Mr Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen,

My First duty as it is my gree est pleasure, is to thank the Syned Missionary Committee for the graet honour they have conferred upon me in inviting me to address this meeting tonight.

The Missionary meeting I consider as the pith and essence of The Church, the chief organ of exp reion of its endeavour, namely Missionary enterprise. Is consider it the most important meeting of the synod.

I have been very much at a loss for a fitting subject of address for such a meeting as this, and it is with no small amount of diffidence and uncertainty that I have finally ventured to address you on RACE RELATIONS inSouth Africa Considered in the Light Of The Moral Law and the Christian Ideal,

The foremost political problem of South Africa and of the world in general today is to find a way whereby the different races may live side by side in peace harmony and goodwill. This solution of this problem rests primarily with politicians because it is a political question, and it might appear to some that the queastin is first and last a political one, and that therefore it is exclusively the duty of politicians and statesmen to find a solution for it. But the solution of a Question which and deals with men and society must necessarily involve such qualities with men and society must necessarily involve such qualities ustice, Equity and Benevolence, Honour honesty, and it therefore involves and dhallenges that body the Charch with which we naturally associate the highest conceptions of Humanity and Morality.

There is today among some sections of the population of South Africa much disquiet and distrust, seething discontent, suspicion and fear regarding what the future holds in store.

Among the Indian population, there are protests and deputations against the Anti-Asiatic Bill, and the Class Areas Bill measures whose aim is compulsory segregation of the Indians. The Government is accused, rightly or wringly of perfidy and deliberate violation of its promises and word of honour.

On the African side also, there are mass meetings and loud protests particularly against the contemplated measure of Segregation of Natives.

To many Africans, this projected measure is a positive night mare.

New, Africans, and we may be sure Indians also, do not fear or dislike Segregation as such. In fact, the majority of of them would readily welcome it if there was an assurance of fair dealing, equality of opportunity, and Justice in the application of the measure. But such assurance is, under the existing conditions impossible to expect. One of the two races monopoly of power absolutely and it is most nhikely that it will waive an at a jot of its right real or imagined, or sacrifice a jot of its interests in a settle ment which in reality demands a

a sacrifice of interests on each side

We have no doubt that the necessity for Segregation has arisen from the need of the desire for Racial harmony. the attempt to meet that need is commendable. But Harmony we must remember presupposes liberty and also Equality-two terrible words which seem always to crop up in the considerati n of racial and class questions, and seem to seem to indicate sentiment and passion. The principle of Equality to OM of is an expression of Morality and Justice. Ex Absolute harmony can exist only

where there is absolute justice and mutual confidence; and absolute justice is only possible between equals. I f these fundamental facts were recognised in parliarmentary debates and parliamentary laws, even Segregation would be shorn of its terrors, it might then , perhaps make for Harmony

inter-racial peace and progress.

But logically, Segregation in any form is really a s retrogressive step. and as such can at best be but a temporary expedient. Humamity moves forward or improves by association, not separation; by aggregation, and not by s egregation, and if this is not yet the time, f surely the day will come, when the Black and The White races will gladly move towa ds each other.

Furt her I must confession to total inability to appreciate the applicability of the term segregation to South African conditions which are such that the African and the European are inter-dependand, and cannot by any conceivable means be separated the one from the other, except of course in the Union Parliarment. Thorough-going segregation, either geographically or economically is quite impossible. and what is attempted is bound to be be some half-hearted measure, worse than useless. But thus far for this.

The Prime minister's scheme is as you know, three-fold. It comprises (1) Political Segregation thereby there will be instituted a Native Parliar ment, so-called-quite distinct from the Union Parliarment but having no legis alative powers, and subs rvient to the Union Parli rment, which will legis late for the Natives and tax them as heretofore, without their being either directly or effeciently represented in it.

(ii) The scheme comprises Industrial Segregation, attempted already in

the Colour Bar Bill.

(111) Finally the scheme comprises Territorial Segregation already partially introduced in The Natives LandsAct 1913 with the hardships so well known to most

Segregation on thebasis of Justice might prove the best solution of racial difficulties. We are teld by its prophets that each race will be able to pursue its ideals, and follow its traditions, conserve its institutions, pr serve its race purity, and develop along its own lines, unhampred by the other race.

Segregation to be a success needs to be complete. It must be ve very strictly just and equal. It must be segregation in truth, Not Discri-mination. Otherwise those fine words about developing along natural line

are. in relation MyAfricans mere words.

We have a good example of Segregation, based on Equality in theOold Testament"And Abraha said unto botlet there be no strife, I pray thee. b tween me and Thee and between thy headmen and my herdmen, for we be bretheren. Is not the whole land before thee? Separate thyself I pray thee from me. If thou will take the left hand, then I will go to the right; or if thou depart to the right hand, then I will go to the left.

In that classical example we have a free choice, a true and just territorial S gregation, as agaist the political, social, industri 1

and territorial de c imination propos d by the Union's Bremier

and so ably supported, not only by his party, nut also by by several lightly placed indiciduals. Because of this shor -sightedness, or instance in sincerity, the mask makenese of segregation is for doomed to failure. It will be the dissatisfaction and strife in the make place of peace and harmon are and suspicion instead of goodwill and confidence. Aready of he organion of the Africands workers for strike, of the foun ation of an indegenous rican churh, Self-determination, and there are cries of Africa for the ricans.

