

AN EXAMINATION OF JOHANNESBURG'S BANTU REVENUE ACCOUNT AS AT 30TH JUNE 1966 BY COUNCILLOR P.R.B. LEWIS, DEPUTY CHAIRMAN OF THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE AND CHAIRMAN OF THE NON-EUROPEAN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE OF THE JOHANNESBURG CITY COUNCIL

## INCREASE IN POPULATION.

At the turn of the century, the Non-European population in Johannesburg was 72,279, of whom 61,153 were males and 5,100 were females, and there were 6,016 children. Of the Non-European population 59,605 were Bantu. The ration of males to females was 12 to 1. By 1911 the Bantu population had grown to 101,971 and ten years later it was 116,120. By 1927 (when the Non-European Affairs Department was first established) the population had increased to 136,700 of whom only 19,000 were female and 117,700 males, the ratio being 6 males to 1 female. At the commencement of the War in 1939 the male population had increased since 1927 by 50% to 179,000 but the female population had increased by over 300% to 65,000. By 1946 the population was 395,231 of whom 211,322 were males, 100,000 females and 83,909 children. In 1966 the estimated Bantu population, under the jurisdiction of the Johannesburg City Council was 513,291 of whom approximately 186,145 were men, approximately 159,070 were women and approximately 168,076 were children. In addition to this population the estimated population in the Peri-Urban Areas north and south of Johannesburg, including Alexandria, amounted to 93,584 and the population in areas controlled by the Resettlement Board amounted to 126,464, so that in the Metropolitan area of Johannesburg the total Bantu population was approximately 733,339.

It is particularly necessary to note not only the increase in the population, but the change in the population from a predominantly male one to the present when the ratio of men to women is roughly equal and where the children form approximately one-third of the total population.

## BUILDING OF HOUSES

The Urban Areas Act of 1923 placed an obligation on local authorities to house Bentu employed within their boundaries. Johannesburg's first housing scheme was in 1919 when some 2,000 houses were built at Western Bantu Township. In 1925 and 1930 a further 628 houses were built at Eastern Bantu Township. In 1930 the Orlando scheme was started and during the following four years 2,800 houses were built, and in 1939 a further 3,091, so that at the commencement of the War in 1939 approximately 8,700 houses had been built.

#### WAR PERIOD

It was during and immediately after the War that the large migration of family units to the Cities took place. These were the days of squatter camps and appalling living conditions owing to a shortage of materials and labour due to the concentration on the War effort. The task of providing decent living conditions seemed impossible, not only because of the cost of houses, but the cost of the provision of services which, in many instances, was more than the cost of the house.

## PERIOD OF ACTION

Then followed the passing of the Bantu Building Workers Act in 1951, the Bantu Services Levy Act in 1952, the Site and Service Scheme and the establishment, in 1954 of the Council's Housing Division. At the end of 1953 the Johannesburg Council had built 17,814 houses, and had Hostel accommodation for 14,120 men. By the 30th June 1966 the houses built had increased to 64,467. The hostel accommodation was 26,041 and in addition, sites allocated in the Site and Service Schemes, on which the building of houses was awaited, amounted to 68. The Council is now faced with a shortage of suitable sites on which to build.

## LOAN FROM MINING HOUSES

In addition to the housing loan provided by the Government, a great stimulus was given to Johannesburg in 1956 by the Mining Houses agreeing to loan Johannesburg R6M, to provide homes for the slum dwellers of Moroka and Shantytown. 14,014 houses have been built to date from these funds, enabling the removal of 73,304 persons from these slums.

It is against this backround of the task confronting the Council, a task faced by most of the local authorities, but not on such a large scale, that some aspects of the financing of this large enterprise are presented.

#### BANTU REVENUE ACCOUNT

Under the Urban Areas Act of 1923, as amended, later Act 15 of 1945, the responsibility was placed on local authorities for housing Bantu employed within their boundaries. Under the same Act it became obligatory for local authorities to keep a separate account, to be called the Bantu Revenue Account, in which had to be reflected the income and expenditure in connection with Bantu affairs. It would appear that the reason for this legislation was that some local authorities were misusing the income from their locations to subsidise European Ratepayers. The Act laid down the categories of income which had to be credited to the Bantu Revenue Account, and what expenditure could be charged thereto. An important provision of the Act is that before any appropriations are made from the Bantu Revenue Account, estimates of expenditure must be passed by the local authority, and the estimates must be approved in writing by the Minister of Bantu Administration and Development, subject to such conditions as he may deem fit.

The Bantu Advisory Boards must be given an opportunity of considering the estimates, and their comments must be considered by the local authority, and transmitted to the Minister. The Act also provides that no immovable property belonging to the Bantu Revenue Account can be disposed of without the approval of the Minister or contrary to any condition which he may impose when granting such approval

The Bantu Revenue Account consists of four portions :-

- 1. The Ordinary Bantu Revenue Account
- 2. The Bantu Beer Sub-Account
- 3. The Sale of European Liquor Sub-Account
- 4. Bantu Services Levy Fund.

