

EC 3-4-24 Conference on Group Areas Act.

22.

DAS 72

GENESIS OF APARTHEID.

I

SUBMITTED BY

TRANSVAAL INDIAN CONGRESS.

The Group Areas Act has its roots in the policy of segregation enunciated by the successive governments of South Africa in their dealings with the non-white people. When slavery was abolished in 1836 the exploitation of the people was perpetuated under the system of indentured labour, and pass laws, and poll tax which made it necessary for the people to supply a form of forced labour to the farmers and subsequently to the diamond and gold mines. The introduction to Natal of indentured labourers from India in 1860 was in line with this policy.

Although pass laws and poll tax discriminated against the African people in the first half of the nineteenth century, and though Indians were introduced as indentured labourers in the early sixties, the first statutory segregation of the Indian people was under Law 3 of 1885 in what was then the South African Republic.

Law 3 of 1885 laid down that peoples whose original homes were in Asia or the Turkish Empire could not obtain burger rights, own fixed property or occupy land except in areas specially set aside for them in locations, wards and streets.

The policy of segregation in the Transvaal was consistently applied. But the Indian community tenaciously opposed these restrictions in every possible way. They carried on a determined struggle to preserve their economic interests and through hard work and self-denial they established and built up many enterprises. They invested heavily in South Africa and granted extended credit facilities which was often to their loss, which was an important contribution to the development of the country. Despite this contribution, successive South African governments carried on a policy of discrimination against the Indian people.

The Pegging Act which applied to the Transvaal was extended by the Smuts Government to Durban and Maritzburg which "pegged" Indian tenure and was later consolidated in the Asiatic Land Tenure and Indian Representation Act which was termed the "Ghetto Act" by the Indian Community.

Apartheid.

The Nationalist Government came into power at the General Election on a platform known as the "Black Manifesto," advancing the policy of apartheid and which has since become notorious throughout the world. As it has come to take shape over the past eight years of Nationalist rule, "apartheid" has proved itself to be a policy of unmitigated oppression of the African, Coloured and Indian people. It has been marked by a series of laws and measures designed to deprive non-white South Africans of every sort of citizenship rights and the franchise, to land and property, to proper education, to freedom of

Osman DHC

thought and speech, movement and organisation. Even the right to protest against all these acts of crude "baasskap" has been hedged in and restricted by successive laws and arbitrary ministerial action to the point where it has almost disappeared.

In line with the policy of apartheid is the infamous Group Areas Act, which purports to provide separate areas for people of different national and racial origins on the grounds that this is necessary to preserve "white civilisation". In fact the law is designed and is being used to deprive non-white people of all their property and to kraal them in locations which are nothing but dormitories where they can sleep after their day's work for the White employers. The Nationalists will not tolerate non-white people living and working in any capacity other than that of labourers

These locations are sited without any regard to the conveniences, comforts and aspirations of those who live in them. Thus the non-whites have been driven from the Western Areas of Johannesburg to Meadowlands from Lady Selborne to the wasteland of Vlakfontein. Thus the proposed Group Areas for Indians in scores of platteland villages suggest locations far away from the life of the dorp, in the bare veld.

Group Areas Act.

After the Nationalist Party came into power the appointment of the two Committees in respect of Transvaal and Natal was announced in a Government notice dated 26th November, 1948. The Committees were known as the Asiatic Land Tenure Amendment Committee and the Land Tenure Act Amendments Committee.

In the report tabled by these committees to Dr. Donges, Minister of the Interior, inter alia, it stated:-

"The general tenor of the evidence submitted to your Committees was overwhelmingly in favour of compulsory segregation of the racial groups, that is, Europeans, Natives, Asiatics and Coloured persons, as regard the ownership and occupation affixed property and as regards trade and industry. Your Committees felt that there would be no justification for not accepting this evidence and they accordingly accepted it and it came to the conclusion that the Asiatic Land Tenure Act of 1946 (Act No. 28 of 1946) should be replaced by a more comprehensive measure introducing compulsory segregation of the racial groups. At the same time your Committees realised that this is a very far-reaching conclusion and one which may evoke strong opposition, particularly on the part of the Asiatics. In view of this fact it was considered advisable to ascertain what was the nature of the evidence which has in the past been tendered to Commissions which enquired into the Asiatic question and what were the remedies proposed. More particularly, the object was to ascertain the European public opinion on the general question and to see whether it was at all in conformity with the

evidence tendered/.....

