JUBILEE -- TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF BRITISH IMPERIALISM -- JUBILEE

"The British Government is the purest form of the Executive Committee of the Bourggoisie"-Lenin

The fact that republicanism plays no part in the British working-class movement today is conclusive proof that the monarchy and nobility have no independent role in British bourgeois society but are inextricably bound up with the ruling bourgeoisie. The absence of republicanism is a salute to the fact that the British workers understand that the fall of the bourgeoisie means the end of the rival authority. "The royal authority is weak, so long as the instrument of bourgeois domination is the bourgeois Parliament, and so long as the bourgeoisie has no need of extra-parliamentary methods of action. But in case of need the bourgeoisie can make use of the royal authority with great success, as the concentration point for all the extra-parliamentary, that is to say, the real forces directed against the working class. The British bourgeoisie itself, when in a similar position, knew perfectly the danger of even the most fictitious monarchy. Thus, in 1837 the British Government abolished the title of Errand Mogul in India, expelling its bearer from the sacred city of Delhi, despite the fact that that title had become a purely nominal one by that time. For the British bourgeoisie understood that given certain conditions the Great Mogul might focus the struggle of the Indian upper classes against the British sovereignty." (Trotsky)

Great

+

The English bourggoisie came to grips with its old feudal Monarchy aristocracy in the middle of the 17th century, that is, a century and a half before the great French Revolution and almost two centuries before the rest of Europe. Cromwell was a great bourggois revolutionary who did not shrink from anything in the struggle to maintain the interests of the new bourgeois social structure against the old aristocratic structure. He carried a book of psalms in one hand and the axe of revolution in the other. But he reliedcon the axe because it was more convincing.

As early as the forties of the 17th century, during the reign of

As early as the forties of the 17th century, during the reign of Charles I, it was proved that the House of Commons was three times more wealthy than the House of Lords. But Parliament, based as it was on the most fantastic of electoral laws, could not express and defend the interests of the bourgeoisie. Parliament created an army for its defence and then capitulated to this army, the Puritan army of Cromwell, because it expressed the needs of the revolution more boldly, more logically, and more decisively than Parliament.

Beneath the cloud of clerical disputes, the conflicts between Aglicans, Presbyterians, Puritans, and Levellers, went on the social self-determination of classes, their realignment on new bourgeois bases. Cromwell's task consisted in giving the most shattering blows possible to the absolute monarchy, the Gourt nobility, and their semi-Catholic Church. Under Cromwell's guidance the revolution acquired the impetus necessary for such blows and when the struggle threatened to develop beyond the limits imposed by the needs of bourgeois society, Cromwell ruthlessly dealt with the Levellers.

It was Cromwell who laid down the road for the political development of Britain for the next two centuries. In revenge for the execution of Charles I by Cromwell, & Raisex Charles II hung Cromwell's body on a gibbet. But no Restoration could resurrect pre-Cromwellian society. Cromwell's work could not be liquidated by the rapacious legislation of the Restoration. It was impossible to annihilate with a pen what had been written with an axe.

Under the leadership of the bourgeoisie Britain marched with seven league boots. Her industries grew without competition from anyone,

The only serious clash between the triumphant bourgeoisie and the child of its own creation, the proletariat, from Cromwell's time to 1910 came in the 1840's, the Chartist movement. For the British working class, the Chartist movement is immortal. Here in the space of ten years was compressed the whole gamut of proletarian struggle, from petitions in Parliament to armed insurrection. The revolutionary slogans and methods are the real revolutionary tradition of the British workers. The workers of Britain must ssee in Chartism not only their past but, above all, their future.

As a result of Britains unchallenged position, her unrivalled exploitation of the whole world, her monopoly of the world market, and her monopoly of colonies, the British bourgeoisie was able to corrupt a large section of the British proletatiat. A section of the proletariat became bourgeois, and a section permitted itself to be led by people who were bought by the bourgeoisie. The paling bourgeoisie ensured the "peaceful" exploitation of their workers by sharing with them the super-profits gained by exploiting the whole world.