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

In order to give some idea of the comparative capital expenditure, the figures for Balance Sheets as at 30th June 1940, 30th June 1952 and 30th June 1962 and 1966 have been extracted. These reflect the following capital expenditure:

	30.6.40	30.6.52	30.6.62	30.6.66
	R	R	R	R
Land	244,370	2,619,122	2,221,401	2,164,860
Buildings	1,646,124	7,602,220	24,907,244	30,148,397
Machinery & Plant	36,070	37,096	250,526	346,781
Tools	408	240,648	255.904	312,671
Motor Vehicles	16,668	124,556	332,711	488,680
Furniture	34,086	98,374	288,440	361,398
Layout	6,690	70,780	253,449	344,552
Fencing	92,146	183,410	245,114	259,045
Livestock	4,776	24,496		
Tennis Courts	380	7,932	10,396	13,346
Stormwater Drainage			20,770	-2,5740
& Sewerage	67,396	200,326	7,505,884	8,531,765
Railway Siding	8,594	9,722	9,721	9,721
Water Supply	66,466	148,706	3,355,188	3,794,320
Roads	25,934	363,354	2,310,669	3,581,692
Tramway Extension	3,500	3,500	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	J,J0=,0JE
Lighting	25,562	121,800	1,853,968	2,798,895
Medical Appliances	34	32	17,638	17,638
Swimming Pool	1,536	1,482	6,814	54,657
Orlando Govt. Housing	,,,,	-,,,,,,	0,017	21,001
Scheme	860,944	-	-	- 2
Bridges	_	53,634	117,863	122,298
Gas Supply		7,686	7,687	7,687
Playing Fields	-	36,264	238,350	320,760
Office Machinery	-	8,592	119,488	165,884
Sundry Debtors House		0,7,7	117,400	207,004
Selling Schemes		10,088	2,611,543	2,455.174
Meters	-		14,249	25,164
Bowling Greens	6660	480	- TT ( CT )	4,032
	R3,141,684	R11,937,820	R46,934,247	R56,329,417

This reflects the tremendous expansion which had taken place in recent years. It may be of interest to give details of some of these

items. For instance, of the expenditure on buildings to the 30th June 1966 - R30,148,397: the amount spent on erecting ten Hostel buildings amounted to R2,812,631. The amount spent on housing schemes amounted to R23,929,614.

#### INVESTMENT ON LAND

Of the land costing R2,164,860 - R258,441 represents the cost of land for Hostels - R1,211,483 represents the amount spent on ground for housing schemes R613,826 represents expenditure on ground for as yet undeveloped or partially completed schemes, and R28,184 represents what is termed in the Accounts "General Land." In order to comply with Government policy, certain buildings and ground in the City area were transferred at cost from the Bantu Revenue Account to the City's Estate Branch, the value of the land being approximately R832,000, and that is why the expenditure on land is reflected at a lower amount in 1966 than in 1952. In examining the price at which ground has been purchased, it is interesting to find that the 1120 acres at Orlando East were purchased at an average cost of R13-43 per acre; the 1146 acres at Orlando West were purchased at R14-93 per acre; whereas the 4428 acres in the Site and Service schemes were purchased at an average of R175-83 per acre.

## AREA OF LAND

The purchase of ground in the areas to the south-west of Johannesburg are reflected in the following table of the areas of gound owned from time to time:-

1910		69.7	morgen		
1920		69.7	morgen		
1930		1349	morgen	-	4.4 sq. miles
1940					6.5 sq. miles
	00000000000000				17.2 sq. miles
1958		6936	morgen	-	23 sq. miles
1961		7861	morgen		26 sq. miles
		7872	morgen	-	26.10 sq. miles
	*********	7903	morgen	-	26.20 sq. miles
		7903	morgen	-	26.20 sq. miles
		7904	morgen	-	26.20 sq. miles
1966		7906	morgen		26.21 sq. miles

This excludes the area of ground purchased by the Resettlement Board in Diepkloof and Meadowlands, adjacent to the Council's Housing schemes.

#### SOURCE OF FUNDS

Where did the money come from to finance this expenditure. As at 30.6.66

Government Loans amounted to	22,968,879 1,696,541 6,092,450
Advance from Council's Consolidated Loans Fund Advance from Council's Capital Development Fund	5,033,414 521,812
	36,313,096
Add: Temporary advance to Rate Fund	826,609
Accumulated surplus	37,139,705 19,189,712
	R56,329,417

Of the money borrowed from the Government:-

R 8,281,261 was lent at Am interest on the basis of National Housing Commission formulas

R 114,672 was lent at  $3\frac{1}{2}\%$  interest R 2,342 was lent at 4% interest R 1,563,659 was lent at  $4\frac{1}{2}\%$  interest R 2,135,320 was lent at  $4\frac{1}{4}\%$  interest R 9,930,205 was lent at  $4\frac{1}{8}\%$  interest R 434,039 was lent at 5% interest R 1,715,884 was lent at 5% interest R 1,807,478 was lent at  $5\frac{1}{4}\%$  interest R 350,000 was lent at 6% interest

R26,334,860 of which 22,968,879 is still outstanding as reflected on page 5 above.