evidence tendered to your Committees and, if so, to see if there was a consistent and enduring body of public opinion on the question of compulsory segregation. This investigation has brought to light some obscure and unknown facts and has satisfied your Committees that the evidence tendered to them is amply supported by similar evidence both in Natal and the Transvaal almost ever since the Asiatic problem arose. It is accordingly proposed to give a summary of this evidence before dealing with the evidence submitted to the two Committees."

"Before stating our recommendations we feel that reference should be made to one matter which, strictly speaking falls outside our terms of reference but which is so closely associated in the public mind with the Asiatic question that it has a determining influence on the evidence tendered to us and accordingly also on recommendations based on such evidence, and that is the possibility of repatriating the Asiatic from South Africa. There appears to be an ever-growing belief in the public mind that the only satisfactory solution of the Asiatic question is repatriation, and whatever is done by way of legislation should be such as not to endanger the possibility of repatriation and deprive the public of its most deeply cherished hopes.

"The fundamental theme of the evidence throughout the years has been and still is "repatriation or, failing which, compulsory segregation." In the most recent evidence there is noticeable a distinct tendency for this theme to assume the form of: repatriation and, pending which, compulsory segregation. In its most advanced form this theme reads: repatriation and, failing which, compulsory segregation with boycott to induce repatriation.

"The recommendations which we propose to make are such that legislation based on them would, on the one hand, not unduly endanger the possibility of repatriation, and, on the other hand, not fall short of what we regard as necessary to deal effectively with the present situation."

From the above extracts of the report of findings of these Commissions it is clear that the Committees were violently biased. It was on such a basis that the Group Areas Act was introduced in Parliament in 1950. During the debate it was said that the act was the kernel of Apartheid.

This Group Areas Act places the ten million non-whites at the mercy of the Nationalist Government and may up-root entire communities from any place they may have inhabited from time immemorial and transport them anywhere else.

Section 24 of the Act established a board known as the Land Tenure Advisory Board and subsequently changed to the Group Areas Board. The function of this Board is to enquire into the desirability or otherwise of establishing Group

Areas/.....

Areas. The procedure laid down in Section 27 (2) of the Act says:

"Before advising the Minister as to any proclamation setting aside of Group Areas the Board shall publish in a newspaper the matter under investigation and invite interested persons to lodge with the Board any representations in regard to the areas advertised."

In terms of the decision of the South African Indian Congress, the provincial Congresses made representations at the meetings of the Board to oppose and expose the act. Evidence submitted to the Board disclosed the true aims of Apartheid. All plans for segregation particularly those affecting the Indian community showed a callous desire on the part of the upholders of apartheid to rob the Indians of their property and business rights acquired by them over a period of years. In the Transvaal about thirteen sittings have taken place. In all cases the plans involved uprooting of the settled Indian population and the destruction of their means of livelihood.

On the 7th May, 1952, Lydenburg became the first place to test the provisions of the Group Areas Act. The Land Tenure Advisory Board postponed sine die the hearing to consider a Town Council plan to remove Indians three miles out of town. The expected three-day session came to a sudden halt. This was due to the fact that certain objectors opposing the scheme exposed the intention of the town Council in setting aside an area three miles out of town. It appeared crudely obvious that the true motive behind the municipality's whole scheme was to supplant the Indian traders by Europeans, to deprive them of their livelihood and to uproot them from the town. Counsel appearing for the Municipality said:

"There was general feeling that Indians have penetrated deeply into business and they would now be well advised to concern themselves less with business and more with other trade."

