Linkiturile Habit ce depolation

135a Marri ott Road,
Durban.
14th September, 1960.

The Director, Institute of Race Relations, P.O.Box 97, JOHANNESBURG.

My dear Quentin,

I know that the Institute does not rush into making press statements, but I simmely hope that the Action Committee will not fail to make a very early statement in regard to the deportation of B ishop Reeves.

As individuals we may not always have agreed with all his statements, nor with all his actions, but we should be mindful of the fact that he is an honorary office bearer of the Institute and that the manner of his deportation violated the most elementary rules of justice and fair play. We have become so used to the become so blunted that we do not react to these tragedies in the way we should. The Institute made a statement at the time of the banning of Chief Lutuli, and I believe the situation regarding Bishop Reeves is considerably worse. I feel it is imperative that there be a statement, not only because of the incident itself, but lest the Institute be accused that it takes action when non-Whites are affected, but fails to do so in the case of Whites.

With kind regards,

Sincerely yours,

NEWS NEWS

NEWS

NUUS

NEWS NUUS

from the South African Institute of Race Relations (Inc.)

van die Suid-Afrikaanse Instituut vir Rasseverhoudings (Ingelyf)

P.O. Box 97

Johannesburg

Posbus 97

BISHOP REEVES.

The Bishop of Johannesburg is a Vice-President of the Institute of Race Relations and has served on its Executive Committee for many The Institute views his deportation with great concern and deep dismay. As no criminal proceedings have been taken against him it is clear that his deportation cannot be justified on the ground that he has committed any offence. Nor, indeed, has this been suggested in the official statement of the department concerned, nor in any ministerial pronouncement. It is, therefore, reasonable to conclude that the Bishop was deported because of the forthright views he held and openly expressed on racial questions. This was not a case of refusing admission to the country to a person regarded as an undesirable immigrant but of summarily evicting a man who, although not a Union citizen, is a resident of more than ten years, and who is an important spiritual leader of one of the major churches of the country.

The deportation of the Bishop constitutes a dangerous precedent and represents an abuse of the great executive power which the Government has arrogated to itself.

The Institute views with alarm the implications of the Government's actions. Whether or not so intended, it will be viewed by a great many as a step towards stifling views on the burning question of the day, namely, the relationship between White and Non-White, if such views are opposed to those of the Government. This is a matter that concerns not only the Institute but the country as a whole.

· John Lin 27th September, 1960. The Rt. Rev. Ambrose Reeves, c/o South African Church Institute, 14 Great Peter Street, Westminster, LONDON, S.W.1, England. Dear Bishop Reeves, At the last meeting of our Executive Committee I was asked to write to express our great distress at the action which has been taken by the Government and to convey to you our sympathy and our personal hurt. We issued a statement copy of which is enclosed but as you will know such statements are of little effect. It is difficult to prophesy where present policies will take Your absence has left a tremendous gap in the coordination of effort and in the maintenance of the public awareness of the realities of the situation. This was so essentially an individual task that it is difficult for it to be assumed by anyone else. I had placed great hope in the churches and in the conference which is proposed for December. You are aware of the outcome of negotiations with the Government and it now seems that the conference may not take place. If it does not eventuate or if it is sectional I am afraid that the divisions between the churches will be what they were seven long years ago when the present movement towards conciliation was first started. However, I am optimistic and believe that things will work together for the good. Meanwhile all our sympathy to you and to Mrs. Reeves for what must be a heart-breaking time. Yours sincerely. Quintin Whyte. Director. ENCL.

Claude Complete 3 shortsists Was dear Quintin,

2, Amen Court, London, E.C.4.

13th October, 1960.

I was deeply touched to receive your letter and the statement issued by the South African Institute of Race Relations. In spite of the fact that it is no longer possible for me to share actively in the work of the Institute, I hope that I may be allowed to continue as a Vice-President for the time being, and that you will keep me in touch with the affairs of the Institute. I do not suppose that there is much that I can do to help the work from here but if at any time there is any way in which you think I may be of use I hope that you will not hesitate to call on me.

Like yourself, I still hope that the Inter-Church Conference will not be abandoned even if it has to be postponed for several months as I am quite sure it is tremendously important that the churches should begin conversations with one another.

With every good wish.

To give my here venedowen to all at the besteles

Your on en

+ Andre Jetermen

Quintin Whyte, Esq., South African Institute of Race Relations, P.O. Box 97, Johannesburg.





Quintin Whyte, Esq.,
South African Institute of
Race Relations,
P.O. Box 97,
JOHANNESBURG.
South Africa.
Second fold here
Sender's name and address:

AN AIR LETTER SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY ENCLOSURE; IF IT DOES IT WILL BE SURCHARGE.

OR SENT BY ORDINARY MAIL.

First fold here

Collection Number: AD1646

SOUTH AFRICAN INSTITUTE OF RACE RELATIONS, Unrests, banishments, removals, 1948-1969

PUBLISHER:

Publisher:- Historical Papers Research Archive Location:- Johannesburg ©2013

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a collection held at the Historical Papers Research Archive at The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.