclamation No. R2242 of 9 September 1991, the Minister of Law and Order in terms of Section 5A(1) of the Public Safety Act, No. 3 of 1953, declared that public disturbance, disorder, riot and public violence were occurring or threatening in inter alia the Tokoza area, as demarcated and described in Government Notice No. 511 of 10 April 1959, as amended, as from 9 September 1991. In terms of Proclamation No. R2243, 1991, of 9 September 1991, the Minister of Law and Order in terms of Section 5A of the Public Safety Act proclaimed certain regulations ("the Regulations"). Proclamations R2242 and R2243 were valid and in force on 8 April 1992.
- Regulation 12(1) of the Regulations provides that no civil 3.2.2 proceeding shall be instituted against any member of the Cabinet of the Republic of South Africa or any member of a Security Force by reason of any act in good faith advised, commanded, ordered, directed or performed by any person in the carrying out of his duties or the exercise of his powers or the performance of his functions in terms of the Regulations as therein more fully provided, with the intent to combat or to prevent public disturbance, disorder, riot or public violence or to maintain or to restore public order or for dealing with any circumstances which in his opinion have arisen or are likely to arise as a result of such public disturbance, disorder, riot or public violence or the combating or prevention thereof.

- 3.2.3 The Defendant is a member of the Cabinet of the Republic of South Africa.
- 3.2.4 The member or members of the South African Defence Force, as alleged by the Plaintiff to have assaulted Plaintiff/committed physical acts against the Plaintiff, was a member/were members of a Security Force, as provided for in the Regulations.
- 3.2.5 The place at Phola Park, Tokoza, where the assault/physical acts allegedly took place, is a place where the said Proclamations were valid and binding as at 8 April 1992.
- 3.2.6 The assault of/physical acts against Plaintiff was an act/were acts in good faith advised, commanded, ordered, directed or performed by a person or persons in the carrying out of his/their duties or the exercise of his/their powers or the performance of his/their functions in terms of the Regulations, as more fully provided in Regulation 12(1).
- 3.2.7 In the premises the Plaintiff has no cause of action as alleged and is not entitled to institute the said action."

The Defendant tenders the wasted costs (if any) occasioned by the proposed amendment and subsequent amendment, save for the costs of opposition thereof.

Page 4

BE PLEASED TO TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that unless objection in writing is made

to the proposed amendment within ten (10) days, the Defendant will amend the

pleading in question accordingly.

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER that if no objection in writing be so made, the Plaintiff

shall be deemed to have agreed to the amendment.

If objection be made within the said period in terms of Rule 28(4) of the Rules of

Court, the Defendant shall within ten (10) days of the receipt of such objection, apply

to Court on notice for leave to amend and shall set the matter down for hearing.

DATED AT JOHANNESBURG ON THIS 5th DAY OF MARCH 1993.

STATE ATTORNEY

Defendant's Attorneys 888 Royal St. Mary's Bldg

85 Eloff Street

JOHANNESBURGRef: Mr Bowen/

7304/92/P5 Fel: 29-2961 TO:

THE REGISTRAR OF THE ABOVE

HONOURABLE COURT

JOHANNESBURG

AND TO:

NICHOLLS & CAMBANIS

Plaintiff's Attorneys

23rd Floor Kine Centre

Commissioner Street JOHANNESBURG Ref: VS/PP/54

for:

Plaintiff's Attorneys

WITHOUT PREJUDE TO RIGHTS

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION)

Case Number: 92/26560

In the matter between:

MOFOKENG, Thabo

Plaintiff

and

MINISTER OF DEFENCE

Defendant

NOTICE IN TERMS OF RULE 28(5)

TAKE NOTICE THAT:

- (a) as the Defendant filed a Notice of Intention to Amend its Plea on 9 March 1993; and
- (b) as no objection was made in writing to this said notice of amendment;

the Defendant hereby files the amended pages to its Particulars of Claim.