In 1954 the Lydenburg Council made a second attempt to apply the Act to remove Indians from business and residential premises in the town. Two alternative proposals were submitted by their Council for the consideration of the Board. We of the Congress succeeded in frustrating the efforts of the Council by utilizing a circumstance which suggested a potential prejudice on the part of the Board. It consisted of erf 131 a portion of land occupied by an Indian but owned by an European. Prior to the then current sitting of the Board, a European Company intending to purchase erf 131 applied to the Board for a permit to occupy this particular portion. This application was granted and a permit to occupy was issued.

An application was made to the Chairman of the Board by Counsel appearing for a Transvaal Indian Congress that the members of the Board should recuse themselves if they were also members of the Board which issued the permit referred to above, on the ground that the issuing of the permit had prejudged the decision of the Group Areas Board sitting in Lydenburg, or that some members of the Board did not want to include the erf in question in the Group Area for Indians and this fact would colour their attitude at the hearing and

influence any recommendations they made to the Minister. This application was refused. Attempts to obtain the names of the Board members who had recommended the issue of the permit referred to above were unsuccessful. As a result of this attitude of the Board the matter was referred to the Supreme Court where the decision went against us. The significance of this is not whether we lost in the legal fight but that we successfully delayed the functioning of the Board for a considerable long period of time. The Board met initially at Lydenburg in 1952 but its work was concluded only towards the end of 1954.

At the resumed hearing of the Board following the Supreme Court hearing the Chairman ruled that the Transvaal Indian Congress was not in terms of the Act an interested party to the deliberations of the Board, and therefore Congress not qualified to make representations or participate in any form in the proceedings. Similar rulings were given by the Chairman of the Boards at Pietermaritzburg, Brits and Johannesburg.

At the Johannesburg hearing we made an application for the adjournment of the Board to enable us to test the validity of the ruling in the Supreme Court. This was refused by the Board. In the interim we made an application to the Supreme Court accordingly, where it held that in terms of the Act the Transvaal Indian Congress was an interested body and fully qualified to participate in the proceedings of the Board. The inability of the Board to silence Congress before its proceedings was temporary. As a result of the Supreme Court decision, the Minister of Interior had the Act amended further, giving the Chairman of the Board absolute discretion in deciding whether a person or organisation was an interested body in terms of the Act. Whether Congress can make representations before any hearing of the Board, now is determined not by the wishes of the Indian people, but by the Chairman of the Group Areas Board, acting on behalf of an anti-Indian government.

Sittings were also held in Carolina, Nylstroom, Dalfour, Brits, Wolmaranstand, Nelspruit, Johannesburg, Ermelo, Klerksdorp, and Ventersdorp. Pretoria and Rustenburg has been adjourned sine die.

At Lydenburg, the Counsel appearing for the local municipality took the line of what was the hard and determined plan of the Nationalists to solve the Indian problem for all times, however, at the subsequent hearing at Carolina, counsel for the municipality put forward a recommendation designed to increase the period of removal to the proclaimed Indian Group Area from twelve months to four years for business and two years for residence, and thereby decrease the Indian opposition, and lull the Indian into a more co-operative attitude towards the Group Areas Act.

The procedure adopted at the hearings at Nylstroom and Lydenburg where counsel for the municipality called on the Town Clerk to give the Board estimates and figures of costs for the establishment of Group Areas was changed, because at previous sittings under cross examination the municipality's motive for setting aside these areas were exposed.

This procedure was changed and transformed the Board as the privileged Committee of the Municipality concerned. Previously witnesses were freely called to give evidence and to be cross-examined. This practice facilitated a severe and detailed examination of witnesses called by the local authority and in most cases led to the exposure of the real motives of the municipality in advocating a particular plan submitted to the Board.

In subsequent amendments to the Act it was left in the discretion of the Chairman to call witnesses. Henceforth the local authority not surprisingly refrained from calling witnesses at further hearings to save itself and the authors of the Act the embarrassment of being caught with its shabby and fraudulent schemes and its bad faith. Applications to the Chairman to summon a witness particularly the Town Clerk of a local authority concerned have invariably been refused. In effect what happens now is that the local authority can with impunity put forward any wicked scheme in the form of memoranda without the burden of having to elaborate or explain it. The fate of the Indian people is now being decided on a scrap of paper.

