

Protest meeting against the threatened ban of the liberation paper 'The Guardian'

Our Comrade Ruth First was killed by a letter bomb, on Tuesday, August 17th, in the office of Mozambique's Centre for African Studies. With her were the Centre's director, Aquino de Braganca, a lecturer at the Centre Bridget O'Laughlin, and a visiting ANC activist Pallo Jordan. All were injured. All were victims of the spawning South African state terrorism, which is spreading like a blood-poison throughout Southern Africa.

Ruth's murder is the latest - but surely not the last - in that long trail of murder and assassination which South Africa has made into its first line of defence of apartheid. It is a trail which stretches from murders carried out in Security Police establishments like those of Babla Saloojee and Steve Biko, to those done in prison cells, like Neil Aggett's and Tshifiwa Muofhe's: to assassinations in South Africa's streets, as with lawyer Griffiths Mxenge, and assassinations abroad, as with Joe Ggabi in Zimbabwe and Petrus Nzima and his wife in Swaziland; and to the slaughter by South African military 'kill and destroy' missions abroad, at Matola, Mozambique, in Namibia, in southern Angola, and in allegedly "unauthorised" ventures Zimbabwe and the Sevchelles.

It is sometimes claimed by armchair philosophers that, inevitably, terrorism begets counter-terror. Perhaps so. To date, South African experience is different; the acts of individual terror and assassination have all been by one side, all by the state against its opponents; their opponents, though their fighting wing Umkhonto replied Sizwe have assassinations, car bombs, letter bombs or murder, but with open para-military assaults on the centres of state power

police stations, army establishments, defence industries - from which the assassinations and assaults on neighbouring territories spring.

There may vet well come a time when the murders and assassinations of the 25 opponents of apartheid will provoke similar assassinations of establishment politicians, police and military chiefs. But that time has not yet come. This is not yet a time for blind revenge; it is still a time of sorrow and of anger for those who have been killed, of learning from their lives and from their deaths the way to a better South African tomorrow.

The first lesson that must be leamt is underlined bold and clear by the killing of Ruth First. It is that, for South African fighters against apartheid, eternal vigilance is the price of life as well as of liberty! The disease of apartheid terrorism is spreading like a mortal infection everywhere; and everywhere vigilance is the first essential for defence.

There is too the lesson that the apartheid state's appetite for terrorism and brutality does not diminish, but grows stronger with every setback it suffers. There was a time, not far back in recent history, when white supremacy was maintained by a low-keyed combination of white political power, operating a code of repressive laws and a regime of petty police brutality. Through successive regimes of Botha, Hertzog, Smuts and even Malan, the white state thus maintained a semblance of peace and order without recourse to assassination or institutionalised torture. No longer. White supremacy is under challenge from the South African people and their liberation movement headed by the African National Congress. In a single generation, the defence of the white state has passed from the low-keyed oppression through a spate of ever more draconian laws, to the present abrogation of law and the enthronement of torture and murder.

And still the old equation of white supremacy and black oppression can not be kept in equilibrium. There will be more resort to violence, and worse brutality to come. The reign of terror spreads beyond South Africa's frontiers to the places where liberation fighters have moved abroad; now to those who harbour them: and

finally — if the incursions into Zimbabwe and the Seychelles are to be understood — to the supporters of those who support them.

It is not necessary to ask whose was the hand that placed the bomb? Who made and posted the letter that killed Ruth First? Who kidnapped the Bulgarian engineers working to develop Mozambique's economy? Or who placed the dynamite that destroyed a bridge in Angola? Terrorism Southern Africa is South African terrorism; it is prepared, planned, organised and paid for from Pretoria, wherever it occurs and whoever sets the final fuse. This too is a lesson learnt again from Ruth's death, as it has been from many that have gone before.

But there are lessons also from her life and her life's work. Ruth was a Communist, born of communist parents who were amongst the earliest members of the Party in South Africa. The main thread of her adult life - which drew to her the fierce enmity of the South African state and finally their decision to eliminate her came from that communist matrix. Ruth's work for which she will be long remembered and whose legacy will remain -united two sides of South African communism; the one, total dedication to the cause of black liberation as an essential preparation for South African socialism; the other, a belief in the abilities of the ordinary people to liberate themselves when roused to collective decision taking and collective action. If the Communist Party was a small, specially dedicated, specially active detatchment of the people, its function was never to go-it-alone, but to serve as the kernel, the raising agent of collective mass action. The important passages of Ruth's life illustrate the point completely...

