Offer a few weeks towards to and of Delober 1849 he closed his eyes sie death and soas birried hie his cattle enclosure at fotherwise according 1= euston. Again were repeated, iflored language the facts the soulding history and in boasful verse and exaggrated for the explores of Ald Bardong history and expecially their exploits at Khemioana in the assassination of the Makebole envery Bhoya and Bhangele. Again the valouer of the Chiefs and the provides of the Rarolong were declaimed in passands impassioned language. It the harolong exaled facts the transfer impassioned language. It the provides of the transfer of th

And to Tage # 13-13 W Volon

In 1851 some Dutch farmers came to settle well to the west of Lichtenhurg ax upon x land claimed by Montshiwa at the eye of the Molopo R..
at Grantfantsin Grantfantsing Poosedumane (Grootfeontrin) and at
Mooimeijesfontein. After warning them several times to quit, but in vain,
Montshiwa made a formal protest to Commandant-General Andries J. Pretoius newly appointed (Jan) protest to Commandant-general of Potchefstroom
and Rustenburg districts Pretorius replied immediately:

"Aan het Opperhoofd der Barolong" Mentskiwax addressing him "Waarde Vriend en Bondgenoot Montsioa " expressing regret to hear that the Dutch farmers had encroached on his territory, and notifying him that he was appointing a Commission to go into the

matter.

On the 30th December 1951 the Commission met Montshiwa at the eye of the Molopo. It consisted of Pieter Scholtz and Adriaan Stander accompanied by two field cornets and ten farmers. Montshiwa came with his two brothers -Motshegare and Molema accompanied

by twenty other counsellors including the Rev Joseph Ludorf as interpreter.

After a friendly discussion a boundary line between the utch Emigrants and the Tshidi Barolong was agreed upon. This line which the historian Theal repeatedly alludes to but studiously omits to specify in his otherwise very informative and factually reliable works was from Mosega to Ottoshoop, thence by wayon road to Buurmansdrift, thence to the source of the Hartz river and down along that river to a point opposite Makwassi. (Report of the Land Commission p.81) and Evidence at the Bloemhof Inquiry p.183)

The conference broke up with renewed mutual assurances of friendship and goodwill. Shorthyxafterxthiaxthexterns of agreement were plained to afore the Molksrand x and from x

kaxax

In due course the terms of agreement were laid before the Volksraad, and immediately after this, to wit on the 8th of January 1852, from Magaliesburg, Comm-Gen Pretorius, in the same courteous manner and tone of absolute sincerity communicated to Montshiwa the approvalxs (Volksraad's approval. The letter reads:

Waarde Vriend en Bongenoot Montsioa:

Met genoegen heb ik de Rapport van de Hge Commissi ontvangen aangaande de grenslyn ; Ik heb hetzelve voor de raad gelegd en zy heb het name namens de Regering der Emigranten Boeren hunne goedkering daar aan geheght . Ik vertrouw dat in het toekomende geen inbreuk op u gronden zal gemaakt worden en dat by u zyde alle pogingen zult aanwnden uw volk in goe. en regel te houden fat onze vriendschaap nog lang zal duur .

Uw D.W Dienaar en Vriend

A.J.W.Pretorius , Gomd -Gen

In January 1852 the strange document known as the Sand River Carentie n was concluded between Great Britain and the South frican Republic. Its terms were all previously prepared and were now proposed by the delegates of the Emigrant oers and were readily agreed to by the British Commissioners without scrutiny. In fact the Conference seems to have been a pure formality, and that the British Commissioners came with their mind made up to shed what they considered an intolerable burden of dominion.

By if the British Government guaranteed 4 45

g 29 one Dillordia deverimento guar arrocc

FURTHER DOWN & Hot at &

It was a victory for their diplomacy and determination, for the tenacity of their view on African affairs or the so-called native policy in which they were to prove so different from, and even opposite to their English adversaries in after years and up to this day. The Sand River Convention was their Magna Charter, their Bill of Liberty.