At the latest sitting of the Board which was at Ventersdorp a new approach was adopted by the local authority. Hitherto a local authority had concerned itself in convincing the Board of the need for a Group Area for Indians different and away from existing sector or sectors normally occupied by the Indian community. It continued to be responsible for the provision of a suitable area within its jurisdiction.

The local authority at Ventersdorp, however, has departed from this generally accepted method. I introduced a plan which is at once novel and preposterous. In effect Ventersdorp is adhering to the classic Nationalist solution of the Indian problem. It is simply to deport the Indian away from Ventersdorp and thus disclaim all responsibility for the future of the Indian community. The Indian was either to be removed to towns "enjoying" larger units of the Indian population or failing that "the Kalahari Desert or the Bottom of Table Bay" was the Council's contribution to the hearing of the Board at Ventersdorp.

The essential fact which emerged at this hearing was that the Council was unable to discharge the onus placed upon it by the Act, namely, to make out a case and need for a Group Area for Indians, fell back upon the racial platitudes based upon the lack of hygiene among the Indians and the simplicity of deportation.

Lenasia.

In 1953 a private European company was formed in which the city councillors had direct financial interests.

This company exploited the acute housing shortage of the Indian community caused by restrictive land laws and accentuated by the Group Areas Act. They established a township about 20 miles from Johannesburg. This township can accommodate the entire Indian population of Johannesburg.

The siting of this township is a trap; the Minister of the Interior issued a open permit to the owners of the Township to sell the land to Indians. This in effect was bringing the Group Area in through the back door. Plans are now afoot to eject and displace 10,000 more Indians from the city. It is envisaged that 5,000 people will be thrown out of the Western Areas of Johannesburg as part of the removal of the "Black Spots" from so-called white areas. Another 5,000 people now living in Vrededorp face a similar fate. This was made clear by the application of Section 13 to the Vrededorp area.

The application of Section 13 means that it is intended to change the character of the area and therefore in terms of the Act no new buildings may be erected, extended or occupied without the permission of the authorities. The people of Vrededorp together with all the other people who live and trade in different parts of Johannesburg are being forced out of the city. They are to go to Lenasia which is earmarked as an Indian Group Area for ownership and occupation. There the government expected to achieve the cherished dream of apartheid and economic strangulation. The City Council of Johannesburg has succumbed to the Nationalist propaganda of apartheid and is prepared to assist the Government in removing the Indian people from the city. To make Lenasia a reality, areas at present occupied by the Indian people are being declared or are largely declared industrial or slum areas. This is being done to hasten the realisation of their group area.

At the end of the 1954 school term the Education Department closed down the Booyens Indian School in the city and declared that they were erecting a school on the barren veld of Lenasia. The action of the Department can only be viewed as an attempt to lend their force to the protagonists of apartheid and segregation. The Indian people despite the acute housing shortage boycotted Lenasia, and the removal of the school was rejected as a fraudulent attempt to compel the Indian people to accept this as a logical Group area.

The Indian community successfully boycotted the school and established one in the city. This institution is being maintained and financed by the community. On the closure of the Booyens School the Indian community offered the Department premises in the City. This was rejected and the community was told that in keeping with official policy schools must be established where Indian group areas are to be proclaimed.

This seems to show clearly that the government will employ any method to herd the Indian community into ghettos.

It is now five years that the Group Areas Act came into force and it is four years that the Group Areas Board is functioning. The purpose of the Act in the innocent and harmless language of Parliament is to provide for the establishment of Group Areas for the control of and acquisition of immovable property and the occupation of land and properties. In fact, the working of the Group Areas

Board and the proposals submitted to the Board by various local authorities has demonstrated very clearly that the purpose of the Group Areas Act is:

- (a) to bring about complete segregation among the different groups and communities in South Africa both for residence and trade,
- (b) to uproot the Indian community of South Africa from their normal settlements to such a drastic extent as to bring about their economic ruination and create such miserable conditions of life, that they would be forced to accept voluntary repatriation.

This is not just a political argument of the Indians but is the avowed policy of the government.

From our experiences of the sittings of the Group Areas Board, it has become quite evident that by the very nature of the Act there is no room what-so-ever for compromise and co-operation with the Government. An examination of the submission of the chief counsel for the local authorities has left no doubt as to the full implication of the Act. In discussing whether Indians could remain in their existing sectors within the meaning of the Act, the learned counsel said:

"My learned friend (Dr. Lowen) has been studying the Act to find a provision stating that the Indian must be moved outside the town. Well, he will not find it inside the act but will find it outside the act. It lies in the fact that the act was passed at all. If the Indians had to stay where they are, the Pegging Acts that we have had since 1939 would have been entirely sufficient. We would have had no need to set up all this elaborate machinery created by the Group Areas Act, if we only want to have a Pegging and a keeping of the Indians where they are at present. The mere fact that the Act was passed, Mr. Chairman, indicates that the act required a much more drastic separation than can be achieved by any Pegging measures."

If this is the true interpretation of the purpose of the act, and we think that it is, then there is no room for any illusions about co-operation with the Government and aiming to soften the harshness of the act.

The Minister of Interior, Dr. Danges, in introducing the Group Areas Bill in Parliament said:

"As regards the carrying out of this Bill it will be based on justice. No policy that is not based on justice has any chance of success."

The justice the Minister was thinking of is the justice of extending and consolidating the privileges of the Europeans

and to uprooting the Indian community. The purpose of the Group Areas Board is to investigate and recommend schemes for Group Areas. But it has another important function and that is to test the efficiency of the Act. It is as a result of the Board hearings that weaknesses in the Act have been removed and loopholes closed. At the same time it was the justice of the Minister that prompted him to give the Chairman absolute discretion in calling witnesses and of excluding anybody from making representations. Needless to say this discretion has been exercised against the interests of the Indian people.

Under the circumstances, the Indian community must consistently oppose the application of the Group Areas Act. There can be no compromise on the policy of Apartheid. The Indian people will never accept the policy of expatriation, on the other hand, they are determined to resist with all the power they have to defeat the avowed aim of the Government under the banner of the South African Indian Congress; they have made a common cause with their fellow countrymen; with the African National Congress particularly and with the South African Coloured People's Organisation and the South African Congress of Democrats to struggle for right and justice for all.

"THERE SHALL BE HOUSES, SECURITY AND COMFORT."

FREEDOM IN OUR LIFETIME.

MAYIBUYE! AFRIKA!

52

(11)

Enquiry No. _____
 Given by John Shell
 THE WESTERN AREAS SCHEME 2/1/53 - 2/1/57
 Discussion Notes 42 Quarts R. Hillman
 Datum 27.9.57
 Verwysings No. 5.2

1. Short Description

"The Malan Government's aim in declaring Sophiatown, Martindale and Newclare as a 'black spot' is to throw out every Non-European, man woman and child, from the Western Areas, and to take away their right to own and occupy property which they have in these areas. "

(leaflet issued jointly by African National Congress and S.A. Indian Congress, June, 1953)

The population involved (including Western Native Township) is estimated at about 83,500 in the "Survey" issued by the Non-European Affairs Department of the Johannesburg City Council. (1950)

The "Verwoerd Plan" proposes, in effect, uprooting these people from their homes and transferring them to the bare veld at "Meadowlands", an open space in the Orlando-Pimville area. There they will be expected to put up their own shelters and pondokkies, after the style of Moroka and Jabavu.

It is likely that legislation will shortly be introduced to give effect to this plan.

2. Freehold Rights

Sophiatown, Martindale and Newclare are the only big areas in Johannesburg where Non-Europeans exercise rights to own freehold land. (Alexandra is outside the municipal area.)

These rights date back to the time of the Republic and have been continuously exercised since then. The City Council estimates the compensation value of the land and buildings (1950) at £4,260,487.