Consider her years as the Johannesburg reporter for the weekly 'Guardian'. The Guardian voice for the radical left – for the Communist Party, for the trade unions, and for radical black nationalists. The left founded, financed, wrote and finally sold.



Comrade Ruth First at a protest meeting in London

the journal on the city streets, making of it an organiser of the masses, a raising agent. Between the journalists and the whole liberation movement there was a two-way exchange: the journal built the movement, and the movement built the iournal.

So too Ruth's most important and memorable journalistic exercise expose of the farm labour scandal, in which black pass-law offenders in the cities were being literally sold off. (see following article - ed) The Bethal farm labour scandal was more than a news scoop. It was in fact part of the whole two-way exchange between the Guardian and the liberation movement, begun long before, continued in the research of the story, and carried on into the potatoe boycott campaign which was its aftermath.

Ruth's greatest journalistic expose triggered the boycott campaign; but the 27 boycott campaign magnified the expose beyond a journalist's wildest dreams. It was perhaps the most powerful illustration of the symbiosis between her own work and the mass people's movement which characterised all Ruth's best and most memorable activities.

It was to be seen also in her editorship of the radical literary-political review 'Fighting Talk', in the 1950s. Again a journal directed, financed and circulated by the movement; informed by the critiques and opinions of the movement's spokesmen and thinkers, and in turn informing and educating a new generation of movement activists; sponsoring the new generation of young black writers who could find no other outlets in white South Africa for their talents — Alfred Hutchinson, Richard Rive and others; who in turn helped the remarkable move of the black intellegentsia towards the ANC in that period.

The symbiosis between Ruth and the mass movement in the mutual exchange in collective work led also to the success of her pioneering study of South West Africa - now Namibia - published by Penguin in 1963. Here she brought an acute analytical mind to bear on a systematic examination of that troubled territory not from the standpoint of the colonists and imperial adminstrators, but from the standpoint of the subject people. It was a book derived more from close fraternal contact with SWAPO activists than from the archives. Ruth's links with SWAPO were built on her credentials as an activist of the South African liberation movement: then provided the unique understanding and insights into Namibia's people's struggle which gave the book its significance and strength. And, in continuing the pattern of all Ruth's work, the book in turn reinforced that struggle, providing a comprehensive overview and analysis of Namibia.

For the period of her life in exile in Britain - 1964 -80, that close intimate link between her own work and the mass-

movement and mass struggles of the South African people became stretched, the symbiotic links of her political life attenuated. Remote from the main centres of the South African struggle, cut off by vast distance from the daily process of mass development and growth, she devoted her formidable intellect and considerable energies to the British anti-apartheid movement and to the ANC mission in Britain.

But the powerful impulse was to be rediscovered, and to assert itself anew in Mozambique, in Maputo on what was intended to be a "temporary" assignment to the Centre for African Studies. Here she found again that great capacity for two-way interchange and mutual strengthening between her own special qualities and those of the masses. In this case, it was no longer in South Africa but in Mozambiquenot with the ANC but with FRELIMO.

The motive springs were the same to provide a people with information and strengths to enable it to carry through its own liberation, and pass from the colonial heritage to the socialist future. In her work in the Centre of African studies, guiding the research and investigation vital to Frelimo's decision-making on the tasks of the new state, all Ruth's experiences and skills combined; - her academic skills as sociologist and teacher, her journalistic skills as investigator and researcher, her political skills as organiser and raising agent for the masses. Again it was a twoway exchange, so characteristic of her Ruth and the Centre feeding Frelimo with the material for growth; Frelimo, and its activists and its mass contacts amongst the people feeding the Centrewith the personnel, the inspiration and

It is in this profound inter-change between her own political work and the mass movement of the peoples towards socialism that Ruth made so deep and important an impress, and left so crucial an example for other activists in the movement to emulate. It is through this interchange that a part of her life has been left behind, living and growing in the people's movement, even after the assassins have done their work. And it is through this legacy she has left behind her for the whole of our movement that the final lesson may be drawn even in the time for mourning and of sorrow, even in the time of anger

and of loss. Ruth's life has helped to build and thus to leave behind her a movement strong in the certainty that, in the end of ends, it is not the assassins who will destroy our movement, but our movement for people's freedom which will destroy the assassins and their bombs

# RUTHERS

#### BY WOLFIE KODESH

I was sitting in my office at "New Age" when in walked an ill clad, gaunt looking man. He said he had a message to convey from a friend who was working on Potgieter's potato farm in the Heidelberg district of the Transvaal.

