

Further, each return on Schedule F must reflect the parents' names as registered and their numbers. Without this information, no birth can be registered in practice. This means that parents must produce their own birth certificates or passes so that their names and numbers can be obtained. Many births have remained unregistered because the parties concerned could not produce the information required, either because their births have not been registered or, if registered, could not be traced. Every indentured person so introduced into the Colony was assigned an Indenture Number. The children of a marriage between such persons received the combined numbers. The third generation received a combined number of already combined numbers. Today it has become impossible to trace a person's ancestry by means of his multi-number. Therefore these numbers no longer serve any practical value. The requirement of such numbers imposes undue hardships on those who for some or other reason are unable to produce them.

While Law 25 of 1891 provides for registration within 30 days and failure to do so is an offence, no provision is made for late registration of births.

This form of Birth Registration for Indian Immigrants has an undesirable effect in cases where such a child is adopted by Non-Immigrants. The parents by adoption are governed by Act 17 of 1923 but their adopted child by Act 25 of 1891. This also means that the child cannot automatically adopt the "parents" surname. (Non-Immigrants have surnames as their births are registered in terms of Act 17/1923).

(ii) Indian Immigrants must register the marriages under Section 70 of Law 25 of 1891 and complementary Law 2 of 1907. Registration under this Section excludes the necessity of any religious ceremony. Both parties to such a marriage must be Indian Immigrants; if one party is not, then the marriage is invalid. (Chetty vs. Maduramah, 1925 N.P.D. 339). No other law relating to the registration of marriages is available to Indian Immigrants. When one of the parties is a Passenger Indian, they may register their marriage after performing the customary religious rites, in terms of Act 22 of 1914. Indian Immigrants have to produce their number when their marriage is registered. Failure to register after a religious ceremony has been performed is punishable under Act 2 of 1907. When a marriage has been registered in terms of Section 70 of Law 25 of 1891, divorce proceedings can be heard only in the Magistrate's

Court and only on the grounds of adultery or continuous desertion for one year. Applications for custody of children or for sole guardianship as provided for in Section 5 of the Matrimonial Affairs Act 39 of 1953, in the case of Indian Immigrant marriages have to be made separately from the divorce action, as two different Courts have jurisdiction in each instance.

From the time of indenture Indian Immigrants have married other Indians. Some of these marriages, for convenience or other expediency, were registered under Section 70 of Law 25 of 1891. Legally these marriages are not valid. Two marriage validation Acts were passed to validate such marriages, one in 1896 and another in 1944. All such marriages registered after 1944 are still invalid. So many factors and considerations have to be taken into account to distinguish legally between an Indian Immigrant and a Passenger Indian, that to do so is almost impossible for a lay person. The question of interpreting the definition of an Indian Immigrant as contained in Section 118 of Law 25 of 1891 has from time to time arisen. The Courts, however, have been hesitant to pronounce one interpretation which will apply to all cases. (See Ex parte Borbeau & Others, 1937 N.P.D., 156; Cross vs. Cross, 1955(4) S.A. 38(N); Rampatha vs. Chundervathe 1957(4) S.A. 486).

The whole position of Indian Immigrants and the application of the Laws relating to the registration of their births and marriages is in confusion. From what is evident in practice and the facts brought out in Court cases, it is virtually impossible to determine which marriages registered in terms of the Indian Immigration Laws are valid and which are not, and which birth registrations are regular and which are not, unless tested by a Court. The validation of these marriages affects the rights of:

- (a) inheritance of the children born of such marriages;
- (b) the widow in any estate of her reputed husband;
- (c) a widow in any Third Party claim or Workmen's Compensation - the validity of her marriage need only be questioned and the matter then can only be settled through expensive legal action;

(d) /.....

- (d) one of the parties to a marriage, in that the other party can apply for the marriage to be nullified and thus evade the fuller responsibilities of the marriage as originally contracted.

This matter is all the more serious if the fact is remembered that application for a marriage to be nullified has been prompted by:

- (a) the intention of depriving the spouse of any rights in a joint estate;
- (b) the intention to nullify the marriage when a divorce action has failed;
- (c) attempts by relatives to deprive the surviving spouse and her children from benefiting in the estate of the deceased.

This confusion thus introduces all the disabilities suffered by a woman who lived with a man without having undergone any form of marriage, except that in cases brought before the Courts children may be declared legitimate.