The loan from the Bantu Services Levy Fund bears interest at  $\frac{1}{8}$ %. The loan from the Mining Houses is at  $\frac{1}{4}$ % and from the Soldiers Housing Organizations at  $\frac{1}{4}$ %. The rate charged by the Consoldiated Loans Fund and the Capital Development Fund varies from year to year depending upon the average paid by the Council during that year, and in  $\frac{1965}{66}$  was at the rate of  $\frac{4.526}{6}$ . Of the funds provided by the Government since  $\frac{1952}{6}$ :

R2,305,930 is at  $\frac{4}{2}$ % R1,564,112 is at  $\frac{4}{2}$ % R2,106,534 is at 47 R9,927,577 is at 47 R 410,201 is at 5% R1.798,211 is at  $\frac{5}{2}$ % R 350,000 is at 5% R1,743,231 is at 6%

#### SURPLUS

Municipal accounting is different from commercial accounting, and the amount shown as the <u>surplus</u> in the Capital Account represents the excess of the original cost of the assets

over the loans outstanding and in the case of Johannesburg, mainly consists of loans redeemed and grants from the Bantu Services Levy Fund. In the books of the Council assets are maintained at their original cost, and are not depreciated, and they are only written off against the surplus when they are disposed of. The surplus therefore represents the difference between the historic cost of the assets and the outstanding part of the loans which were raised to finance them. The assets belong to the Council, but, as previously stated, the assets of the Bantu Revenue Account may only be disposed of with the approval of the Minister of Bantu Administration and Development, and on conditions laid down by him.

Of the surplus of R19,189,712 the amount represented by grants from the Bantu Services Levy Fund is R10,417,538 leaving a balance of R8,772,174 representing the amount of loans redeemed. Loans reaised for the financing of some assets are, in many cases, only nominal as the following schedule reflects:

## LOANS REDEEMED:

	TOTAL EXPENDITURE	LOANS OUTSTANDING	LOANS REDEEMED LESS ASSETS WRITTEN OFF
General Section	716,552	224,917	491,635
Liquor Distribution Branch	384,868	320,494	64,374
Bantu Beer Section Denver Hostel	3,674,968 560,639	2,712,957 286,784	962,011 273,855
Dube Hostel Mai Mai Hostel	522,591 8,736	449,622	72,969
Wemmer Hostel	226,374	5,976 6,461	2,760 219.913
Wolhuter Men's Hostel	296,463	31,767	264,696
Wolhuter Women's Hostel	10,351	520	9,831
Eastern Bantu Township	402,966	158,939	244,027
Jabavu Mofolo	2,895,923	2,287,964	607,959
Orlando East	1,327,390	1.157.329	170,061
	3,574,643	2,094,555	1,480,088
Orlando West	4,889,983	3,993,564	896,419
Pimville	471,590	125,896	345,694
Undeveloped Schemes	613,827	491,458	122,369
Shantytown	14,321	7,106	7,215
Klipspruit	721,830	640,647	81.183
	R21,314,015	R14,996,956	R6,317,059

## MUNICIPAL VALUE OF LAND

In this connection it is interesting to speculate what is the real value of the assets of the Bantu Revenue Account and the real amount of the surplus. For instance, the 11 acres of land on which the Wemmer Hostel is situated at the corner of Loveday Street and Village Road, stands in the Assets Register at R27,874: R2,560 an acre but the Municipal Valuation of this ground is R40,000 per acre. The value would be more if the present restriction that the ground can

only be used for Hostel purposes were removed. Ground adjacent to this Hostel is valued in some cases at R80,000 per acre, some R120,000 per acre and some at R160,000 per acre. The 71 acres on which Eastern Bantu Township is built cost R11,236: - R158 per acre, whereas the 40 acres adjoining this area recently purchased for the Eastern Township Hostel cost R123,674: - R3,100 an acre. The Municipal Valuation of the ground on which Eastern Bantu Township is situated is R256,000. The ground on which the Municipal Brewery is situated in Von Wielligh Street, which cost R2,192 has a Municipal Valuation of R100,000. The ground on which the Wolhuter Men's Hostel was erected cost R10,206; and now has a Municipal Valuation of R80,000

Reference has already been made to the difference in price between the cost of ground bought at Orlando and the ground in the Site and Service schemes. The 666 acres in Pimville cost R38,370: R58 an acre. While the value of much of the land may have increased, other assets may be worth less than the book value. It might be quite an interesting innovation to insert the Municipal Value of the land in Balance Sheets.

#### REVENUE ASSETS

The Revenue assets and liabilities in the Balance Sheet as at 30th June 1966 were:-

#### ASSETS

	R	R
Stores on Hand Sundry Debtors On General Account Rents Loans Payments in Advance Work in Progress	362,100 81,548 1,436 2,249	237,007 447,333
Investments  Bantu Services Levy Fund Redemption Fund - Mining House Loan Reserve and Trust Funds	8,357,017 960,727 2,402,396	11,720,140
Cash in Hand Advance to Rate Fund		57,944 1,098,909
		R13,757,580

## LIABILITIES:

Sundry Creditors Reserve and Trust Funds		1,213,033
Maintenance Reserve Fund Reserve For Bad Debts Bantu Gratuities Security Deposits Native Services Levy Fund Redemption Fund - Mining	1,658,404 371,052 348,077 24,863 8,357,017	
House Loan	960,727	
Surplus - unallocated Bantu Beer Profit		824.407
		R13,757,580

#### ARREAR RENTS

Over the past ten years the rent arrears have varied considerably reflecting the prevailing economic position. The arrears have decreased considerable as the following table shows:-

Year Ended 30th June	Annual Rents	Arrears	% of Annual Rent
1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965	1,339,886 1,714,120 2,348,262 2,873,886 3,106,212 3,390,402 3.516.503 3,652,230 3,760,241 3,889,028 3,994,655	21,614 45,024 211,654 429,846 473,162 431,451 294,613 171,039 117,153 113,979 81,548	1.6 2.6 9.0 15.0 15.2 12.7 8.4 4.7 3.1 2.9 2.0