I quickly ushered him into Ruth's empty office and started furiously reporting the remarkable story he had to tell. It transpired that he had miraculously escaped from the farm and avoided the manhunt by lying doggo throughout the daytime and only moving about at night.

Half way through the interview, Ruth First appeared and immediately took over the story. It was a harrowing one. He told of starvation and of deaths from exhaustion and whippings on the farm; of work, bent

over from sunrise to sunset in long rows. picking up the potatoes while behind them were sjambok-carrying "baas bovs" whipping anyone who straightened up through sheer exhaustion. All of these slave workers had been "bought" at the detention centres for pass offences. Immediately they arrived at the farm, their clothing was confiscated and they were issued with dusty hessian sacks which became their official dress for the whole period of their

We were horrified by this story. It seemed incredible and unreal. But the purpose of his visit, he said, was to inform us about one of the labourers who had given him the name and address of his wife in Alexandra township. He had already 29



## AFRICANS DECLARE WAR ON APARTHEID

1.1.C. Advice To

Unions

N.R.C.'s Reaction To Govt. Ultimatum

From Ruth First

more than one normber of the Native Representative Council when the 1936 abortive session of this hoat ended in Preferic last

KUOMINTANO PANIC.

"PEACE OFFER" TURNEL

Our Comrade Ruth First was killed by a letter bomb on Tuesday,
August 17th, in the office of Mocambique's Centre for African Studies.
With her were the Centre's director, Aquino de Braganca, one of the
a lec turers at the Centre's Bridget O'Laughlin, and a visiting ANC
activist, Pallo Jordan. All were injured. All were victims of the
spawning South African state terrorism, spreading like alcod-poison
throughout Southern Africa.

Ruth is murder is the latest - but surely not the last - in that that long record of murder and assessination which South Africa has set in that the last its first line of defence of apartheid, a record which stretches from the murders carried out in Security Police establishments like those of Babla Saloojee to and Steve Biko; to those in prison cells like Neil Aggett and Tshifiwa Muofhes; to those assassinated in South Africa's streets like lawyer Griffiths Mxenge, and those assassinated abroad like Joe Qabi in Zimbabwe, the Abram Tiro in , and Pe trus Nzima and his wife Jabo in Swaziland; and to those slughtered by South African military 'kill and destroy' missions abroad, into the true at Matola, Mocambique, and those in Namibia, Southern Angola, and allegedly "unauthorised" ventures into Mm Zimbabwe and the Seychelles.

It is sometimes claimed by armchair philosophers that, inevitably, terrorism begets counter terror. Perhaps so. To date, South Africa appearance is difficult, does not demonstrate that principle; the acts of i dividual terror and assassination have been all by one side, all by the state against its opponents; and its opponents, with their fighting wing Umkonto we Sizwe has replied not with assassinations, car bombs, letter bombs and murder but with open para-military assaults on the citadels of South African state power - police stations, army establishments, defence industries, from where the assassinations and the saveny assaults on neighbouring terrotories spring.

There may yet well come a time when the the murders and assassinations of the opponents of apartheid will be an swered with
similar assasssinations of of the establishment poiliticians, police and
military chiefs who have initiated them. But that time has not yet come.
This is not yet
Rether than a time for blind revenge; this is still a time of sorrow
and of ange r for those who have been killed, Man of learning from
their lives and from their deaths the way to a better South African
tomorrow.

bold and clear by the killing of Ruth First - is that, for South African fighters against apartheid, eternal vigilance is the price of life as well as of liberty! The disease of apartheid terrorism is spreading like a mortal infection everywhere; and everywhere vigilance is

Ruthg two.

the first essaintial for defence.