The South African Institute of Race Relations therefore cannot but agree with Justice Milne when he said:

"It seems to me very desirable that the question of this Court's or the Magistrates' Courts' power to grant a divorce should not be left in any unnecessary doubt in cases of this kind, that is where each of the parties has an ancestor who was an Indian Immigrant introduced as such under the Laws in question. In view of the urbanization of Indian Immigrants and their inter-marriage with other Indians and others, it is conceivable that the authorities concerned may now indeed consider that the time has come when the serving legal distinction between Indian Immigrants and other Indians in Natal need no longer be maintained". (Cross vs. Cross 1955(4) S.A. 38(N) Page 39).

(B) The South African Institute of Race Relations thus recommends:

- (i) That the distinction between Indian Immigrants and Passenger Indians no longer be maintained.
- (ii) That legislation relating to the registration of Births, Marriages and Deaths of Indians be consolidated and brought on an equal footing with Europeans.
- (iii) That a validation Law be enacted to validate all marriages between Indian Immigrants and Passenger Indians not covered by previous Validation Acts, and that provision be made that no marriage can be invalidated on grounds of status.
- (iv) That all new births registrations shall be registered according to the European method of nomenclature, and that provision be made for an interim period (not less than 5 years) during which each former Immigrant family

shall /.....

shall re-register and establish a surname. It is recommended that this should be done by family applications covering all the members of the family, and that such registration be free of charge.

4. Under the Immigrants Regulation Amendment Act 43 of 1953, no Indian after marrying outside the Republic may bring his wife into South Africa. Neither can a couple domiciled in South Africa bring their child into South Africa should it be born outside the borders of South Africa. The number of Indians who married outside the Republic steadily declined and is today negligible (in view of the present age and sex composition of the Indians in South Africa). Likewise the number of children born outside South Africa to Indian parents domiciled in the Republic is infinitesimal. For these reasons the Institute feels that the provisions of the law which enforce these restrictions are unnecessarily harsh and should be repealed.

5. Under Section 4(a) of the Immigrants Regulation Act 22 of 1913, Indians have been prohibited free movement from one province to another without a special permit.

The Institute holds to the principle that every citizen, regardless of his race, should be allowed to live and move as he wishes in South Africa. In view of the large Indian population in the Transvaal and the Cape Province, the Institute recommends that free inter-provincial movement of Indians be allowed, as a first step, between the Cape, Transvaal and Natal.

6. The payments of Government Grants and Pensions in Durban are centralized at the office of the Department of Indian Affairs. The magistracy of Durban has as its southern boundary the Illovo River, which is some 20 miles from the centre of the City; to the North the Magistracy extends up to Springvale which is about 15 miles from the centre of the City; and to the West the Indian population is scattered up to 15 miles from the centre of the City. It is thus evident that people have to come some considerable distance to receive their grants. Families dependent on the State represent the poorest section of the community. The transport fares which are paid to come to the office are an additional expense on the already difficult budget. Furthermore, recipients of such pensions are almost invariably old and disabled.

The Institute recommends that payment of Government Grants and Pensions be decentralized and be paid out through local Post Offices, as is the case with all other racial groups.

Yours

.....

CH/11
↓

5th December, 1962.

Mr. Elias Mongale,
P.O. Box 75,
Mahalapye,
BECHUANALAND PROTECTORATE.

Dear Mr. Mongale,

There is no representative of
India in South Africa as diplomatic
relations were broken off some years ago.

What sort of book on Indians
do you want? We have various kinds that
you could buy. I enclose a publications
list.

Yours sincerely,

(Mrs.) M. Scott,
ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT.

MS
#5 DEC 1962

P.O. Box 75,
Mahalapye, B.P.
30-11-62.

Dear Mrs. Scott,

Greetings,

I like to thank
you for having patience for correspond-
ing with me.

Secondly I like to
say will you please be kind enough
and send me a book of Idioms.
Please Mmam, I'm kindly asking for
an address of the Indian representa-
tive in S.A.

Happy Christmas and
New year.

Thank you,

Yours Faithfully.

Elias. Mogale.

Collection Number: AD1715

SOUTH AFRICAN INSTITUTE OF RACE RELATIONS (SAIRR), 1892-1974

PUBLISHER:

Collection Funder:- Atlantic Philanthropies Foundation

Publisher:- Historical Papers Research Archive

Location:- Johannesburg

©2013

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document forms part of the archive of the South African Institute of Race Relations (SAIRR), held at the Historical Papers Research Archive at The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.