In June 1966 the arrears in the sub-economic housing schemes amounted to an average of 1/5 months rental, whereas in the economic schemes it was 1/5 of a month. It appears that one of the causes of the arrears in the sub-economic schemes is the operation of the formula under which rents are based on the income for the previous year on a sliding scale. In the formula no provision is made for the size of the families, nor has the R30 income limit, fixed in 1952, been increased in spite of the fact that during that period the cost of living has gone up. The Johannesburg City Council has fixed the sum of R40 as being the sub-economic limit. The Council has been considering the advisability of invoking the provisions of Section 20(3) of the Urban Areas Act under which the employer would be required to pay the rental. Part of the difficulty in collection

- 9 -

of the rentals is the delay in Court procedure, but nevertheless, it is very significant of the times in which we are living that a large number of tenants find it extremely difficult to made ends meet.

In Rhodesia it is the employer who pays the rental, but this presents certain difficulties, one of which is that if a person loses employment he often loses his house simultaneously.

## MAINTENANCE RESERVE

The question is often asked as to whether the Council's policy is not too conservative in writing off the capital cost of the houses over the period of the loans raised to finance these houses, while at the same time provision is made not only for the maintenance of those houses, but also for the creation of a maintenance reserve, which, at 30th June 1966 was made up as follows:

#### Maintenance Reserve

Dube Hostel	47,295 19,304 40,093 26,937
National Housing Schemes	924,300
Mofolo	27.848
Orlando West Extension	9.821
Site and Service	274,099
Other Schemes	288,707

R1,658,404

An example of this may be given. Of the loan raised to finance the cost of the establishment of Eastern Bantu Township the total cost of which was R402,966 the amount outstanding at 30th June 1966 was R158,939. But the Municipal Valuation of the land which stands in the Council's books at R11,236: is R256,000: so that while the loans raised will be redeemed in the near future, the value of the assets will in all probability far exceed their original cost, but will have been paid for in full. It is true that the houses in this scheme are old, but they are habitable for many years to come. This is an example of a township situated within the City where land has appreciated considerably in the last thirty to fourty years.

Take another example: the cost of buildings at Orlando West was R3,310,829. At the 30th June 1966, the amount standing to the credit of the Maintenance Reserve of this township amounted to R489,917. The cost of maintenance of these houses during the eight years ended 30th June 1966 amounted to approximately R349,114 and of the loans raised R993,719 had been redeemed, so that the reserve for maintenance and redemption of loans amounted to more than one third of the total cost. The final balance of the loans will only be redeemed in 1992. In this case it is hard to know what the maintenance bill will be during the next thirty years, and whether at that time the standard of houses will be acceptable to the population.

The Council's experience with an older township, such as Eastern Bantu Township does show that at the end of the normal loan period a value does attach to the houses. It is the Council's policy to endeavour to maintain the houses in good condition, and if the Maintenance Reserve should prove inadequate, maintenance would be charged against current revenue.

Research is being carried out regarding the costs of maintaining houses, and the Council is experimenting with a new method which is being tried in Orlando East where a start has been made on a complete renovation of houses in a section of the township, and it is proposed to do this at regular intervals. Another factor to be taken into consideration is that the Government loans are now redeemed over a thirty-year period instead of forty years.

It is advisable at this stage to dispel an argument put forward that the loans redeemed have been from the rents paid by the tennants in places such as Western Bantu Township, and that the rents cover the purchase of the properties. This is not so. In Western Bantu Township the costs of administering the township during the twelve years alone (1952 - 1963)

amounted to of which the loan redemption charges	R1,888,844 164,397
Expenditure (excluding redemption charges	R1,727,447
Rents paid during this period amounted to	R 727,637
So that the loss, excluding redemption charges was	R 996,810

therefore it cannot be said that the rents paid by the tenants covered the loan redemption.

# SCUTHERN RHODESIAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

In the report of the Urban African Affairs Commissioner of Southern Rhodesia, which was published in 1958, paragraph 313 reads:

"We recommend that the Native Revenue Account be recast and that the following general principle should be observed:

- (a) That Native Revenue Account should not be charged with interest on and redemption of funds borrowed from the local authorities and still have no assets when the loans have been redeemed. As the assets belong to the municipalities, the redemption of the loans should fall upon the municipalities. On the other hand, the Accounts should properly be charged with interest on the loans and a suitable rate of depreciation.
- (b) The costs of administering the Native urban areas should be bourne by the municipalities but the Accounts should be required to make a reasonable contribution to the municipalities in lieu of rates. The method of assessing this contribution should be standardized and fixed by government. Even if in some cases the amount of this contribution should not be significantly different from