There are at er lessons tos, the lessons that the apartheid state's appetite readiness for terrorism and brutality does not diminish, but grows strongerwith every setback it suffers. There was a time, not to far back in recent history, when white supremacy was maintained by a low-keyed combination of white political plansfoly of power parked to a code of repressive laws and a regime of petty police brutality. Through successive ragimes of Botha, Hertzog, Smuts and even Malan, the white state maintained a semblance of peace and order without recourse to assassination and institutionalised torture. No longer. The White supremacy seems under challenge from the South African people, and them liberation movement headed by the African National Congress. In a sinclegeneration, the defence of the white state has passed from what law depress to be a distant pad to ever more draconian laws, to the abolition of law and the enthronement of torture, and a murder.

And still the old equation of white supremacy and black oppression can not worker be kept in equilibrium. There will be more violence, and preserve have been an equilibrium. There will be more violence, and preserve have worse brutality to come. The reign of terror spreads beyond South Africa's frontiers to the place who have the moved abroad; then to the countries that harbour these apponents; and finally workers preserved - if the incursions into Zimbabwe and the Seychelles are to be understood - to the supporters of supporters. How. It is not necessary to ask whose was the hand that placed the bomb? Who made and posted the letter that killed Ruth First? In who kidnapped Bulgarian engineers working for the development of Mocambique's economy? Or who placed the dynamite that destroyed a bridge in Angola? Terrorism in Southern Africa is South African terrorism; prepared, planned, organised and paid for from Pretoria wherever it occurs and whatever hand sets the final fuse. This too is a lesson the learnt again from Ruth's death, as ith has been from many that have gone before.

But there are lessons always to be absorbed also from her life, and from her life's work. Ruth was a Communist, born of communist parents who were amongst the earliest members of the Party in South Africa. The main thread of her adult life - the thread bhat drew to her the fierce enmity of the South African state and finally their etermination to eliminate her - dervived from kin ammunist South African communism; first her total dedication to the cause of black liberation and of integration with the national liberation movement; and next her

eliminate her - came from that communist matrix. Ruth's work Athe through for which she will be long remembered and whose legacy will remain, a compared two sides of South African communism; the the one, was total dedication to the cause of black liberation as an essentil production of South African socialism; when the other to belief in the abilities of the ordinary people to liberate themselves the round to be a small, specially dedicated, specially active detachment of the people, its function was never to go-it-alone; but to serve as the kerinel, the raising agent of the people's action. Sements was most important passages of Ruth's life illustrate the point completely.

point completely.

At the end of her Univers ty studies, for example, she became the Johannesturg/correspondent of the weekly 'Guardian'. The Guardian, unlike/most journals, was not a commercial publishing venture buta voice for the radical left — for the Communists, and the trade unions and for radical black nationalists. The left founded, financed, wrote and finally sold the journal on the city streets, making of it most pally a voice/part an organiser of the masses, a radising agent. Setween jourfnalistim staff and the political movement built the journal.

So too Ruth's most important and memorable journalistic story the paper - the expose of the farm labour scandal, in which black pass-law offenders in the cities were being literally sold off. Wy police and court officials to private white farmsten especially potato farmsten w Expan the Bethal region; and poses being held in private farm jails, beaten by private gailers to work in the fields, and beaten back again to locked That story, herenxx investigetd and documented with compounds at night. all Ruth's Magigabee intelligence and single-mindedness, became perhaps the most celebrated expose in South African journalism. chitten been many journalistic ex posés in various places and at various ti times. But about tighis there was something special. Latthe Piret place, though the drive and insapiration for at was Ruth's; its accomplishment required the collective work of many people in the liberation movement, including BNC activist Joe Qabi who penetrated into the farms and jails; ANC grand-old-man of the Bethal regio, Gert Sibande, who persuaded frightehed victims and farm labourrers to talk. But even then, the scoop exz posure might, like so many newspaper scoops have been a two-day wonder, to be drowned out by tohe immediately following newer scoop-sensation.

Ruth and the Guardian's Bethal potato scandal exposure was different

anthe

frightened farm labouerers and shanghaied prisoners to talk and make sworn statements of what had happened to them. Even so, the story - like so many newspaper scoops might have been a two-day wonder, many to be drowned out by the clamour of the next newspaper sensation.