It is stated that this one sided segregation has been evolved as a cans of self-preservation, to protect the white man, his off-spring and its institutions against the inreads of African barbarism, and to secure in from rivalry and economic competition. Protection is praiseworthy, but should be extended taxa all members of the community. The African has te as good a claim to protection, and failure to afford him that protect will be an offence against the laws of progressive humanity, and of rality. Self-preservation is the law of the jungle, by which I conceive many weaker but innocent animals suffer from the stronger. Wherever it is stay the everlasting and ever present question is-"Can I kil/thee, or inst thou kil me?"

Self-preservation is one of the most powerful motives in lower ture which in man is, or should be tempered by reason, judment, a sense right add a spirit of sacrifice. In Christian life this primitive to foreservation should be superseded by the greater spirituallaw f

ice, and saxrifice.

It is fendly assumed tat there is a polarity, a continuous of essary battle between the interests of the black and the white races that for the Europeans to live, the Africans must die die lice vers to surely is a very narrow view of life. Can we not conceive, and conceived treat the human race as one family, as an unity, and as a living organ, whose several parts an organs are mutually dependent and coperate for common good?

We are a are that an injury to any single part or group of the of a living organism diminishes the effeciency of all the other part of the organism as a whole. Similarly, the injury to another class or the is self injury, and will as surely diminish the efficiency of one class or race. This is the law/of pinature and it the law of Invariable tence. Life is on, and its purpose is one. There are no antagonistic electron warring factors in it or its purpose. The Natural and moral law institute each individual or race furthers its interests by serving the unity at large. Each one realises himself in the proportion as he loses elf. It is thelaw of association with equality and justice. It is the law

ess, and ultimately engenders retrogression.

There are in reality only two ways of finally settling differences een peoples, the one is by complete extermination of the weaker, and

orperate action. Whatsoever promotes these qualities also promotes progrand conversely, whatsoever precludes these qualities, also preduce

er is by complete agreement and harmony.

The law of Human progress is not simply the transference of the lass vegetable and animal evolution to the human sphere. In the one case the suthless self-assertion. It is continually-Ifor Myself, Life exists for this brief period is for My pleasure and My ease, Give Me My rights, i clear of My way, I want, and I will have. In the other case of self ion. In the place of the Survival x of x the x it test af primitive law of Survival of the fittes

ival of the Fittest, the Moral Law ordains the fitter of as many as possible to survive. Progree is an ard idealistic growth. and this leads me to my last ught, namely The Christian Ethical Ideal. The master of morality and religion have insisted on university of spirit and love as the secret spring of essedness. Jesus Christ emphasised the brotherhood an, or the unity of human nature, and thought unival association and equality of man with man. Christy conceives human society as a spiritual organism that each individual attains his highest developm in as he identified himself with his fellows. This inciple depends upon the spiritual identity of man and man, and of man and God.

It is therefore possible, by divine grace, and synthy to theourselves, and the God in us, to canscend the many barriers of class and race, most of re of our making, and to ralise our ideal in pract-

al life.

There are people who are apt to pooh-pooh reference to ideals and idea ism. But ideals cannot ignored; they are founded on reality. It is ideals

have always inspired reformers and leaders of world, urging them on and on to lofty attainments the improvement of social and other conditions. True deals must not, therefore be regarded as languid dreams, or mere matters for aesthetic contemplation, impossible of r alisation in practical life. On the contrary, they are an expression of a higher and true translation of the Universe, and embody a spiritual ality behind the materrial realism which men spent emselves in by directing their talent and energy alc:

and difficulties arising from t = contact of rac s' ir one of neutrality and indifference. If so then by subject has been ill-chosen. Or is attitude of the church one of partisanship? if so then the chuch wax

untrue to its rust.

I am happy to say that I derive encouragement fro fact that Christian international organisations, all we the world are more and more enagaging in a free an partial discussions of such problems, with a view to seir adjustment. That the pleasure of attending one such international conference in Glas ow in 1921, and

he problems discussed were exactly of this orde I find engagement assurance in the fact that i this very hall, the Dutch Reformed Church convened a conference of Africans and Europeans in 1923 to discuss za question of exactly this type in a tr Christian spirit. The application of the Christian ideal to t problems of race throws a new light-a spiritual light on themIt enlarges the outlook, and gives fuller and truer apprehension of the values involve Finally, let me remind you that moral ar religious reforms originate in a small way, not for nations, but from individuals and small groups, and thence spread outwa ds in ever-increasing circles. nations and continents are influenced. And also the race relationships are not determined only by park politicians and parliarments. But the nature and sp of such relationships may artriam originate in indivividual, one society, one Church.

Mr Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen, I thank

you.

**Collection Number: A979** 

## Silas T MOLEMA and Solomon T PLAATJE Papers

## **PUBLISHER:**

Publisher:- Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand Location:- Johannesburg ©2012

## **LEGAL NOTICES:**

**Copyright Notice:** Copyright for all materials on the Historical Papers website is owned by The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg and is protected by South African copyright law. Material may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

**Disclaimer and Terms of Use:** Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a collection owned by the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg and deposited at Historical Papers at The University of the Witwatersrand.