	1952/53	1953/54	1954/55	1955/56	1956/57	1957/58	1958/59	1959/60	1960/61	1961/62	1962/63	1963/64	1964/65	1965/66	estinates 1966/67
TPENDITURE:	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Salaries, Wages & Allowances	546,420	739,298	855,976	973,926	1,082,640	1,295,982	1,541,276	1,671,422	1,766,500	1,897,201	1,975,831	2,060,027	2,098,402	2,237,278	2,440,600
iscellaneous Expenses -			-		=										
Departmental Charges	18,828	19,242	21,848	29,340	30,334	31,002	34,956	39,388	39,603	45,280	55,184	53,948	49,388	54.930	54,000
Medical Services (Nett	200,438	258,920	219,308	476,024	531,490	679,778	482,936	571,692	609,530	643,878	719,552	854,310	756,148	779,103	653,100
Sanitary Fees	42,664	50,830	54,176	47,304	48,694	75,244	58,894	92,528	61,848)				F01 F00		
Sanitary Service	350,084	356,016	369,724	420,654	494,352	591,072	584,396	495,194	534, 204)	533,066	490,277	496,729	521,588	525,571	581,400
Water Supply	29,784	28,926	45,092	85,414	105,400	153,250	195,778	284,832	364,577	408,267	474,293	531,403	549,094	537,261	513,000
Grants-in-Aid	36,410	36,984	44,546	50,220	58,898	64,992	67,602	59,806	80,992	29,752	104,825	94,492	108,231	116,614	137,349
Other	334,614	425,682	382,346	361,312	522,578	480,686	533,448	735,680	602,043	676,334	916,382	1,203,780	1,185,781	1,289,478	1,376,290
	1,012,822	1,186,600	1,137,040	1,470,268	1,791,746	2,676,024	1,958,010	2,279,100	2,292,795	2,336,577	2,760,513	3,234,662	3,170,230	3,302,957	3,315,139
Repairs and Maintenance	314,292	350,528	389,332	352,554	523,480	538,946	584,360	666,790	1,056,369	1,067,152	832,835	872,442	828,142	897,836	1,031,980
Loan Charges : Interest	314,472	321,628	344,308	398,568	434,634	523,724	699,062	795,944	962,872)	1,669,768	1 810 295	1 646 778	1 700 800		
Capital Redemp- tion	338,706	293,944	288,984	267,372	255,972	263,756	359,638	428,906	488,017)	1,000,100	1,619,385	1,646,776	1,706,623	1,730,705	1,984,400
	2,526,712	2,891,998	3,015,640	3,462,688	4,088,472	4,698,432	5,142,346	5,842,162	6,566,553	6,970,698	7,188,564	7,813,907	7,803,397	8,258,776	8,772,119
Less: Charged to Bantu Services Levy	23,538	31,980	32,688	30,974	31,438	35,566	39,668	33,488	34,525	34,070	34,896	57,504	78,462	57,624 .	36,200
2	2,503,174	2,860,018	2,982,952	3,431,714	4,057,034	4,662,866	5,102,678	5,808,674	6,532,028	6,936,628	7,223,460	7,756,403	7,724,935	8,201,152	8,735,919
NCOME:															
Pines	208,046	252,318	274,118	223,904	259,710	182,096	205,372	188,748	115,869	129,730	107,892	91,579	85,623	102,963	90,000
Rents	968,562	1,079,034	1,142,952	1,339,886	1,714,120	2,348,262	2,873,886	3,106,212	2,390,402	3,516,503	3,652,230	3,760,241	3,889,028	3,994,655	3,996,500
Labour Bureau Fees	-	23,608	31,036	33,384	32,564	33,960	29,780	30,108	27,917	26,214	29,444	30,544	33,177	32,233	30,000
Registration Fees	-	344,082	405,690	422,714	430,376	499,252	520,366	496,700	478,315	492,847	492,019	505,138	535,233	544,988	510,000
Gowt. Subsidy:- Housing Losses	52,506	62,390	76,924	80,670	85,682	11,320	-			_		-	-	-	-
Sundry	97,166	92,610	76, 204	37,360	54,982	77,078	(1)74,123	(2350,886	527,483	671,480	738,924	842,092	863,986	984,812	1,157,940
Bantu Beer Profits	479,670	732,460	634,312	1,035,692	1,050,200	1,079,076	1,155,992	949,770	1,139,913	1,368,791	1,280,937	1,786,832	1,829,788	2.300.954	2,072,560
Emropean Liquor Profits											8,533	8,072	13,580	25.950	27,270
TOTAL INCOME	,805,950	2,586,502	2,641,236	3,173,610	3,627,634	4,231,044	4,859,518	5, 122, 424	5,679,819	6,205,271	6,309,979	7,024,498	7,250,415		7,884,270
Deficit to Rate Fund	697,224	273,516	341,716	258,104	429,400	431,822	243,160	686,250	852,209	731,357	913,481	731.905	474,520	7,986,555	851,649
2	,503,174	2,860,018	2,982,952	3,431,714	4,057,034	4,662,866	5, 102, 678	5,808,674	6,532,028	6,936,628	7,223,460	7,756,403	7,724,935	8,201,152	8,735,919

<sup>(1)</sup> Excluding B135,000 unallocated. (2) Excluding B350,000 unallocated.

what it happens to be at present we think it important that the principles by which the assessment is fixed by government. Even if in some cases the amount of this contribution should not be significantly different from what it happens to be at present we think it important that the principles by which the assessment is fixed should be clear and uniform. And having determined the appropriate rateable values of the Native urban areas we think that the poundage rates to be applied should be the same as those which operate within the municipalities proper.

- (c) A suitable contribution from profits on water and electricity supplies should be made to the Account.
- (d) We think it important that the rent charges should be clearly separated from the charges for services so that the African in the urban areas should be able to understand more clearly for what he is paying."

## CCMMENT ON COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

These suggestions would be a fundamental departure from the present system. The idea of divorcing payments, for example, medical services, water, sanitary and refuse removal, from rents is a good one. What is commonly called rent is much more than rent and perhaps it would bring about a change in attitude to rents if many of the other amenities provided were the subject of a separate charge and a better appreciation of the services being provided might result. In view of the Capital appreciation on land in some of the older townships, the Native Revenue Account might be better off in the long run paying redemptions but retaining capital appreciation .