But the Bethal farm labour scandal was not. For it was more than a mere news scoop. / The two-way exchange between the Guardian and the liberation movement begun in the searching out the story cantinued canedar who the caregorial was at algume. The Grandar the transportation of the story cantinued canedar who the caregorial was at algume. The Guardian by the continued in its aftermeth. The ANC launched a campaign for the boycott of potatoes - "They are covered in blood" - which brought potato farmers and potato dealers almost to bankruptcy. The Guardian popularised the boycott, and the national movement built the Guardian. It the true than Ruth's greatest journalistic expose kutitional triggered the boycott campaign. But it is equally true that the boycott campaign magnified the importance of the expose beyond the a journalist's wildest dreams. This was perhaps the most powerful illustration of the symbiosis between her own individual work and the mass people's movement which characterised the last was best and most memorable effect, activities.

It was to be seen again in her editorship of the radical literary-political review 'Fighting Talk', in the 1950's. Again ajournal directed, financed and circulated by the movement, built by the movement and building the movement; informed by the critiques and informing of the movement's spekesmen and thinkors, and informing and educating new generation of the movement's 'bung activists: 'Declarated sponsoring' new generation of young black writers who could find no other outlets in white South Africa for their talents - Alfred Hutchinson, Richard Rive and others; and sponsoring the remarkable move of the black intelligentsia towards the ANC in that period.

the symbiosis of Ruth and the mass movement of the through mutual exchange in collective work led to her pioneering study of South West Africa - now Namibia - published by Penguin in 1953. Here for the first time was a systematic ex amination of that troubled territory not from the standpoint of the colonists and imperial administrators, but from the standpoint of the subject people. It was a book derived more from close fraternal contacts with SWAPD activists then the future from archives; these links with SWAPD been out of her membership of South Africas liberation movement; provided the unique strengths and insights which gave the book its significance and strength. And, in the continuing pattern of Ruth's works the book in turn and a strength of the continuing strength pattern of Ruth's works the book in turn as a still developing SWAPD the comprehensive oversight and analysis which has helped develop the present generation of Namibian freedom fighters, now standing on the brink of liberation.

For the period of her life in ex ile in Britain - 1964 to 80,

Ruth five,

that close and intimate link between her own work and the mass movement and mass struggles of the South African people became stretched. And the symbiotic links of her political life attenuated. Remote from the main centres of South African struggle, cut off by vast distance from the daily process of mass development and growth, she dev oted her formidable int ellect and considerable energies to the British anti-apartheid movement. But the powerful impulse appeared infl to have been lost. It was to be rediscovered, and to assert itself anew in Mocambique, in Mapole Here on what was intended to be a "temporary" assignment to the Centre for African Studies, sie re-established again that great capacity for interchange and mutual strengthening between her own special qualities and commentations and the mass liberation movement- In this case it was part sounds South African, as Mocambigan - not the ANC but But the springs were the same - to provide a people with the which information and strengths if readed to carry through its cun liberation have and pass from the colonial past to the socialist future. To this task, contain research and investigation vital to Frelimo's decision making on its task. its tasks | all Ruth's ex pariences and skills combined - her academic skills qualifications as socialogist and teacher; her journalistic skills as investigator and researcher; her political skills as organiser and raising agent for the masses. Again the two-way exchange characteristic of her life - Ruth and the Centre feeding Frelimo with the mater al for growth; Frelimo and its activists and its mass contacts amongst the people feeding the Centre with inspiretiony remarkant except with the personnel, inspiration and incentive for its div growth.

It is in this profound exchange between her own political work and the mass movement of the peoples towards socialism that Ruth been made so profound and important an impress, and left so crucial a lesson for the other activists in the movement to imbibe for themselves. It is in this interchange that a part of her life has been left behind, living and growing in the people's movement even after the assassins have done much their work. And it is through this park that the final lesson of the left with the for mourning and of sorrow, even in the time of anger and of loss. Through this interchange with the people's movement, through the life, buth has can have belief the containing and a saxkwarkwark living, growing inheritance which makes it certain that, in the end of ends, its is not the bombs which will destroy the assins and their bombs.

**Collection Number: A3299** 

Collection Name: Hilda and Rusty BERNSTEIN Papers, 1931-2006

### **PUBLISHER:**

Publisher: Historical Papers Research Archive

Collection Funder: Bernstein family Location: Johannesburg

©2015

### **LEGAL NOTICES:**

**Copyright Notice:** All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

**Disclaimer and Terms of Use:** Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of the *Hilda and Rusty Bernstein Papers*, held at the Historical Papers Research Archive, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.