A28.2

Proposal for the opinion survey arising out of discussion at the Cape Town ECC weekend away on 5th & 6th March 1988.

The opinion survey presented at National Conference was referred to regions for discussion. The following points emerged from the weekend away:

- 1. There is a real need for objective information about attitudes to conscription and alternative service in our constituency. Our analysis of the "conscripted community" is based largely on conjecture and erratic individual reports. We have never made a scientific attempt to document the full range of view= points w.r.t. conscription, or to gauge the kind of support we could expect for our call for alternative national services.
- 2. This latter point is particularly important with regard to lobbying support for the campaign amongst business and other groups, as well as being an integral input into the consultative conference at the end of the year. The opinion survey could thus become an integral part of our campaign for alternative national service.
- 3. We considered the possibility of using the survey as an internal organising tool, involving our activists in door-to-door
 constituency work. However we felt that our need was for
 objective and reproducible data that would be accepted by the
 wider community. This would favour the survey being run by
 our independent agency. In addition work pressure would limit
 the ability of our activists to do a proper survey.
- 4. An additional area of information we could gain from a survey would be public knowledge of ECC, attitudes to ECC, and sugges= tions for changes to the organisation. We felt that while this would be useful, it was of secondary importance to the info. mentioned above, and should only be included in the survey if is would not 'contaminate' this information.

We have approached market research companies and have received a number of proposals for an attitude survey. These are:

1. Qualitative Consultancy - group and individual surveys

R17 000

2. Research survey - individual surveys

R 8 000

 Omnicheck / Teencheck (part of a larger general survey)

R 900 per question

See copies of the research proposals.

These have been assessed to be very reasonable proposals that would give increasing depth of information according to the amount we would be prepared to spend.

Process and funding: If the approach to an attitude survey as out= lined above is endorsed, we suggest that the proposals we have received from the Qualitative Consultancy and Research Surveys be taken to business representatives as part of our lobbying process for the campaign. Their involvement in the campaign could include funding such a survey; our aim should be to have the survey funded seperately from ECC. Depending on the extent of financial support for the survey, we would be able to decide on the appropriate survey to implement. These tasks should be assigned to one of the regions or else to ECC national workers. Some interest has been expressed in the survey by Cape Town activists, but Johannesburg may well be a better location to raise funds.

Collection Number: AG1977

END CONSCRIPTION CAMPAIGN (ECC)

PUBLISHER:

Publisher:- Historical Papers Research Archive Location:- Johannesburg ©2013

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a collection held at the Historical Papers Research Archive at The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.