Sophiatown and Martindale were established as townships in 1905. In 1934 the Asiatic Land Tenure Commission reported :

"Sophiatown consists of 1,694 lots, the great majority of which are held in freehold ... 37 lots are held in leasehold."

Martindale adjoins Sophiatown. There are 332 stands; all are held in freehold, without any restriction against non-white occupation.

There are 579 stands in Newclare. All are freehold. From its inception the township was intended for non-white accommodation. The title contains a specific restriction that "no whites shall be permitted to reside in the township."

3. Urban Areas Law

The significance of these freehold rights can only be properly appreciated in the light of the Union's Urban Areas legislation affecting Africans. Broadly speaking, these laws are designed to prevent Africans and other non-Europeans from owning land and houses in the towns. (Native Land Act of 1913 etc. have a similar effect in rural areas)

The object is that urban Africans ...

(12)

shall all be tenants of municipal locations, subjected to strict surveillance and control, and deprived of opportunities for economic advancement.

The location system has been fully enforced in Johannesburg, and big municipal locations exist at Orlando, Pimville, Jabavu, Moroka, George Goch, Western Native Township, etc. But hitherto, although continuous proposals and threats have been made, the authorities (both local and government) have hesitated to interfere with such firmly entrenched vested property-interests as exist in the Western Areas and Alexandra. They have feared the very heavy expenses involved in compensating the owners and providing alternative freehold land and housing.

The Verwoerd Plan would solve this problem by simply not providing any alternative.

4. Overcrowding and slum conditions.

Undoubtedly, compared with middle-class European living areas the western areas afford deplorable examples of slum conditions. The average stand has seven or eight families living in single rooms. The original buildings, mainly of brick, are usually in a bad state of disrepair, and nearly every yard is crowded with rude tin shanties in which people live. As usual, the City Council has scandalously neglected the interests of these voteless ratepayers and citizens. Water, lighting and other municipal services are tenth-rate; parks, playgrounds, swimming baths, etc., are non-existent. Rents are usually exorbitant.

The authorities will doubtless attempt to present the Verwoerd Plan as a commendable act of "slum-clearance." Before accepting this conventional picture, it is necessary to enquire more closely into certain questions.

5. Why the overcrowding?

Since the Urban Areas Act prevents Africans erecting their own homes in the towns, the onus of housing then falls, naturally, upon the local authority (City or Town Council.) The Johannesburg City Council's neglect of this duty has become a notorious international scandal.

The African population of Johannesburg has grown by leaps and bounds. Here are some figures

1927	130,000
1935	200,000
1947	395,000
1952	468,000

The Johannesburg City Council has made no serious effort whatever to cope with this growth in population.

The last time any substantial number of houses was authorised by the Council was in 1947 (5,748 houses). No houses were authorised to be built in 1949, 1950 and 1951. In 1952, 1,000 were authorised.

THE TOTAL NUMBER OF HOUSES BUILT (INCLUDING HOUSES NOT YET COMPLETED) SINCE 1918 -- 18,649.

THE JOHANNESBURG CITIZENS' NATIVE HOUSING COMMITTEE (headed by the Rt. Rev. Ambrose Reeves, Bishop of Johannesburg) estimates the number of houses urgently required at -- 50,000

6. The Shantytowns

The above total of houses needed is an underestimate, for it omits to provide for thousands of families of Johannesburg workers urgently in need of housing who live at present in grossly overcrowded conditions at Alexandra and elsewhere. Probably 70,000 would be nearer a correct estimate.

But the most urgent and burning problem is clearly to provide housing for those thousands of wretched families at present existing in the Moroka-Jabavu shanties, in the incredible breeze-block shelters at Orlando, and in the "temporary" galvanised iron tanks at Pinville --- condemned as a "major slum" for over 40 years.

All these people are Council tenants. Their conditions defy description. The Citizens' Housing Committee estimates their needs at a minimum of 22,800 houses.

In 1950 the municipal Medical Officer of Health reported to the Johannesburg City Council on this area. He expressed "grave concern with regard to the difficulties of effectively controlling an outbreak of serious and infectious disease in this area." He placed the area as "priority number one in Johannesburg in the question of slum clearance." He said :

"Your officials are agreed that the shantytown area in Orlando is undoubtedly the worst from a housing point of view in the city."

If Verwoerd and the City Council were interested in slum clearance and public health, instead of pressing ahead with the Western Areas Scheme (which involves not only abolishing backyard shacks but also ejecting families from solid weather-proof buildings) they would press ahead urgently with rehousing the 22,800 desperate families in the municipal shanty towns.

7. Why Western Areas are Overcrowded.

Areas such as Sophiatown and Newclare and Alexandra are densely overcrowded precisely because the Council has not provided housing, as is its obligation - AND BECAUSE THE PEOPLE WOULD RATHER PAY HIGH RENTS FOR ROOMS IN THESE AREAS THAN LIVE IN A MUNICIPAL SHANTY TOWN.

It is important to understand why, in order to appreciate the fierce opposition to the Verwoerd Plan among the people of the Western Areas.

- A. The people are not fenced in. There is no superintendent; no visitors' and lodgers' permits and all the other irksome and humiliating restrictions of locations.
- B. However dilapidated, the rooms and shelters are far more cosy and weatherproof than the death camps of Moroka and Jabavu.
- C. There is an established community life in Western areas; made up roads, schools, churches, hospitals, sports fields, etc.

Naturally those who do enjoy property rights in these areas, mainly small owners heavily bonded, will fight tooth and nail to retain their property. But the above reasons, among many others, help to explain why the struggle against expropriation is no mere "landlords' affair" but is massively

supported by all tenants and residents in the area.

1,600 delegates representing every conceivable section of the people of the areas unanimously voted to fight the scheme, at a mass conference held on 28th June, 1953.

8. The Verwoerd Plan

There has been no denial of the newspaper report THAT THE CABINET WAS EXPECTED TO GIVE HIGH PRIORITY IN THE COMING PARLIAMENTARY SESSION TO A BILL TO SET UP AN AUTHORITY TO REMOVE NATIVES FROM JOHANNESBURG'S "BLACK SPOTS", and

"resettle them on newly-acquired land at Meadowlands and Diepkloof, and supervise all matters of re-housing, expropriation and compensation". The Natives would be shifted from Sophiatown, Martindale, Newclare, Vrededorp, Pageview and V.N.T. in that order. About 600,000 Natives would be involved". (Star, June 29th, 1953).

"Advance" (18th June 1953) published further details of this plan, based on information handed out by the Native Affairs Department.

The "re-housing" of the Western Areas Africans is to take place on the open veld at Meadowlands, in the Orlando area. The basis will be the "site and service" scheme adopted by the Pretoria municipality at Mooiplaats. Essentially, this is a "housing scheme without housing". Water and later sanitation will be provided: the rest is up to the Africans themselves.

This report was confirmed by Dr Verwoerd (House of Assembly; 21st July 1953) when he said:

"Assistance, either by way of loans or the advance of materials would be given to Natives wishing to build their homes themselves or have them built for them".

In other words, the displaced families will be offered a site on the veld. Then they will be expected to put up their own houses. The Government then "generously" offers "assistance" to the unfortunate African (to be paid for, of course!) for doing the job which the Government and the Council refuse to do!

And so, Johannesburg's housing problem will be gravely intensified by the erection of a great new State-sponsored shanty-town, and the eviction of 75,000 people from existing homes.

9. Some Questions Answered

A. "Black Spots": Haven't these non-whites encroached on white living areas?

Answer: The question assumes acceptance of the dishonest, immoral and undemocratic theory of apartheid. But even within this unacceptable frame of reference, the facts are wrong. The Western Areas were originally a non-white residential area. Since then white suburbs and industrial areas (built there because conveniently close to non-European labour) have "encroached upon" and surrounded the original townships.

