Student Christian Movement

OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND

Telephone No SPEEDWELL 2311 ANNANDALE NORTH END ROAD GOLDERS GREEN LONDON, N.W.II

334 (1)

7. 11.62.

My due Alan.

I have been distoressed to know of the discord in the deverse of " Johnmasbuy, and segne there I have been drawn into the controversy. There alrundy writtens to the Bishop on this butter from to when I receive from her as " copy This change. After studying it I have and his today some comments on his statements, a copy of which I am ending. At the moment I an alt annews to be drawn into any public controvery so I would all you to Ernet the endorsen as impulsations. I have very sent it to you as an other friend as one who cans gowly for the well bey I we Church . You an often in my thoughts for I have a little how defined life must be for you any so may other . Please much in long ate. Affertin to regards & spine remembranes to Theather Shin wife. Your and , + Ambulum.

25, The Vale, London, N.W.ll.

334 11

7th November, 1962.

My dear Leslie,

Thank you for letting me have a copy of your Synod Charge. Now that I have seen it I should like to make some comments on various points. I am encouraged to do so since you said in your covering letter that you do not expect me to agree with what you said.

While I am in general agreement with your remarks on "The Voice of the Church" I should have thought that it has always been clear that when a bishop delivers his charge he is not necessarily expressing the mind of his Synod. In so far as he speaks of events in either the Church or the world surely he tries to interpret them in the light of the Gospel, whether his hearers agree with him or not.

But it is in the section "Christianity and politics" that I find myself compelled to question some of your statements. In that section you state that "we have a duty to obey the laws of the land in which we live." In general this is obviously true, for the maintenance of law in any community is necessary if the Church is to be free to do its work. Yet left like that it is open to grave misunderstanding. I cannot believe that Christians have to obey the laws in the absolute way that your words suggest. Normally they will, but occasions may arise especially in a country such as South Africa, in which, after serious prayer and thought, they will be compelled to disobey the law. This is no academic question, as already the bishops of the Church of the Province, after the most serious consideration, made it plain that if the State attempted to implement the "Church clause" in the Native Law Amendment Act they would advise the clergy and people to disobey it. Would you maintain that the bishops were wrong? This is an important question, as similar circumstances may easily occur again.

Your comments on those who are called to take a "political lead" disturbed me greatly as they suggested that Church leaders who do this are merely "playing politics". I know that you are aware that every bishop promises at his consecration to banish and drive away all erroneous and strange doctrine contrary to God's Word; and both privately and openly to call upon and encourage others to do the same. It seems less than just to those churchmen who have given a political lead to fail to acknowledge that they have done so because they believe that apartheid is an affront to the gospel of Jesus Christ and makes nonsense of His saving death. Surely it is not sufficient to declare that one is totally opposed to apartheid. This ideelogy manifests itself in political, social and economic terms. How can churchmen seriously appose apartheid unless they are prepared to come to grips with its various manifestations? I appreciate that you sincerely believe that there is a "third course" between silence and political leadership which may be followed, but I am at a loss to know what you mean by this. Even if this course is followed, whenever a bishop speaks on any aspect of apartheid he is giving a political lead. Obviously he must decide for himself when he will speak and when he will act. This all bishops do. Looking back on my own experience in South Africa there were many times when I kept silent. And I know that in some cases my silence was due to the fact that I was not as well informed on some particular question as 1 ought to have been.

I also found your remark that "we are not sufficiently important for our likes and dislikes to matter much" sad reading. I find it difficult to believe that "likes and dislikes" adequately describes the attitude that Christians ought to have to apartheid. But more important, unless I entirely misunderstand your words, they suggest that the South African situation is such that Christians must abandon any hope of creating a society of free men in South Africa. Does this mean that all they can now do is to accept passively a monolithic totalitarian society? Not for one moment would I suggest that such a situation will not arise in South Africa as it has done elsewhere. But until it does surely Christians have a duty to struggle valiantly in every possible way against all that restricts human freedom and denies justice. Certainly it is imperative, as you say, that Christians renew their loyalty to God and avoid bitterness. Yet when you say that they "must be prepared to suffer when necessary" I ask myself how will they suffer unless they are prepared to be deeply involved in the South African situation? And when you add that "above all things strive to maintain a spirit of love" I am compelled to ask, how this can be done if churchmen acquiesce in unnecessary suffering and injustice? Indeed, may they not best show an active spirit of love both towards those in power and those within the Church who support them by resolutely opposing them? In so doing they are striving to save them from themselves, and to halt them on a road that will lead to their own destruction.

After studying your charge carefully I have come to the conclusion that the real difference between us is probably the different view that we seem to have of the Christian life. Underlying so much that you have written there seems to me to be a curious departmental view of life. As I see it the Christian has only one calling, and that he has to learn to exercise his discipleship at every level of his life, politcal, social, economic as well as ecclesiastical. Naturally the emphasis will differ in different indivuduals, but none can afford to ignore completely the call to work out his Christian discipleship at every level. While it is true that it is God who makes us Christians, it is also true that it is as we exercise our discipleship that we become more truly that which God has made us.

-10-

Yours is indeed a heavy task and I am not anxious in any way to add to your difficulties. However, I believe that I should fail the diocese and people that still mean so much to me if I did not share these thoughts with you. You are aften in my thoughts, and I pray that God will constantly guide and strengthen you in the perplexing and rewarding situation in which He has placed you.

Yours very sincerely,

The Right Reverend the Lord Bishop of Johannesburg, Bishop's House, 4 Crescent Drive, Westcliffe, Johannesburg, S. Africa.

the mat

Collection Number: AD1169

Collection Name: Alan Paton Papers, 1952-1988

PUBLISHER:

Publisher: Historical Papers Research Archive, University of the Witwatersrand Location: Johannesburg ©2016

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document forms part of the archive of the South African Institute of Race Relations, held at the Historical Papers Research Archive, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.