The deep sleep of decades the British workers, from 1850 to.1910, the opportunism of the workers movement, the painful and slow emergence of a workers political party, all this can be directly traced to the imperialistic features of British capitalism, the vast colonial possessions and the monopolist position in the world market.

In 1858 Engels wrote to Marx that;" The British working class is actually becoming more and more bourgeois, so that this most bourgeois of all nations is apparently aiming ultimately at the possession of a bourgeois aristocracy and a bourgeois proletariat as well as a bourgeoisie. Of course, this is to a certain extent justofiable for a nation which is exploiting the whole world."

Almost a quarter of a century later Engels wrote, "You ask me what the English workers think of colonial policy? Exactly the same as they think about politics in general, the same as what the bourgeois think. There is no working class party here, there are only Conservatives and Liberals, and the workers merrily devour with them the fruits of the British colonial monopoly and of the British monopoly of the world market."

But Britain could not forever remain in this favourable position of undisputed supremacy; younger rivals appeared on the scene and there began a titanic struggle for world supremacy. In 1850 France took her place as an industrial nation and in 1870, most threatening of all, the young and vigorous German capitalism ataxked atrede stack stacks marched into the room and demanded the right to exploit others, demanded a place at the imperialist feasting-board.

The very factors that had assured Britains supremacy, her head xxxxt start, her free trade policy, her colonial monopoly, now changed into factors that gindered her in her struggle with her rivals. These factors that had assured Britain's supremacy now changed into factors that slowed up her development and made her lose her place.

British finance capital was invested in the British colonies through out the world, in South America, in Canada, in China, and in Africa because there it could gain tremdendous profits and super-profits.

Instead of being invested in Britain itself where it would continually

British finance capital was invested in the British colonies through out the world, in South America, in Canada, in China, and in Africa because there it could gain tremdendous profits and super-profits. Instead of being invested in Britain itself where it would continually renew and rationalise British industry, British finance capital was attracted to the more profitable backward areas of the WEXTAX globe. On the other hand, German finance capital, coming late into the XIXXX struggle for colonies, was concentrated within Germany, in German industry, and there built up the most powerful industrial nation that the world had ever seen. WHENXERTHERN Naturally, it was only a matter of time before German capitalism was forced into a struggle with British imperialism for a redivision of the world.

Germany entered this struggle confident that her enormous industrial superiority over Britain guaranteed her victory.

For Britain, the period of great expansion by colonial conquestx falls between the years 1860 to 1880 with the last twenty years of the 19th century also of great importance. But for Germany and France the period of great expansion falls precisely in these last twenty years, 1880-1899. We see then that it is precisely following the "boom" in colonial annexation that the struggle for the territorial division of the world becomes extraordinarily keen.

For Britain the last quarter of the 19th century was a period of partial stagnation, a period in which the ratecof expansion and exploitation was checked and slowed up by her rivals. If in 1892 Germany produced 4,900,000 tons of pig iron to Britain's 6,800,000 tons, by 1912 Germany had produced 17,600,000 tons and Britain only 9,000,000 tons. Germany had an overwhelming superiority over Britain in this respect. The disparity between Germany's development of production and accumulation of capital on the one side and Britains hold on the world's markets and colonies on the other side is a inevitably led to war.

The start of the 20th century witnessed the completion of the partition of the world. Germany looked on with hungry exes as Britain grabbed the Transvaal and the Rhodesias. The U.S.A. entered the family of great imperialist robbers with one stride, by quality Cuba with The charging Cuba with the completion of the partition of t

The changing position of Britain in world capitalism was reflected internally by the changes in the British labour movement. As Britain's monopoly was broken by Germany, France, America, and Japan, the economic basis of the narrow, petty-bourgeois trade unions and liberalism among the British workers was undermined. The ranks of the aristocracy of labour, that is, the skilled factory workers who had isolated themselves from the mass of the proletariat in close, selfish craft unions and how who in politics supported the liberals, now became penetrated with socialism in spite of the rank opportunism of their "intellectual" leaders, the English near-socialists, the Fabians. A militant left-wing arose.