B. What is the United Party's attitude?

Answer: The United Party City Council of Johannesburg

(15)

has for many years cast greedy eyes on the potentially valuable areas occupied by non-white owners in the Western Areas. They have drawn up various schemes from time to time to effect the removal of people from these areas. But these schemes have involved very heavy expenses. In 1950 the Council N.E.A.D. estimated a cost of £4,260,487 for compensating the dispossessed owners and a further £5,819,752 for providing alternative houses and hostels for the residents. The Council was deterred by this vast expenditure of over £11 million, and also by the difficulty of allocating alternative land for freehold occupation by non-whites. For the past year or two, their main point of difference with the Nationalist Government has been who should provide the money. The Verwoerd plan "solves" the problem by

(i) dispensing with compensation. ("The Native owners are at liberty to sell to Europeans or the Department ... on the open market. The question of compensation, therefore, does not arise". ... Dr Verwoerd, Assembly, 21st July 1953).

(ii) dispensing with alternative housing (See para 8 above).

(iii) no alternative freehold land will be provided.

Will the United Party oppose this ruthless and inhuman scheme, evolved by the Nazi agent, Dr Verwoerd? The present conduct and recent record of the U.P. in Parliament and on the Council would indicate that unless vigorous and determined pressure is forthcoming from the voters and ratepayers of Johannesburg, the U.P. will support the Verwoerd Plan.

C. Why Should Special Legislation Be Needed - wouldn't the Group Areas Act serve the purpose?

Answer: The "cumbersome" procedure of the Act provides for hearings before the land tenure board, at which representations can be made by affected parties, including the non-white people and their organisations. The initiative must be taken by the local authority which may be unwilling to co-operate on the Government's terms. By-passing the Act (its own Act!) would be well in line with the marked Nationalist trend towards abolition of the vestiges of democratic and judicial procedures and public enquiries and representations.

D. What's the Idea? Why are they in such a hurry; and what do the Government hope to gain by the Verwoerd Plan?

Answer: 1. The plan is in line with general Nationalist policy of making life as difficult as possible for non-white industrial workers in the towns, with the object of speeding up the flow of cheap labour to farms and mines. (compare the new passbooks, the steady intensification of police raids, Schoeman's Native Labour Bill, etc.) In addition, the Western Areas plan has the "advantage" of :-

ii. removing an area where Africans are not subjected to constant "control", terrorisation and surveillance by the location system.

iii. winning additional electoral support from the European dupes who swallow the Nats "apartheid" propaganda;

iv. depressing the economic status of the non-white commercial classes (particularly Indian and Chinese) whom the Nationalist and other European businessmen wish to eliminate as economic rivals;

E. WHAT ABOUT TRANSPORT FROM MEADOWLANDS ?

Ans: "The existing railway loop through the Orlando area was suitably situated to serve the new area. Arrangements had been made by the Railways Administration to provide extra rolling stock as the population increased."

(Verwoerd - speech quoted above)

Question for Dr. Verwoerd: Is he aware of the existing gross overcrowding on the Orlando-Pimville line? Does he know that the S.A.R. admits 40 per cent overloading on this line ?

F. What Shall I do about it ?

Ans: Only organised people can resist this wicked scheme. Join your Congress (A.N.C., S.A.I.C., C.O.D.) and get others to become active members. Tell everyone you know these facts. Get your trade union, church, sports club, etc. to send delegates to the Transvaal Provincial Conference on September 7, 1953.

Stop this Nazi scheme to destroy a community of 83,500 people, with streets, shops, churches, schools, bioscopes, sports fields and hospitals !

Demand decent housing for all workers !

Demand the equal right of all South Africans, irrespective of race sex or colour, to votes, economic opportunities and ownership of property !

Collection Number: AD1812

RECORDS RELATING TO THE 'TREASON TRIAL' (REGINA vs F. ADAMS AND OTHERS ON CHARGE OF HIGH TREASON, ETC.), 1956 1961

TREASON TRIAL, 1956 1961

PUBLISHER:

Publisher:- Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand

Location:- Johannesburg

©2012

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of the collection records and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a private collection deposited with Historical Papers at The University of the Witwatersrand.