DATED AT JOHANNESBURG ON THIS 1st DAY OF APRIL 1993.

Defendant's Attorneys 888 Royal St. Mary's Bldg 85 Eloff Street JOHANNESBURGRef: Mr Bowen/ 7304/92/P5

Tel: 29-2961

TO:

THE REGISTRAR OF THE ABOVE

HONOURABLE COURT

JOHANNESBURG

AND TO:

NICHOLLS & CAMBANIS

Plaintiff's Attorneys

23rd Floor Kine Centre

Commissioner Street **JOHANNESBURG** Ref: VS/PP/54

Received copy hereof on this the

day of April 1993.

for:

Plaintiff's Attorneys

WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO RIGHTS

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION)

Case Number: 92/26560

In the matter between:

MOFOKENG, Thabo

Plaintiff

and

MINISTER OF DEFENCE

Defendant

DEFENDANT'S AMENDED PLEA TO PLAINTIFF'S PARTICULARS OF CLAIM

1. **AD PARAGRAPH 1**:

Save to admit that Plaintiff is **Thabo Mofokeng**, Defendant has no knowledge of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph, accordingly denies same and puts Plaintiff to the proof thereof.

2. **AD PARAGRAPH 2**:

Defendant admits the contents of this paragraph.

3. **AD PARAGRAPH 3**:

Defendant denies the contents of this paragraph and puts Plaintiff to the proof thereof.

- Alternatively and in the event of it being found that the Plaintiff was assaulted/that any physical act/s was/were committed against the Plaintiff, as alleged or at all, by a member or members of the South African Defence Force, then the Defendant pleads as follows:
 - 3.2.1 In terms of Government Notice No. 13519, Proclamation No. R2242 of 9 September 1991, the Minister of Law and Order in terms of Section 5A(1) of the Public Safety Act, No. 3 of 1953, declared that public disturbance, disorder, riot and public violence were occurring or threatening in **inter alia** the Tokoza area, as demarcated and described in Government Notice No. 511 of 10 April 1959, as amended, as from 9 September 1991. In terms of Proclamation No. R2243, 1991, of 9 September 1991, the Minister of Law and Order in terms of Section 5A of the Public Safety Act proclaimed certain regulations ("the Regulations"). Proclamations R2242 and R2243 were valid and in force on 8 April 1992.

3.2.2 Regulation 12(1) of the Regulations provides that no civil proceeding shall be instituted against any member of the Cabinet of the Republic of South Africa or any member of a Security Force by reason of any act in good faith advised, commanded, ordered, directed or performed by any person in the carrying out of his duties or the exercise of his powers or the performance of his functions in terms of the Regulations as therein more fully provided, with the intent to combat or to prevent public disturbance, disorder, riot or public violence or to maintain or to restore public order or for dealing with any circumstances which in his opinion have arisen or are likely to arise as a result of such public disturbance, disorder, riot or public violence or the combating or prevention thereof.

- 3.2.3 The Defendant is a member of the Cabinet of the Republic of South Africa.
- 3.2.4 The member or members of the South African Defence Force, as alleged by the Plaintiff to have assaulted Plaintiff/committed physical acts against the Plaintiff, was a member/were members of a Security Force, as provided for in the Regulations.

- 3.2.5 The place at Phola Park, Tokoza, where the assault/physical acts allegedly took place, is a place where the said Proclamations were valid and binding as at 8 April 1992.
- 3.2.6 The assault of/physical acts against Plaintiff was an act/were acts in good faith advised, commanded, ordered, directed or performed by a person or persons in the carrying out of his/their duties or the exercise of his/ their powers or the performance of his/their functions in terms of the Regulations, as more fully provided in Regulation 12(1).
- 3.2.7 In the premises the Plaintiff has no cause of action as alleged and is not entitled to institute the said action.

4. **AD PARAGRAPHS 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8**:

Defendant denies the contents of these paragraphs and puts Plaintiff to the proof thereof.

5. **AD PARAGRAPH 9**:

Defendant admits a demand and a failure or refusal to pay, but pleads that Defendant is not legally liable to pay the amount claimed or any portion thereof.

WHEREFORE Defendant prays that Plaintiff's claim be dismissed with costs.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(WITWATERSRAND LOCAL DIVISION)

Case Number: 92/26560

In the matter between:

MOFOKENG, Thabo

Plaintiff

and

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE

Defendant

DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR FURTHER PARTICULARS FOR PURPOSES OF TRIAL, WITH REFERENCE TO PLAINTIFF'S PARTICULARS OF CLAIM

1. AD PARAGRAPH 1:

- 1.1 A copy of Plaintiff's birth certificate is requested.
- 1.2 Where was Plaintiff resident at the time of the alleged assault referred to in paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Particulars of Claim?
- 1.3 Is Plaintiff a South African citizen?
- 1.4 If not, does Plaintiff have permanent residence

in South Africa or a work permit to work in South Africa and, if so, documentary proof of same is required, alternatively full details thereof.

2. AD PARAGRAPH 3:

- 2.1 Was Plaintiff assaulted by one or more members of the South African Defence Force?
- 2.2 If more than one member, how many members assaulted Plaintiff?
- Plaintiff is requested to give a description of each of the members who assaulted him in order to enable Defendant to identify the said member(s).
- On what basis is it alleged that Plaintiff was assaulted by a member(s) of the South African Defence Force?
- 2.5 Was/were the member(s) clothed in uniform? If so, a description of the uniform is requested.

- 2.6 Where exactly in Phola Park was Plaintiff at the time of the assault?
- 2.7 When exactly was Plaintiff assaulted, with specific reference to the date and time of the assault?

3. AD PARAGRAPH 4:

- 3.1 Plaintiff is requested to make the x-rays available which would show the fracture.
- 3.2 Where exactly was the fracture to Plaintiff's leg?
- 3.3 What caused the broken leg?

4. AD PARAGRAPH 7.1:

- 4.1 Does Plaintiff still suffer from any pain as a result of the alleged assault?
- 4.2 If so, what is the extent of the pain and suffering?

- 4.3 If Plaintiff does not suffer from pain any longer, when did the pain and suffering cease?
- 4.4 Does Plaintiff still suffer from any disability as a result of the alleged assault?
- 4.5 If so, what is the extent of the disability?
- 4.6 If Plaintiff does not suffer from any disability any longer, when did the disability cease?
- 4.7 Does Plaintiff require any further medical treatment as a result of the alleged assault?
- 4.8 If so, Plaintiff is required to furnish full details thereof.

DATED AT JOHANNESBURG ON THIS THE 12th DAY OF JULY 1993.

B ROUX

K. LOWIES

Defendant's Counsel

STATE ATTORNEY

Defendant's Attorney 888 Royal St. Mary's Bldg

85 Eloff Street JOHANNESBURG Ref: Mr Bowen/

7304/92/P5

Tel: 29-2961

TO: THE REGISTRAR OF THE ABOVE

HONOURABLE COURT JOHANNESBURG

AND TO: NICHOLLS, CAMBANIS & SUDANO

Plaintiff's Attorneys

23rd Floor Kine Centre

141 Commissioner Street

JOHANNESBURG

Ref: Ms C H Nicholls

Received copy hereof on the

day of July 1993.

for: Plaintiff's Attorneys

THOUT PREJUDICE

IN DIE HOOGGEREGSHOF VAN SUID-AFRIKA (WITWATERSRANDSE PLAASLIKE AFDELING)

SAAKNOMMER: 92/26560 P/H 308

In die saak tussen:-

MOFOKENG, THABO

Eiser

en

MINISTER VAN VERDEDIGING

Verweerder

KENNISGEWING VAN ADRESVERANDERING

Neem kennis dat die adres van die Staatsprokureur waar betekening van alle dokumente in die aksie moet geskied vanaf <u>1 Junie 1994</u> soos volg verander:-

DIE STAATSPROKUREUR 10DE VLOER NORTH STATEGEBOU MARKETSTRAAT 95 h/w KRUISSTRAAT JOHANNESBURG

GEDATEER te JOHANNESBURG hierdie 23 dag van MEI 1994.