## NATIVE REVENUE ACCOUNT - INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

The sources of income of the Native Revenue Account and the items of expenditure are summarized below:-

## MEDICAL SERVICES.

In the schedule giving the main headings of expenditure, Medical Expenses are shown as nett. For the year ended 30th June 1966 the gross expenditure was as follows:

	R	R
Health Inspection and Sanitation Nursery Schools Medical Services in Locations Infectious Diseases Tuberculosis Venereal Diseases		37,553 29,673 1,070,737 6,580 427,698
Medical Examination at Registration Centre Waterval Hospital		1,258 47.878 109,764
Ambulance Services		193,125 1,924,266
		Pofunda/

b/f 1,924,266

Refunds received from Government 612,898
Refunds received from Province 405,290
Other Income 126,975

1,145,163

R 779,103

For 1966/67 the gross expenditure is estimated to be R2,205,600 and the nett R653,100.

## REDEMPTION CHARGES

It will be noticed from the schedule that interest paid has increased more rapidly than the redemption charges. One reason for this is that redemption of loans normally only commences when loans are fully paid out. Frequently the expenditure of a loan is spread over many years, so that while the income may be accruing from the assets on which part of the loan has been spent, the redemption charges are only charged to the Bantu Revenue Account in later years. As in economic loans the calculation of rents include an allowance for redemption, it appears that the annual accounts should make some provision for redemption, even though the payments would be deferred. At the 30th June 1966 of R26,334,860 drawn against approved Government Housing loans only R22,968,879 was subject to redemption, so that if all the loans had been subject to redemption the capital redemption charges in the Bantu Revenue Account would have been greatly increased.

## FINES

Questions are often asked as to what fines accrue to the Native Revenue Account. These are as follows. The fines recovered and the bail estreated in respect of contraventions of the provisions of the following.

- (i) Bantu Urban Areas Act No 25 of 1945
- (ii) Curfew Proclamation under Section 19, Act 25 of 1930
- (iii) Bantu Administration Act No. 38 of 1927
  - (iv) Location Regulations framed under Act 25 of 1945
  - (v) Emergency Camp Regulations: Govt. Notice 890 of 1927
- (vi) Registration Regulations: Govt. Notice 1032 of 1949
- (vii) Bantu Services Levy Act No. 64 of 1952
- (viii) Bantu Transport Services Levy Act No. 53 of 1957

Fines received in respect of the last two items are credited to the respective funds.

## SIGNIFICANT CHANGES

The most significant changes which are revealed from a review of the financing of the Bantu Revenue Account in the last ten years are:-

- (1) The terrific achievements in the re-housing of the population and the improvements in the services provided for the welfare of the Bantu people.
- (2) The reduction in the cost of housing
- (3) The increase in the cost of administration.
- (4) The benefits which have accrued from the introduction of the Bantu Services Levy.
- (5) Dependancy upon Bantu Beer profits
- (6) The change in conception as to where the responsibility lies for shouldering the financial burden of providing housing for the sub-economic groups.

## ACHIEVEMENTS IN RE-HOUSING

The figure presented and the information in the introduction indicate what has been done.

#### REDUCTION IN COST OF HOUSING

The first houses erected by the Council were in the Western Bantu Township in 1919, when 2,192 houses were built. The two-roomed house cost R240; and the three-roomed R280; and the four-roomed R340. The next scheme was at Eastern Bantu Township where the two-roomed houses cost R230; and the three-roomed houses R270. In 1932-1935 the Council started the Orlando East Scheme where the two-roomed houses of 397 sq. feet cost R190; and the three-roomed houses varied from R504; to R1,000; and the four-roomed houses from R708; to R1,328. The 125 houses built in Pimville in 1944-1945 cost R988; for the three-roomed, and R1,198; for the four-roomed. When these costs are considered, and the fact that since that date costs of materials have been continuously rising, it is nothing short of a miracle that the houses built since the establishment of the Council's Housing Division, with the use of Bantu labour, have cost between R320 to R370 for and 527 sq. ft. house.

Owing to the difference in sizes of the houses a truer comparison is to state costs in prices per square foot. In 1930 the price varied from  $42\frac{1}{2}c$  per square foot. In 1930 the price varied from  $42\frac{1}{2}c$  to  $52\frac{1}{2}c$ , in 1938 this had increased to  $92\frac{1}{2}c$ , and in 1944 the houses at Orlando West cost R1.04 to R1.14. In 1946 the price had increased to R1.22 $\frac{1}{2}$ , and the Jabavu houses built in 1947 cost R1.02. Then came the establishment of the City's own Housing Division, and the training of Bantu building workers. At a time when costs were increasing in comparison with the time stated above, the costs were decreased from 61c, to  $67\frac{1}{2}c$ , per sq. ft. The materials used at all times were not the same, but in considering the recent achievements in housing, consideration must not only be given to the number of houses that have been erected, but also to the cost at which they have been built, and the transformation in the lives of the labourers who have become trained artisans in the process.