George V ascended the throne on the eve of great class battles in Britain. The British proletariat had awakened. In 1911 the railway workers struck; in 1912 the miners struck; in 1913 the Irish transport workers, led by the remarkable Jim Larkin struck and engaged in a veritable civil war with their exploiters. The workers of Britain had found a new path.

At the same time the great imperialist robbers were lining up and preparing themselves for the coming slaughter.

1914 saw them lined up.

1, 57 1,

On one side stood Great Britain, the strongest colonial empire, her fifty millions of population exploiting the four hundred millions of her colonies, in the front rank so far as wealth was concerned, her four or five giants banks expensive entangling the whole world by thousands of threads and exploiting millions. On the other side stood Germany, arriving at the capitalist feasting-board when all the places had been taken, more predatory, more pitatical, and introducing into the struggle new methods of capitalist production, improved technique, incomparable organisation.

When the blood had sunk into the earth, British imperialism found itself triumphant over German, - but at what price:

The whole capitalist system was shown to be rotting. In Russia the workers and peasants led by the Bolshevik Party, by Lenin and Trotsky, took power, chased out the Extraption that decrepit nobility and corrupt bourgeoisie and established the first workers state. All over Europe the workers rose, in Germany, in Austria, in Hungary, in Italy, and only the most frantic efforts of Britain, U.S.A., France, and Japan prevented the whole filthy and disgusting capitalist system from destruction frantice at the hands of the tortured and enraged masses. British imperialism had conquered, - but at what price?

The U.S.A. had entered the war as a debtor to England. At the end of the war the U.S.A. alone of all the powers proved to have an absolutely independent financial position. The debtor of 1914 became the creditor of the whole world in 1918. England found herself in the position of a debtor to the extent of more than 50%. England found herself with a depreciated currency, two millions unemployed workers, and a permanent exonomic depression. World power had crossed the Atlantic.

Before the war England was the freest country in the world. There was freedom in England because there was no revolutionary movement. But the war changed everything. In a country where for decades there was not a single instance of interference with the workers' press, a strict censorship was established, and English prisons became crowded with socialists. If the centuries the capitalists of England were able to rule without the use of force within England, now, because of the growing revolutionary movement, they must depend more and more upon repression, upon "National" Governments, upon Incitement to Disaffection Acts. The workers of England are seeing for the first time that face of British imperialism that has always been turned upon the masses in the colonies.

The uneasy note underlying the Jubilee jollifications is EXPERT of the general insecurity of British imperialism. Great Britain is slipping. She is challenged openly by the U.S.A. and covertly on all sides. Due to the degeneration of the Exmintern Communist International, the opportunist policy of Stalinism, the Communist Party has not been able to gather around its banner the masses which are instinctively seeking a way out of the horrors of capitalism. Nor will the impotent and still-born Communist Party of Great Britain ever succeed in giving the revolutionary lead to the masses of Great Britain. This is the task of the New International and of the its British Section. The time is running short, the revolutionaries of the world are faced with gigantic tasks and tomorrow will be faced with still greater ones. New parties, REAL communist parties, must be built up throughout the world.

We cannot wait for our brothers in England, no more than they can wait forus We must build here and they will build there. Each of us to out tasks.

Great Britain is attempting to retain her independence, a more shadow of her forms aplented isolation, by a more shadow of her forms aplented isolation, by waiting a moneuroung, Great Britain boper a attempt the waiting a more and in her surroung in the artificial in her less somewhat is her suchening designs. Tempted by the disintegration of her Engine, by the revolutioning movement. Indie, by the motability of her position. China, by her loves is the struggle would amore the Bother britage are up the wint the morally by porry of markets therefore a cover up the wint the morally of more policy of markets therefore her greaty a country policy of marking a more unitability. There is one of the main source of today's general instability, tomorous catastrophers.

Collection Number: AG2722

## **WORKER'S PARTY OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1933-1935**

## **PUBLISHER:**

Publisher:- Historical Papers Research Archive Location:- Johannesburg ©2013

## **LEGAL NOTICES:**

**Copyright Notice:** All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

**Disclaimer and Terms of Use:** Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a collection held at the Historical Papers Research Archive at The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa