## THE REPORT OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE

#### ASSEMBLY. OF THE HOUSE OF



14,3,21

------

The Select Committee were "generally in agreement with the approach of the Social Security Committee and with the general trend of the recommendations made by it. The Scheme recommended by the Social Security Committee would cost £35,000,000.

- The Select Committee was chiefly concerned with working out a scheme within the limits of our present national income. The Social and Economic Planning Council had suggested that out of a total of £52,000,000 at 1938/9 prices for Social Security, education, health, nutrition and cortain other services for 1947/8, £16,000,000 should be allocated to Social Security. The Select Committee accepted this figure plus 15% rise in prices, i.e. £18,500,000 for a scheme in 1946/7.
- 3. The Committee had therefore to consider reducing the Social Security Committee's total of £35,000,000 to £18,500,000.
- The Committee suggested that the necessary economies could be achieved in the following ways :-
  - deferring a) Sickness benefits.
     b) Funeral Grants.

    - c) Maternity Grants.
    - d) Familyceash allowances for 3rd, 4th & 5th children.
  - 2. reducing the proposed rates of benefits to existing scales wherever the latter were lower than the former.
    - (a) Old Age, invalidity and blind pension rates should remain at present levels, but could be extended to cover unemployment, training and recovery benefits under the A scheme.
    - (b) In the absence of a cash family allowance, dependant's allowances could be made subject to a ceiling, in order to prevent benefits plus allowances exceeding wages.
    - (c) In the case of unemployment benefit, the ceiling would be \$\frac{3}{4}\$ of normal wages, in the case of widows etc., £12 a month £9 and £6, for Europeans, Coloureds and Natives respectively, with lower rates for town and country.
    - The benefits paid in the Supplementary Unemployment Insurance Scheme could be reduced from 1/3rd of normal earnings to 1/4th.
  - Saving oz costs of Administration. 3.
- If the above economies were introduced the cost of the Social Security Scheme would be reduced to £19,500,000. The extra £1,000,000 which this represented over the "target figure" of £18,500,000 could be left to look after itself".

3rd July. 1944.

(sgd) H.P. Burrows.

### TABLE 22.

## COMPARISON OF BENEFITS.

Select Committee.

Social Security Committee.

PARTICIPANTS.	2. S	ocial	Secur	ity C	ommit		s (£'s	per a	nnum.
SCHEME A.		opean				siatics.		Nativ	
	City		Coun-	City		try.	City	Town (	Country
1. Old-age and invalid- ity pensions, unemple ment, training and									
recovery benefits 2. S.S.C.		54 54	48 48	30 36	15 27	15 18	12 24	9 18	6 18
1. Attendance Allowance 2. S.S.O.		12	13	12	6	6	12	6	6
1. Needy Mothers, widows wives (not working) of unemployed workers with young							10	9	
children	60	27 54	24 48	12 36	9 27	9	12 24	18	18
1. Child dependents - Each 2. S.S.C.(First (Second	36	27 30 21	24 24 15	12 21 15	9 12 9	9 6 6	9 15 9	9 9 6	6 6
1. Family allowances po 3rd, 4th & 5th child (payable only where dependants allowance			Y 1424						
is payable	. 18	12	9	12	6	6	6	6	-
1. Children in Foster- care (each)	39	36 33	30 27	21	18	15	15 15	12 9	6
GRANTS.									
1. Maternity		nil 5	nil 5	nil 3	nil 3	nil 2	nil 2	nil 2	nil 2
1. Funeral		nil 12	nil 9	nil 9	nil 6	nil 3	ni1	nil 3	nil 3
1. Removal				nil Var:	iable		nil Var	iable	
Supplementary Unempi ment Insurance Maximum % of Earning	3		1 3 1/3						
SCHIME B.				W. C.					
1. Old-age and invalid ity pensions and leper grants				_					6-
2. S.S.C.		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
1. Child dependants 2. S.S.C.		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
		Mar Think							

The Social Socurity Committee emphasised that their figures represented the barest minimum on which subsistence is possible. However, financial situation precludes their immediate introduction, just as it procludes the introduction of the very necessary supplementary grants and allowances. The proposals of the Select Committee are a definite improvement on the situation at the moment, in that there will be some provision against illness and unemployment. If this modified scheme is accepted, however, its limitations will have to be borne in mind, and the target for the future should be the full and commenced to scheme envised by the Second Second Committee Committee the full and comprehensive scheme envisaged by the Social Security Committee and the Social and Economic Planning Council.

## OONTRIBUTIONS:

			SELECT COMMITTEE.			
s.s.c.	A. SCHEME.	European.	Coloured & Asiatic.	Native.		
(a)	Imployees. (other than donestic servants and farm workers) with wages of :- (1) Less than £96 per		6d p.w.	4d p.w.		
-14	annum		2%	2%		
21%	(2) £96-£179	Maria State	21%	21%		
3%	(4) £240 or above		3%	3%		
4% (b)	Income tax payers	30 30	3%	3%		
(c)	Others			ensa Viranti di Anti di avi Lili		
£ 2½	Europeans	£2 p.a.				
£ 1½	Coloureds & Asiatics.	. 15/- p.s				
£1.	Native	1	ı.			
	Members aged 18 - 20 at half rates.	1 (21 11				
B. SCHEM	African males over 18			5/- p.a.		

# Employment Insurance.

Select Committee from Employer, employee and the State an amount representing 1% of the employee's wages.

S.S.C. - 1% of earnings each on incomes £160 to £550.

**Collection Number: AD1715** 

#### SOUTH AFRICAN INSTITUTE OF RACE RELATIONS (SAIRR), 1892-1974

#### **PUBLISHER:**

Collection Funder:- Atlantic Philanthropies Foundation Publisher:- Historical Papers Research Archive Location:- Johannesburg ©2013

#### **LEGAL NOTICES:**

**Copyright Notice:** All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

**Disclaimer and Terms of Use:** Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document forms part of the archive of the South African Institute of Race Relations (SAIRR), held at the Historical Papers Research Archive at The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.