## PENEFITS FROM BANTU SERVICES LEVY

The Bantu Services Levy was introduced in 1953 as it was felt that the employers of Bantu labour, who did not house their labourers should make a direct contribution to the cost of providing housing. In many instances the cost of providing the services was as much as the house itself. For instance, in the Noordgesig houses built in 1938 the services were estimated to cost R524 and the house R508. In Orlando West in 1944 the estimated cost of the services was R676 and the house R82; and for the Orlando West houses built in 1946 the services were estimated at R830 and the house at at R1,092. Because of the high cost of these services, some have not as yet been provided.

The Service Levy was inaugurated to provide funds for:-

Sewage Disposal Works
Main Access Roads
Water Towers
Main Water Reticulation and
Sub-Outfall Sewers, and
Lighting

The Services Levy Fund makes grants to local authorities and loans at a interest.

A summary of the amounts received by the Johannesburg Bantu Revenue Account from the Services Levy Fund to 30th June 1966 is as follows:-

## Bantu Services Levy Fund

Total collections 1.1.53 to 30.6.66  Less: Administration	19,346,820 532,320 18,814,500
Total Expenditure to 30.6.66  Direct Charges and Grants	12,154,024
Unspent Balance	6,660,476
Expenditure on Loans repayable to Fund	1,696,541
Balance in Fund at 30.6.66 (After making provision for repayment of all loan expenditure)	8,357,017

# Approved Bantu Services Projects as at 30.6.66

Approvals	16,778,938 12,154,024
Amount still required on approved projects	4,624,914 6,660,476
Excess of funds available to approved projects	2,035,562
Nett Income of Fund is estimated at:-	
for year ended 30.6.66	1,523,000
Approved Projects as at 30.6.66	Total approved estimated cost
Sub-Outfall and Link Sewers Water Mains Oliphantsvlei Sewage Disposal Works Street Lighting Widening and re-alignment of Roads Electricity Supply Water Towers and Reservoirs Roads and Bus Routes Survey and Lay-out Clearing of Sites Nightsoil Intake Sanitation: Latrine Grants Reticulation Fittings and Installation Sundry Expenditure	2,047,579 2,108,247 2,395,570 696,405 578,619 1,213,896 889,458 3,924,857 106,184 23,200 6,258  2,721,882 66,756
	16,778,938

The grants received from the Bantu Services Levy have proved a boon to local authorities, as they have provided the funds to install these essential services at no cost to the Council, and have helped the Bantu, as in the calculation of economic rentals no cost has had to be included for the services provided from the Services Levy Fund.

## DEPENDENCY UPON BANTU BEER AND LIQUOR PROFITS

Since the establishment of the beerhalls and European liquor depots, the profits have been very considerable, and have been as follows:-

	BANTU BEER		EUROPEAN	LIQUOR
Year	Revenue	Profit	Revenue	Profit
1937/38	31,068 118,500 188,894 255,534 203,038 201,892 339,560 458,970 256,978	14,186 65,536 127,504 125,094 107,398 94,544 198,122 242,954 114,552 95,904 243.674 362,430 349,448 350,262 403,152 479,670 732,460 854,312 815,692 1,050,202 1,079,076 1,290,990 1,299,768 1,239,913		
1961/62 1962/63 1963/64 1964/65	3,027,558 3,228,043 4,215,378 5,204,223 5,514,483	1,468,791 1,464,418 1,824,974 2,223,296 2,298,665	1,010,286 1,159,944 1,894,775 2,951,908	127,995 121,074 203,712 389,258
TOTAL	R45,870,264	21,016,987	7,016,913	842,039

It is hard to visualise how the development of housing and other services could have been provided without these profits. Yet this source of income could fluctuate. For instance, there might be a boycott of the beerhalls, and more important still, there are moral issues involved. Many people, while realising the evil effects of illicit liquors, are still uneasy about the extent to which the financing of the Bantu Revenge Account is dependent on sales of Bantu Beer.

Two-thirds of the profits derived from the sale of Bantu
Beer may be used (a) to make up the losses on housing schemes (b) to
make up any amount required to offset the loss to the Bantu Revenue
Account resulting from the reduction of rentals in force at any
location, Bantu village or hostel, (c) the capital expenditure on
housing schemes or works or services in connection with a location,
Bantu village or hostel, and interest and redemption charges and
maintenance costs in connection with any location, Bantu village or
hostel. One-third of the profits may be spent on any service, expenditure

or grant which may be certified in writing by the Minister of Bantu Administration and Development as being calculated to improve the social or recreational amenities for Bantu residents within the areas of the urban local authority, or otherwise to promote the social welfare of such residents.

## Allocation of Bantu Beer and Liquor Profits:

	Year Ended 30.6.66
One Third Profit:	
Urban Recreation	2,947 116,614 48,601 89,948 534,062
	792,172
Two-Thirds Profit:	
Losses on Sub-economic Housing Scheme:	
Contributions to Capital Outlay Denver Hostel Mai Hai Hostel Nancefield Hostel Orlando West Wemmer Hostel Wolhuter Hostel Women's Jabulawi Hostel Dube Jabavu Eastern Bantu Township Mofolo Orlando West Pimville Site and Services Unallocated Profit	194,632 720 517 329 196,484 993 967 2,472 828 42,047 213,049 79,539 46,259 283,943 220,411 251,542 49,612
	1,584,344
GRAND TOTAL	R 2,376,516

# RESPONSIBILITY FOR SUB-ECONOMIC HOUSING.

At the time the Council undertook the sub-economic housing schemes at Orlando East and West and Jabuvu, the National Housing Commission advanced the funds under a scheme whereby the interest was 34% on the understanding that losses incurred on such schemes should, within defined limits, be borne in the ratio of three parts by the Government and one part by the Council. The Council first submitted its claim for losses in 1950. Up to the 30th June 1953, the losses incurres on these schemes amounted to R2,158,346 and of this sum was calculated, on the Council's understanding of the formula, that R1,004,640 was recoverable from the Government, but only R469,454 was recovered at that time.