? Suger Thataliele 19/5 \$ 10 of 2

Tawana then married Sebudio, daughter of Phetlhu Magetla, and incidentally his own cousin, and gave out that by her, he was raising seed for kix incomparate and seed the processed in boyhood. By this step, the issue by Sebudio was to take precedence over issues or children by previous unions or wives, Sebudio being now regarded ceremoniously as the wife, and her issue in Montshiwa, Marumulwa, Selere and Seru as the children of the deceased Tau. So while in the ordinary straightforward way **Electronic the eldest son Seetsela should have been Tawana's principal heir and successor, Montshiwa and other sons of Sebudio by being raised as seed and counted to Tau took precedence

The Holdres of Policies

Montshiwa assumed his duties as chief shout the middle of the more location. Tawana then married Sebudio, daughter of Phetlhu Magetla, and incidentally

Montshiwa assumed his duties as chief about the middle of the year 1849 at Lothakane. His people were only justs settling down to their normal life after much moving about and an absence of 18 years from their country

One of his first acts was to send his brother Molema to Thaba Ncho to request the Wesleyan District Missionary Meeting for a teacher or miss -ionary . There can be no doubt that this step was taken at the instance and advice of Molema himself, who although he had betrayed the tribe by accepting a foreign faith - the Christian doctrine- at Thaba Ncho, had kap received the express permission of their father Tawana to practice that faith untramelled. Melema had, moreover great influence with tribe and was in fact Montshiwa's chief adviser counsellor. There would be no difficamentally in convincing the chief of the advantage overwhelming of having a missionary adviser at his court. Montshiwa himself had been witness of the indespensable services rendered by the Revs James Archbell and James Cameron to Moroka in his 'external' affairs: he had for himself seen how Cameron to Moroka in his 'external' affairs; he had for himself seen how the French missionaries- T. Arbousset and Eugene asalis guided and pilotted Moshoeshoe through the labyrinthine intracacies of European diplomacy which sought and threatened to entangle him . Who else could intellige_ ntly handle the interviews, the requests for grazing, land and labour, the parties endless procession of hunting parties, the disputes about straying and stolen cattle, the questions and arguments about the dividing line between Boer and Barolong? Who otherwise would read, and having gread understand and answer the letters that were already pouring into Montshiwa's 'office' under the tree of justice written in Hollandsch). All these things required, not only a thorough knowledge of the Dutch language, but also a skill in argument and negotiation in the European manner - matters which Montshiwa nor the ablest of his counsellors had any expereince in of . So Molema went to Thaba Ncho, and having placed Montshiwa's case before the District Missionary meeting in December, that body appointed the Rev Joseph Ludorf to be Montshiwa's missionary, and with Molema he proceeded to, and arrived at Lotlhakane - Montshiwa's headquarters on the Molopo in January 1850.

The assumption of chieftainship by Montshiwa seemed to be a signal of dispute and strife between the Dutch Boers and the Tshidi Barolong, dispute and strife destined to last throughout the long

reign of Montshiwa - 46 years.

In 1851 some Dutch farme to came to settle well to the west of Lichtenburg upon land claimed by Montshiwa at the eye of the hudorf.

Molopo R. Montshiwa after warning them several times in vain made a form
mode protest to Commandant-eneral Andries J. Pretorius, newly (Jan. 1851)

appointed commandant-general of Potchefstroom and Rustenburg Districts.

Pretorius at replied immediately and saisset a commission which met Mont

tsbiwa and his counsellors at the eye of the Molopo on the 30th Dec

1851 and agreed upon a boundary line madressing him

"" Aan het Opperhoofd der Barolong", Waarde Vriend en Bondgenoot Montsioa

expressing regret to hear that the Dutch farmers had encroached on his

territory, and notifying him that he was appointing a commission to go

territory, and notifying him that he was appointing a commission to go into the matter. This It consisted of commandants Pieter Scholtz and Adriaan Stander assisted by two fieldcornets and ten farmers. Montshiwa came with his brothers Motshegare and Molema and axdexa twenty other counsellors. The

Shortly after this, to wit on January 8th 1853, Comm-Gen Pretorius wrote to Montshiwa again in the same courteous strain from Magaliesburg

