C116

UNITED DEMOCRATIC FRONT

NATAL REGION

EVALUATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR AFFILIATES

Plus C116

GUIDELINES :

- (a) The Regional General Council (RGC) of the United Democratic Front (UDF) has decided to conduct an evaluation. The RGC wants to get the opinions and advice of affiliates on all aspects of UDF work. Your organisations co-operation in this matter will be appreciated.
- (b) This questionnaire is required to be answered with the maximum participation of your membership.
- (c) Openness and honesty is vital.
- (d) Be brief.
- (e) Please hand completed questionnaires to the UDF offices at St. Josephs by the 10th April 1984.

1. GENERAL

1.1	Name of your organisation	COMMITTEE OF CONCERN	- VERYLAM
1.2	When was your organisation formed	MAY 1983	
1.3	when did your organisation join UDF	AUGUST 1983	
1.4	what are the main aims/objectives of your organisation		

2. PERCEPTION OF UDF

2.1 why did your organisation join UDF

2.2	what roles does your organisation see for UDF at a national level	
2.3	what are the 3 most important roles	
2.4	what roles does your organisation see for UDF at a regional/local level	
2.5	what are the 3 most important roles	
2.6	what does your organisation understand to be the objectives of the UDF	
3.	POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY	
3.1	what does your organisation under- stand the UDF's political philosophy to be?	
3.2	what is this body - a front or a poli- tical organisation	
3.3	what are the main differences between a front and a poli- tical organisation	
3.4	Does the UDF operate as a front or a political organisation - give reasons	

4.	YOUR ORGANISATION		
4.1	what are your organisation's expectations of the UDF in the struggle against the Constitution and the koornhof Bills		
4.2	what are your organisation's expectations of the UDF in terms of your own programmes and activities		
4.3	what impact did the UDF make on your organisation		
4.4	how is information transmitted from your organisation to UDF		
4.5	how is information transmitted from UDF to your organisation		
	how has your organ- isation promoted the UDF within your own membership/area		
5.	UDF work		
	how has your organisa	tion participated in/ worked on the following:	
5.1	UDF News		
5.2	Launching of UDF		
5.3	Area Committees		
5.4	Regional Committee meetings		

_		
5.5	Referendum Issue	
5.6	P.E. Conference	
	Million Signature Campaign	
5.8	Other (specify)	
6.	UDF MEETINGS - RGC	
6.1	Frequency of meetings	too often not often enough other (specify) [cross appropriate box]
6.2	do notices of the meetings give your organisation enough time	YES NO
6.3	How many days notice does your organisation need	I
6.4	Is the times of the meetings convenient	YES NO
	If no what is your organisation's preference	
6.6	Is the day of the meetings convenient	YES NO
6.7	If no, what is your organisation's preference	
6.8	Does your organ- isation know of the agenda in advance	YES NO
6.9	what suggestions can be made about the agenda	

6.10	Is your organisa- tion satisfied about your repre- sentation on RGC	YES	NO	
5.11	If no, what changes can be suggested			
5.12	should represen- tation at RGC be	YES	NO	
	restricted to delegates?			
5.13	should observers have a speaking right at RGC	Yes	МО	
	meetings			
5.14	should observers have a speaking	YFS	NO	
• •	right at meetings			
5.15	the RGC relevant	YES	NO	
	to your organis- ation's work?			
5.16	How?			
	•			
6.17	can be made alout, the conduct of officials at			
· 10	meetings what observations			
0.10	can be made about the manner in which meetings are			
	conducted			
6.19	Is the RGC an adequate decision	YES	NO	
	making body?			
6.20	why?			
6.21		YES	· NO	
	of your organis: ation in RGC. meetings restricted			
	in any way?			

6.22	If yes,	in	what
	ways		

6.23 Can comments be made about improving participation in RGC meetings

6.24 does your organisation consider itself to be adequately consulted on all policy matters dealt with by the RGC

YES	· NO

6.25 comment on improving consultation

7. FUND RAISING

- 7.1 whose responsibility is it to raise funds for the UDF?
- 7.2 what has your organisation done to raise funds for UDF?
- 7.3 In what ways can affiliates contribute to fund raising

8. METHODS OF STRUGGLE

- 8.1 who what is the oppressor in South Africa?
- 8.2 what methods does the oppressor use to advance/ maintain its position?

8.3	would your organi- sation agree that the boycott weapon has been the main method of our resistance	
8.4	evaluate the boy- cott method in : terms of its correctness/ adequacy	
8.5	what other methods are open to us/ need to be created to set back the oppressor and advance our cause (strengthen us & weaken them)	
9.	STRATEGIC QUESTIONS	
9.1	how has the UDF been mobilising against the koorn/ hof bills and the constitution	
9.2	how successful has this been	
9.3	will the UDF's efforts together with the efforts of the people stop the implementation of the constitu- tion and the k/bill	
9.4	why?	
9.5	what should the . role of the UDF	

Collection Number: AK2117

DELMAS TREASON TRIAL 1985 - 1989

PUBLISHER:

Publisher:-Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand Location:-Johannesburg ©2012

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of the collection records and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a private collection deposited with Historical Papers at The University of the Witwatersrand.