In 1951 the old 34% formula was cancelled. In that year local authorities, in submitting claims for losses in the National Housing formula, were required to certify that tenants' incomes did not exceed

R50 on loans prior to 1.10.46, and R60 thereafter. In 1952 differential incomes were laid down, and were fixed at R30 for Bantu. Local authorities were advised that a fully economic rate of interest would have to be paid on a pro rata share of the loans in respect of tenants with incomes in excess of those limits, and that the increased cost could be recovered by way of increased rentals. Legal opinion given to this Council doubted the Commission's power to do this. In 1954 the National Housing Commission agreed that the sub-economic rentals shall be increased by 30c for every R1.00 of the income over R30. In 1954 the Council, because of its unhappy experience under the National Housing Formula, agreed to convert the 34% loans to 34. loans, thereby cancelling the sharing of losses on the schemes, but insuring the benefit of the lower rate of interest.

In 1957 the Council's claim for over R800,00 under the old 34% scheme was finally settled for R250,000. The R250,000 was paid into the Capital Development Fund and earmarked for street lighting in the Bantu Townships. As in the Housing Act 1957 provision was made in Section 78(3) for legalising actions of the National Housing and Planning Commission which were not authorized at the time they were done, this Council's legal rights were swept away.

The application of the Government's policy of economic housing has had the effect of shifting the financial burden from the State on to the shoulders of the poor, local authority and the employers, through the Bantu Services Levy Fund. Local authorities are obliged to accept full responsibility for economic housing, which the role of the State, from the financial point of view, is limited to the advance of loans at economic rates of interest, and by shortening the period of repayment of loans from forty to thirty years and by the increase in the rate of interest from  $\frac{3}{4}\%$  to  $\frac{3}{4}\%$  and over has had the effect of increasing the rental on a house costing R400 by R1-50 per month. The Government bears the loss of interest on those funds lent to the Council at less than economic rates.

The Johannesburg City Council, bu accepting the realities of the situation in fixing R40 as the sub-economic limit rather than R30 has paid the State in the years 1958 to 1966 an amount of R857,267, whereas in terms of the formula laid down at the time sub-economic housing schemes were commenced the State was to share in the losses. The experience of local authorities under the formula has indeed been an unhappy one!

Subsidies received from the Government, credited to the Native Revenue Account, were as follows:-

1952/53	52,506
1953/54	62,390
1954/55	
1955/56	80,670
1956/57	85,682
1957/58	11,320
1958/59 and subsequent years	Nil
	R 369,492

CONTRIBUTIONS/....

## CONTRIBUTIONS BY THE EMPLOYERS OF BANTU LABOUR AND THE COUNCIL

Since the introduction of the Bantu Services Levy the contributions by the employer community in Johannesburg and this Council to the financing of the housing, transport and general wellbeing of the Bantu community have been as follows:-

	Registration Fees (Nett)	Bantu Transport Services Levy	Bantu Ser- vices Levy	Deficit On B.R.A.	TOTAL
1953	-	135,782	523.128	697,224	1,376,334
1954	91,618	278,920	1,115,682	273,518	1.759,738
1955	189,714	298, 292	1,230,282	341,716	2,059,804
1956	160,186	309,504	1,314,096	258,104	2,041,890
1957	127,064	318,752	1,360,934	492,402	2,236,152
1958	172,576	665,206	1,421,518	431,820	2,691,120
1959	95,692	722,104	1,473,330	243,160	2,534,286
1960	41,578	647,606	1,400,642	686,250	2,776,076
1961	1,842 0	R 559,419	1,404,739	852,209	2,814,525
1962	7,842	517,541	1,564,699	731,357	2,821,439
1973	24,906 0	R 535,202	1,443,152	913,481	2, 86, 929
1964	14,314	566,977	1,550,213	731,905	2,834,781
1965	1,850	605,877	1,711,527	474,520	2,793,774
1966	87,901	620,039	1,812,878	214,597	2,735,415
TOTALS	R 934,959	6,781,221	19,346,820	7,279,263	34,342,263

#### CONCLUSION

This review of the Bantu Revenue Account deals with the financial aspects of the Administration of Johannesburg's Bantu Township. It is realised that there is still much more to be done. No longer is the bulk of the population made up of completely unsophisticated, uneducated and simple labourers. We have today the second, and in many cases, the third generation of persons who have known no other home than Johannesburg, who have spent their entire working life in full-time industrial and commercial employment in the City, and who are integrated into urban conditions of living. These persons are clamorous for increased rights and opportunities.

In giving the review of what has been done, one does so not with a sense of complacency, but with a view to indicating the Council's desire to face the task assigned to it with vigour and goodwill towards the Bantu people.

July 67

**Collection Number: A1132** 

Collection Name: Patrick LEWIS Papers, 1949-1987

#### **PUBLISHER:**

Publisher: Historical Papers Research Archive, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

Location: Johannesburg

©2016

#### **LEGAL NOTICES:**

**Copyright Notice:** All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

**Disclaimer and Terms of Use:** Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

This collection forms part of a collection, held at the Historical Papers Research Archive, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.