Met genoegen heb ak ik de Rapport van de Hge Commissie onvangen aangaande de grenslyn; ik heb hetzelve voor den raad gelegd, en zy heb het namens de Regering der Emigranten Boeren hunne goadska goedke.. daar aan geheeht . Ik vertrouw dat ik in het toekomende geen inbreuk op u dronden zal gemaakt was worden en dat ... uwe zyde alle pogingen zult aanwenden uw volk in goe.. en regel te houden dat onze vriendschaap zo aanwenden uw volk in goe.. nog lang zal d...

uw B.W Dienaar en Vriend AJ.W, Pretorius ComD. -Gen

This line which Thealfouries by specify in his opened information is besty The second (1834-54/488) was from Moscopita Olloshvol by wagon ground to businessed of the Marie of the Marie of the Marie Well that the world a former of the Marie Marie Report of Land Compa of Blood & 183 On the 30th Dec The Conservation met Montohuse at the sage of the Mile Mile Stander St Milleren accompanied by Do the accordent leveled the Broken for for for for for the books of the formation of the fine from Magalusticity Commenced to Merchish Ricafford Velksond afferd

Blook White Kalabetter

conferences and

Implicit in these letters is the recollection by Comm-Gen Pretorius of the friendly relations that had existed between the emigrant Dutch and the arolong since the Thaba Ncho days, and the occasion of their alliance aga against Mzilikazi. Explicit is the hope that that friendship founded ward under such trying circumstances might continue from generation to generation to generation it is beyond question that retorius and the emigrant Boers at this

time recognised the Barolong as a free and independent people, and had no visible designs upon their land and liberty. The fone of the conference and the discussions whow that Boer and Barolong met as equals and spoke as man to man. The words "Worthy friend and ally" which Pretorius

always used in addressing Montshiwa were no meaningless effusion

left Molswiapelliani on the Schoonesto ahout the middle of the year 1851, the Ratlou clan arrived at Lotlha-kane in two parties under Masisi and Gontse respectively. Masisi's party was the larger, and had left Motswiapetlwana by reason of discontent.
Gontse was the "atlou regent - acting for Moshete, and had been for years 27, Masisi who was of senior status felt that Gontse should have stood aside for him, and that left to start his own show. Soon after he had arrived at Lotlhakane, Gontse came with his group. He had left Motswiapetlwana because of a quarrel between his people and the surrounding Dutch farmers on the Schoonspruit, one of whom, Van der Merwe had lost Dutch farmers on the Schoonspruit, one of whom, his goats and sheep, and having traced their spoor to Gontse's village

held him accountable for them strange document known as

In January 1852, the Sand River Convention was concluded between

Great Britain and the South African Republic By it, the British Government guaranteed absolute independence to the Dutch Boers beyond the Vaal river. It also bound itself not to make any alliances with African tri-

bes/nor to sell them firearms or ammunition.

The elation of the emigrant Boers over the terms of the agreement was perfectly understandable. They had won their independence after, striving for it for 16 years, and, says their historian, "men who had never been moved to shed a tear by all the blows that disaster had struck were strangely moved that say." Theel 1834-1854 1487 Not so the Africans, not so the Batswana, not so the Barolong of Montshiwa. They heardxwithxdismayxthat could congratulate the Dutch Boers, or for that matter any nation for achieving independence, the question of alliance with the British or with the Boers did not worry them if they were left to live their lives in peace, but they heard with them if they were left to live their lives in peace, but they heard with real dismay that they were prohibited from purchasing firearms and ammution from both European races and wondered how they could defend themselves from one or the other if they should be provoked or despoiled.