VERWEERDER SE PROKUREUR DIE STAATSPROKUREUR ROYAL ST.MARY'S GEBOU 888 ELOFFSTRAAT 85 PRIVAATSAK X9 JOHANNESBURG

Verwys na: J. PRETORIUS/mw Verw.: 7304/92/P33 Tel.: (011) 29-2961 AAN :

DIE GRIFFIER VAN DIE BOGEMELDE AGBARE HOF JOHANNESBURG

EN AAN :

EISER SE PROKUREUR NICHOLLS & CAMBANIS 23STE VLOER KINE CENTRE COMMISSIONERSTRAAT JOHANNES BURG

Verw.: VS/PP/54

AFSKRIF HIERVAN BETEKEN OP DIE DAG VAN MEI 1994.

nms/EISER SE PROKUREURS

/ 1		1/10		PASIËN'	Volgno.	1
aam voluit HAB	0	1/6/0	keng	0	Serial No	
uisadres E 22. Stesidential address		Po	1/a /	Park	Opgeneem Admitted	Datum • Date VM. A.M. P.I
10 koza	1421	Foon Phone No				17/90 1010
uwelikstaat arital state	Geslag Sex	1//	Ras		Indeling Classification	11/2
eroep ecupation		Geboortedat Date of birth.	um 196	9	Dr	7/
aam van persoon verantwoord ame of person responsible for pa	lelik vir betaling var ayment of account	n rekening				
//Haar adres • His/Her address	s					
aam van werkgewer • Name o	f employer					
dres van werkgewer • Address	of employer					
aam van siekefonds ame of sick fund					S/F S/F No	
*Jaarlikse gesinsinkomste Uit alle bronne	• Annual family	00% 30				
Broodwinner • Bressterne					R	
Vrou • Wife					R	
Ander afhanklikes • Other	oependants				R	
				Totaal • Total	R	
Getal persone in gesin (broodw	vinner ingesluit)	nner)	,			
lumber of persons in household eld ouderdomme van afhanklik	(including breadwirkes					
Number of persons in household eld ouderdomme van afhanklik tate ages of dependants ede vir afhanklikheid	l (including breadwii kes	é	<u>/</u>			
lumber of persons in household eld ouderdomme van afhanklik ate ages of dependantsede vir afhanklikheid eason for dependence	l (including breadwii	houdend is, moe	t uitgesluit word)			
lumber of persons in household eld ouderdomme van afhanklik ate ages of dependants	d (including breadwii kes ouer wat selfonder d older who are se	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded)			Tyd
eld ouderdomme van afhanklik eld ouderdomme van afhanklik ate ages of dependants	o het	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded)			Tyd Time
eld ouderdomme van afhanklik late ages of dependants	d (including breadwii kes ouer wat selfonder d older who are se	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded) Plek Place			Tyd Time
dumber of persons in household eld ouderdomme van afhanklik late ages of dependants	d (including breadwii kes ouer wat selfonder d older who are se	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded) Plek Place			Tyd Time
dumber of persons in household eld ouderdomme van afhanklik late ages of dependants	d (including breadwii kes ouer wat selfonder d older who are se	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded) Plek Place			Tyd Time
eld ouderdomme van afhanklik late ages of dependants	ouer wat selfonderld older who are se	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded) Plek Place			Tyd Time
lumber of persons in household eld ouderdomme van afhanklik ate ages of dependants	ouer wat selfonder d older who are se g het die invloed van drar ie irifluence of liquor aar bewussyn?	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded) Plek Place		en voertu and vehic	Tyd Time
eld ouderdomme van afhanklik tate ages of dependants	ouer wat selfonder d older who are se lie invloed van drar ie irifluence of liquor aar bewussyn?	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded) Plek Place		en voertu and vehic	ig No. Cef Le
atum van ongeluk/besering ate of accident/injury	ouer wat selfonder d older who are se lie invloed van drar ie irifluence of liquor aar bewussyn?	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded) Plek Place		en voertu and vehic	Tyd Time
eld ouderdomme van afhanklikate ages of dependants	ouer wat selfonder d older who are se lie invloed van drar ie irifluence of liquor aar bewussyn?	houdend is, moe If-supporting mus	t uitgesluit word) st be excluded) Plek Place		en voertu and vehic	ig No. Cef Le