The terms of the Sand River Convention brought to the forefront the problems that had been exercising the minds of the Boer leaders , and were now to loom over other people interested in S.A. Same The these problems were: 1. The legal right of the emigrant Boers and the

boundaries of the lands they occupied or claimed

2. Melabour and slavery for Africans & land rights.

3. Me Possession of guns and ammunitionly by, or impact and outside military assistance to Africans

The repercussions of these problems we shall notice with regard to Montshiwa and his tribe. now

It is said a if is executeble that Shortly after the ratification of the Sand River Convention by the volksread (March 1852), Commandant Pietr Scholtz, the highest authority in the Lichtenburg district convened a meeting of all the chiefs liwing on the Molopo R. the main subject being land and labour. The chiefs all admitted that the land they lived in had been overrun by Mzilikazi, and that it belonged to Boer emigrants by right of conquest, that they lived in it by the grace of the emigrants; that their hearts were full of gra-

titude and they hailed the Dutch emigrants as their rescuers.

of Boer of Ties admission led naturally to the next step. They were told that they

swarm mist now pay Labour Tax, that is, send their young men to labour on the

fields of the Boers in consideration and x a their parameters as along Montshiwa, however, was at his request released from the Labour Tax, and given the option of pay tax in money and being liable to military service like a Roax burgher or Boer farmer.

This meeting is important as showing the desire of the Boer

leaders to seek justification of their land claims in the express seeking the

lent above all the passentere that Montherna had territorial rights which not only Enclosured but the Volksmant and the Recognised Bosson in Motswiassethwana on the Schoonsptul- and seems to have been It's eleveres were all boot weels fireposed and were period of the prikale to the prikale and readily accepted by Rockey to receive a will be shown to prikale where of do ministers of a minister of the price of the short to present the process of the short to process the process of the short to process of the short to process the process of the proc It was their morgan charter, their bill of liberty. at the turne as showing first the sentiments of gratified and subservious wheele the refrices tribes entertained to wands the emuground Books for their expulsion of Milikasig being what resent interprene in their affairs to danger receded the theffs began to chafe under the foreign yoke and to set up claims. of independence. Secondly, the meeting is important.

belonged to the Dutch boers.

admission, agreement of declaration of the chiefs that all the land formerly devastated by Mzilikasi was now their by right of conquest. Such agreement admission, agreement or declaration was tantamount to a title deed. It gave the Boers the right, therefore, to ragard the African tribes as their subjects, or tennants, and to tax them in labour or money Montshiwa therefore, having chosen the latter was made placed upon the footing of a burgher and made liable to military service although this was implicitly in conflict of the terms of the recently concluded Sand River Convention, and Boer tradition which frowned at military alliance between black and white.

usefull affer the detal It was to batress Potgieter's Proclamation issued in 1837afterxkhexdeftat of Mzilikasi thatall tand the territory which Mzilikasi was forced to abandon was forfieted to the Boer emigrants, such territory being the whole of the present Transvaal, about hair of the northern Krnaxkaka half of the present Free State, Fall southern Bechuanaland westward to the Kgala gare except the district of the Batlhaping

After the ratification of the Sand River Convention by the Volksraad (March 1852) the emigrant Boers advanced further justification of their right to the land by declaring that the Convention had granted them undisputed ownership of all territory north of the Vaal, and that they were hence known as the South African Republic. Thexreading an unbaissed reading of the Convention seems to confirm this view.

Irrespective of the Dutch-Boer claims and the Native-African admissions however, the delicate question of maratity xand athies is- If you disposses a robber of *** stolen goods, do the goods become yours, or must they revert to their original owner? If you drive the Matebele off from the land of the Balswana, does the land therefore become yours?

About this time the South African Republic launged upon the campaign of disarmament of African tribes. It was but natural that a small EDEMENTICAL Boer community of 5,000 familes north of the Vaal, surrounded by tens of thousands of black whom they considered their natural enemies, should feel uneasy and insecure, especiallyxwhenxkheyxxconstantlyxreceived evidencesxthatxxmanyxofxthemxmerexarmedxpessessedxorxmerexacquiringxfirearms. When The Boer leaders remembered the conflict of the Xhosas with primitive weapos against the Europeans for three-quarters of a century commencing in 1779, and realised the immeasurable superiority of firearms the gun to the assegai as demonstrated by the emigrant Boer victory over the Matebele at Vegkop in 1837. Now they were constantly receiving clear Nowxtha evidences that many African tribes possessed firearms or were acquiring firearms, and the recent defeat of the British kxxkk at Vier-voet (30th June 1851) by the similarly armed Basatho urged them to take immediate steps, if they were to survive, not only to make it impossible for Africans to acquire guns and powder, but to capture those (weapons) already in their (Africans!) possession.

Soon after the signing of the Sand River Convention, therefore, Comm-Gen Andres Pretorius broached the matter to High Commissioner Sir George Cathcart. He complained that English hunters and traders going northward to Bechuanaland, Ngamiland and the Zambesi by the Traderss or Missionary Road along the western border of the S.A. Republic were in the habit of supplying African tribes with firearms in defiance of the provisions of the Sand River Convention. He asked that they should be compelled to go through Potchefstroom to check this. This however proved impracticable, and the trade in firearms, depending as it did on barter for ivory and cattle was impossible to control, and went merrily on.

The S.A. Republic made a move, first against the Bapedi of Sekwati at the Lulu Mountains 9August 1852). Whey were eased of thousands of cattle and sheep, the few guns and rounds of ammunition they had in their possession and they lost thousands of men women and children killed or starved to

and they lost thousands of men, women and children, killed or starved to death.

The second move was aginst the Bakgatla of Mosielele on the Marico, which involved an attack on the Bakwena of Sechele, and that again a quarrel with Montshiwa.

The accusations were, against

1. Mosielele: a that though he had been paying the Labour Tax, he was now

astrant, and declined to do so any longer

(cherry) collable probably had some guns and ammunition that the probably had some guns and ammunition that the probably had some guns and ammunition of the probable and protecting the rebel wosistele moscule.

2. Sechele: that he had flagrantly defied the S.A. Republic by abetting, sheltering and protecting the rebel Mosiele and his people.

We and refusing to hand These over.

(b) Most was setting up a claim to independence (c) Most had an abbundant store of guns and ammunition- about

Montshiwa & Had disobeyed the orders of Commandant Pieter Scholtz (b) Was setting up a claim of independence.

(c) xax tribe conducted cattle lifting on an extensive

scale.

The common charge of cattle lifting was made against all African tribes, and this nearly always led to a skirmish, if not war. There is no reason to doubt that in the majority of cases such thefts did in fact take place because in African tradition, to rob your natural enemy of his cattle was not considered a crime. It was normal thing for bands of young men to go out to 'gaap' or capture the cattle of Boer emigrants. It was not considered as theft, but rather as confiscation, the underlying psychological sanction being an assumed state of war, and an expert cattle thief was regarded as something of a hero. Such were Thomedi Makgetla and Mokoto Montshosi among the tarrolong.

Montshosi among the Barolong. The neglect to pay the labour tax, and the claim of independence was have noticed as a natural development of self confidence and a feeling of security where first there was apprehension and axxestings diffidence.

The tribes often made counter accusation of cattle theft against the emig grant farmers, but couldnot of course follow the spoor right into the farms for fear of their lives, whereas a spoor of strayed cattle was regarded by the whites as proof positive of their being stolen by the village to which it led.

The possession of firearms and ammunition by the tribes, while essentially true, was exaggerated in the suspicious mind of the whites. Thexpunxxwexxxxxxx While every man desired to have a gun and gunpowder, these were never possessed by the tribes in nearly the proportion that it was imagined, nor were they neccessarily acquired for military purpose

Commandant Scholtz wratexagai in high dudgeon wrote back: "As you have the thus refused to obey my orders, I shall settle with you after my return from Sechele."

He then proceeded to Dimawe, and after trying to persuade Sechele to xxxxxxxxx hand up Mosielele to tosubmit to the suzerainty of the S.A Republic and otherwise showing great patience, he attacked Sechele's town and captured immense booty and 48 guns, besides taking 250 women and Children as prisoners Now home, however the very soon released, or had redeemed killing about 100 mensuad and white the remainder were 'apprenticed,

It is a fact, however, that the commandeering or forcible enrolment of Montshiwa's people for unpaid labour among the emigrant Dutch farmers was one of the constant complaints of the chief.