SLEGS VIR AFSKEURSTROKIES

Datum Date	Betalings • Payn		Betalings • Payments	Betalings • Payments
	Betalings • Payn L62L67 oN	Datum Date		
		: -		
			Rekenings • Accou	unts

Datum • Date Fell this verning 9/4/92 pair @lince Kup - No # spen - small effussion. Pain of collet hig .. - POP cylinder -TCB 029.4.92 27.4.92 RP-P. Discharge

-HOSPITAAL

AFDELING WARD.....

Pasiënt • Patient

Pasiëntnommer Patient's Number Ouderdom Age Indeling Classification

33246

The state of the s					
Datum Date		Voorskrif besonderhed Details of prescription	e /	Hoev. uit. Oty. issd.	Apteker Pharmacist
9492	? Per	102	Color Majent	21	
1132	101		of any faient /	39	1/1
			of ekwivalent	1	
			or equivalent	11	
			of ekwivalent	7)	
	^		or equivalent	V	^
22.4.92	Kub	- Rub.	o' ekwivalent		
			or equivalent		,
	Brulo	_ 202	of ekwivalen:	10,	101
			or equivalent		
			1 of elewivalent		
			or equivalent		
		/	of ekwivale.		
			or equivalent		
			of ekwivalent		
	*		or equivalent		
			of ekwivalent		
			or equivalent		
			of ekwivalent		
		,	or equivalent	1	
			of ekwivalent		
			or equivalent		
			of ekwivalent		
			or equivalent		
			of envivalent	-	
			or equivalent		
			of ekwivalent		
			or equivalent		
			of ekwivalent		
			orequivalent		
			of ekwivalent		
			or equivalent		

As hierdie woorde "of ekwivalent" nie deur die voorskrywer geskraap word nie dui dit aan dat die goedgekeurde generiese ekwivalent uitgereik mag word.

If the words "or equivalent" are not deleted by the prescriber this will indicate that the approved generic equivalent may be supplied.

CONSENT TO SUPPLY CONFIDENTIAL MEDICAL REPORT

NAME OF PATIENT

: THARD MOFORENCY

HOSPITAL REFERENCE NO

: 33246 92

DATE OF TREATMENT

: 9/4/92

I, the undersigned,

THARD MOFOREMY

do hereby request and authorize you to give a medical report on the injuries sustained and treatment received by me, and to supply copies of all medical records, x-rays and records of any other form of treatment to: -

THE STATE ATTORNEY
888 ROYAL ST.MARY'S BUILDING
85 ELOFF STREET
PRIVATE BAG X9
JOHANNESBURG

as a matter of urgency.

I have fully considered the implications of my actions and authorize you to give all my medical records to my legal representatives or any private doctor they may advise me to see.

SIGNED at JoHAMNESBURGEN this the 4th day of DECEMBER 1992.

AS WITNESSES : -

1. Sother & 2. Kgasoare

> SIGNATURE OF CONSENTEE OR RIGHT HAND THUMB

Collection Number: AK2702

Goldstone Commission of Enquiry into PHOLA PARK Records 1992-1993

PUBLISHER:

Publisher:-Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand Location:-Johannesburg
©2012

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of the collection records and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a private collection deposited with Historical Papers at The University of the Witwatersrand by the Church of the Province of South Africa.