Scholtz then proceeded to the Bakwena mapital of Dimawe. On requesting Sechele to hand up Mosielele, he was told-"Who would have Mosielele must come and take him out of my stomach. "On trying to persuade Sechele to subscribe to the suzerainty of the S.A. Republic, therefore maximax as being the cause of his survival and his wealth by its annihilation of Mzilikazi, Sechele answered-"I was placed here have and made king by God and not by the Boers." Available evidence from both sides seems to show that Scholtz exercised a remarkable amount of patience on this occasion. Ultimately he attacked Sechele's town. There was a brisk skirmish on the Monday the 30th Aug, in which the Bakwena sustained about 100 casualties, and the Boers 4to nine (Theal L834-54. p.519). The Bakwena were dislodged from their ridges and fled to the Kgalagare desert. Scholtz fiftee.

reprised orland indominguesto

There is not the phylicist doubt there calle belonging to Ofricains seeker office deliberatily taken by the Dufet - Books by near of reprisal, or ley this strange philosoffer that what A the construction abounded in game and wild beasts. The give some nits only an weather of offence and defence, but also an apparation & sport and a means of livelihood. or requesting serbole to have up The sichele -> he was total 'to to round have moralle must some and get down out of my bowels" on toying to persone Leatele to Autocrebe to the Augerously of the SA theproble as being the source of his wealth or the reason of her survival, he not by the Boar a suitable Evidence from tothe proces seems to skew that bown Deholf sterened nemockable policine to the secondary. Williamstel, however he attached second of Taking 250 warner & the tome as presumes James of these notes to tease of these relatives, for captle , Jones of these 9 the rest reces destrobuted (apprehenced) to various farmers

perpetuated in their progeny, and that order being Ratlou, Tshidi, Makgetla, Seleka, Rapulana, represented at present by their offspring in Moshete, Montshiwa, Phetlhu, Moroka and Matlaba, respectively.

ANTECEDENTS AND GENEALOGY: Montshiwa was born in or abount the year 1815 the year of the famous battle of Waterloo which encompassed the fall of Napoleon. The month was probably August. The date is arrived at by reference to the average age of Montshiwa's regiment or age-group or mophato — the Mantwa, who were born between 1812 and 1817 and were initiated into manhood in 1832. Montshiwa being a chief's son would be among the youngest of his group at the time of initiation. The date is also arrived at by collation of famous events in the history of the Tshidi and other branches of the Barolong tribe such as the inavasion of the Manthatisi hordes and the sack of Khunwana by the Matebele; also by the estimates of Travellers like Emil Holub and missionaries like John Mackenzie.

The great king Tau of the Barolong had died a warrior's death about the year 1760. In spite of occasional hivings-off from the mother tribe owing to differences and disputes about succession, the numerous and powerful Barolong tribe had, during many years of southward migration and inter-tribal wars mostly kept together as a compact body owing allegiance to one king, down to the time of Tau, 14th or 15th descent from Morolong the traditional and half-mythical founder of the tribe.

Tau was a great warrior, and when he died at Taung about the year 1760 the awe which he had inspired among the surrounding tribes came to a sudden end, and as one they rose to shake off the irksome yoke of their Barolong overlords.

Under the comparatively weak leadership of Nthufa Tau's younger brother and regent for Tau's sons, the Barolong
notions fled before their enemies from one encampment to another
until they came to their former abode - Setlagole about 1770,
which they made their permanent home. With external danger
removed, internal dissentions arose, resulting in the breaking
up of the tribe into five sections each acknowledging one
or another of the five sons of Tau as its king. These sons of
Tau are, in order of seniority - Ratlou, Tshidi, Makgetla,
Seleka and Rapulana, whence we have the Ratlou Barolong, the
Tshidi Barolong, the Makgetla Barolong, the Seleka Barolong
and the Rapulana Barolong. This graphic scheme may be useful:

TAU X. 1 Kgamana daughter of Morakile --- Ratlou, Modisa:

Collection Number: A979

Silas T MOLEMA and Solomon T PLAATJE Papers

PUBLISHER:

Publisher:- Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand Location:- Johannesburg ©2012

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: Copyright for all materials on the Historical Papers website is owned by The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg and is protected by South African copyright law. Material may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a collection owned by the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg and deposited at Historical Papers at The University of the Witwatersrand.